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# Introduction

In RAN1#118, the following discussion paper in R1-2406327 and draft CR in R1-2406328 are submitted on the first SPS PDSCH after activation.

R1-2406327 Discussion on the first SPS PDSCH after activation CATT

R1-2406328 Clarification on the first SPS PDSCH after activation CATT

This contribution collects companies’ views on the issues and proposals discussed in the above Tdocs.

# Discussion

The draft CR in R1-2406328 intends to clarify that the first SPS PDSCH after activation is included in the description of PDSCH using higher-layer-provided SPS configuration and the procedure of determining the set of SPS PDSCH required to be received in one slot.

## Q: Do you agree with the text proposals in draft CR in R1-2406328?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree or Not Agree** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Comments | In our understanding, the pseudo code is only applicable to the SPS-PDSCHs not associated with DCI. So talking about overlapping PDSCH resources in a CC, the first SPS-PDSCH after activation DCI must be treated as a DG, and 14 symbol gap must be considered. Consequently, it will be an error case to have overlapping of a DG and the 1st SPS-PDSCH. We don’t think spec change is needed. We certainly support a conclusion. |
|  |  |  |