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# 1 Introduction

This document is to kick off the following email discussion:

* [AT109bis-e][008][NR15] Conn Control Miscellaneous I (Nokia, Ericsson, CATT, Huawei)

Scope: Treat R2-2002681, R2-2002682, R2-2002683, R2-2003071, R2-2003386, R2-2003196, R2-2003197, R2-2002787, R2-2003480, R2-2003483,

Part 1: Determine which issues that need resolution, find agreeable proposals. Deadline: April 23 0700 UTC

Part 2: For the parts that are agreeable, discussion will continue to agree on CRs.

# 2 Discussion

Companies are requested to add their comments for each of the treated CRs of this email discussion in the boxes below (one for each CR to be treated).

### 2.1 Discussion on recursion in RRC ([R2-2002681](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002681))

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### 2.1.1 Clarification on recursion in RRC messages ([R2-2002682](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002682), [R2-2002683](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002683), [R2-2003071](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003071))

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Piggybacking of NAS PDUs including Service Accept ([R2-2003386](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003386))

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| Nokia | Disagree | This seems potentially related to the earlier discussion with CT1/RAN3. We do not see a concrete proposal so difficult to say what is broken and what is the intended fix for that. Indeed, the list size may in very theoretical cases be limiting but the real issue just seems to stem from a matter of implementation choice? We do not support this as there is no real show-stopper. It would be better to discuss this at CT1 first and get their consensus. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 Correction related to RRC reconfiguration complete ([R2-2003196](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003196), [R2-2003197](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003197))

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| Nokia | Disagree | Cannot see what is broken from the current specification as the packing of the reconfiguration complete is quite basic functionality and even for EN-DC this is quite clear. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.4 Correction on CSI-ResourceConfig ([R2-2002787](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002787))

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| Nokia | Disagree | Do not see a need to update the field description here. What is the exact problem and what is broken with the current text? |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.5 Correction on PUSCH-less uplink carrier ([R2-2003480](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003480), [R2-2003483](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003483))

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| Nokia | Disagree | The definition was introduced based on the same company’s contribution we do not see any good reason to agree to this. The current specification text is fine, and we can live with the current definition and the "ambiguity" simply doesn't exist. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

In the previous sections we made the following observations:

Based on the discussion in the previous sections we propose the following:
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