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# 1 Introduction

This document contains a summary of Quality report in Msg3 documents from agenda item 7.1.4 as referenced in Section 4 in order to facilitate decision making at RAN2#109bis-e.

# 2 Summary

## 2.1 Summary of issues

The following proposals are covered in this section

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [1] | Ericsson | Proposal 1 All the bits are used for QR “R+F2+E”.  Contains text proposal providing 8 value mapping for using the 3 bits (7 values + no report)   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | dl-MeasReport field | Rmax == 1 | 1 < Rmax <= 16 | Rmax >= 32 | | 000 | No measurement/Not Supported | No measurement/Not Supported | No measurement/Not Supported | | 001 | Aggregation level 1 | Repetition level 1 | Repetition level 4 | | 010 | Aggregation level 2 | Repetition level 2 | Repetition level 8 | | 011 | Aggregation level 4 | Repetition level 4 | Repetition level 16 | | 100 | Aggregation level 8 | Repetition level 8 | Repetition level 32 | | 101 | Aggregation level 16 | Repetition level 16 | Repetition level 64 | | 110 | Aggregation level 24 and Repetition level 1 | Repetition level 32 | Repetition level 128 | | 111 | Aggregation level 24 and Repetition level 2 | Repetition level 64 | Repetition level 256 | |
| [2] | Qualcomm | Proposal 1: Support 2-bit CQI based on solution 1 (using R and F2 bits only) in a MAC header with uplink LCID= any CCCH. |
| [3] | Qualcomm | Contains text proposal providing 4 value mapping for using 2 bits (3 values + no report)   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Codepoint/Index | Value | | 00 | No short DCQR | | 01 | Short DCQR 1 | | 10 | Short DCQR 2 | | 11 | Short DCQR 3 | |
| [4] | Huawei | Contains text proposal providing 4 value mapping for using 3 bits (4 values + presence bit)   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | F2 | E | Comment | | 0 | 0 | FFS/reserved | | 0 | 1 | CQI 1 | | 1 | 0 | CQI 2 | | 1 | 1 | CQI 3 | |

### 2.1.2 Needs further discussion

All of the contributions to this meeting focus on a single issue, which is how to use the R+F2+E bits to convey a 2 bit quality report.

Note the agreement from RAN2#107

* For non-EDT, R+F2+E MAC subheader is used for 2-bit DL quality report.

After RAN2#107, the proposal in [2] to update the agreement to use only R+F2 has been discussed several times, and the various technical pros and cons have already been debated, with no clear consensus to change the agreement.

Since in this meeting there are 2 companies proposing to use all 3 bits in line with existing agreements, and 1 company proposing to use only 2 bits, it is proposed:

**Proposal S1-1: Confirm that R+F2+E are used.**

**Company views (to be completed during the meeting)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree (yes/no)** | **Comments** |
|  | yes/no |  |

The proposal in [1] to utilise all 3 bits to convey additional codepoints is a new proposal. While this makes the most of the 3 bits for the purpose of channel quality reporting, the drawbacks from both [2]/[3] and [4] are even more severe since it means that none of R, F2 + E are available for future extensions.

**Proposal S1-2: Confirm that the reported values consist of 2-bits (4 codepoints).**

**Company views (to be completed during the meeting)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree (yes/no)** | **Comments** |
|  | yes/no |  |

The last remaining question is what to do with the additional codepoint, since RAN1 re-used the NB-IoT 3-value mapping without considering or knowing the number of available bits/codepoints. It is possible to either reserve for future use or introduce an additional codepoint. From RAN2 point of view reserving or defining is equivalent, but the mapping should be decided by RAN1 and RAN4.

**Proposal S1-3: Send LS to RAN1 and RAN4 to indicate the short channel quality report has 4 available codepoints.**

**Company views (to be completed during the meeting)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree (yes/no)** | **Comments** |
|  | yes/no |  |

# 3 Conclusions (to be updated following offline)

**Needs further discussion:**

**Proposal S1-1: Confirm that R+F2+E are used.**

**Proposal S1-2: Confirm that the reported values consist of 2-bits (4 codepoints).**

**Proposal S1-3: Send LS to RAN1 and RAN4 to indicate the short channel quality report has 4 available codepoints.**
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