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1. **Contents to be discussed in Tuesday’s GTW (Apr. 13th)**

After reviewing contributions submitted in this meeting, FL observed that the following two approaches can be considered for the inter-UE coordination.

* *Approach 1: Inter-UE coordination to help UE-B’s resource selection procedure (i.e., in a semi-static manner)*
  + *UE-A explicitly sends the set of resources preferred and/or non-preferred for UE-B’s transmission*
    - *The information includes time-and-frequency resources preferred and/or non-preferred for UE-B’s transmission*
  + *SCI or higher layer signaling is used to transmit the coordination information*
  + *Request signaling or pre-defined event/condition triggers the transmission of coordination information*
* *Approach 2: Inter-UE coordination to confirm a validity of UE-B’s selected/reserved resources (i.e., in a dynamic manner)*
  + *UE-A implicitly sends the set of resources non-preferred for UE-B’s transmission and/or the set of resources where the resource conflict is detected*
    - *The information includes the presence of expected/potential and/or detected resource conflict on UE-B’s transmission resource*
  + *PSFCH format is used to transmit the coordination information*
  + *Pre-defined event/condition triggers the transmission of coordination information*

Based on the observations mentioned above, the following draft proposals were made from FL’s perspective:

***FL’s proposal****:*

* *Support the following schemes of inter-UE coordination in Mode 2:*
  + *Inter-UE Coordination Scheme 1:* 
    - *UE-A sends to UE-B the set of resources preferred and/or non-preferred for UE-B’s transmission*
      * *The coordination information includes time-and-frequency resources preferred and/or non-preferred for UE-B’s transmission*
        + *FFS on details including a possibility of down-selection between the preferred resource set and the non-preferred resource set*
        + *FFS whether or not to introduce additional information*
      * *Down select to one among the following tree options for the container of coordination information*
        + *1st SCI*
        + *2nd SCI*
        + *Higher layer signaling (e.g., MAC CE, PC5 RRC)*
  + *Inter-UE Coordination Scheme 2:* 
    - *UE-A sends to UE-B the set of resources non-preferred for UE-B’s transmission and/or the set of resources where the resource conflict is detected*
      * *The coordination information includes the presence of expected/potential and/or detected resource conflict on UE-B’s transmission resource*
        + *FFS on details including a possibility of down-selection between the expected/potential conflict and the detected resource conflict*
        + *FFS whether or not to introduce additional information*
      * *PSFCH format is used to convey the coordination information*
        + *FFS on details including whether to (pre)configure separately PSFCH resource set from that of SL HARQ feedback*

***FL’s proposal****:*

* *For Inter-UE Coordination Scheme 1, at least the following information is used to determine the time-and-frequency resources preferred and/or non-preferred for UE-B’s transmission*
  + *UE-A’s sensing result* 
    - *FFS on details including how to obtain it*
  + *UE-A’s SL resources selected for multiple transmissions of different TBs*
  + *UE-A’s configured resources for UL*
* *For Inter-UE Coordination Scheme 2, at least the following information is used to determine the set of resources non-preferred for UE-B’s transmission and/or the set of resources where the resource conflict is detected*
  + *Time resource conflict between UE-B and other UE(s) in the same group*
    - *FFS on details including which information (e.g., destination ID) is used to determine it*
  + *UE-A’s sensing result* 
    - *FFS on details including how to obtain it*

***FL’s proposal****:*

* *Down select one or more of following options for determining UE-A (transmitting the inter-UE coordination information) and UE-B (receiving and using the inter-UE coordination information):*
  + *Option 1: UE-A is the intended receiver of UE-B*
  + *Option 2: UE-A (e.g., RSU, platooning header) and UE-B are determined by higher layer*
  + *FFS on applicable scenarios/inter-UE coordination schemes for each option*

1. **Summary of contributions**

* How UE-A and UE-B are determined
  + Option 1: UE-B is a PSCCH/PSSCH TX UE for data transmission, and UE-A is the intended receiver of UE-B [OPPO,3] [Spreadtrum,4] [vivo,5] [Fraunhofer,12] [CMCC,14] [Xiaomi,16] [Intel,17] [Samsung,20] [Sony,22] [LG,24] [Lenovo,29] [DCM,30]
  + Option 2: UE-A and UE-B are determined via higher layer (e.g. application layer) [Huawei,1] [vivo,5] [Apple,18] [Sony,22] [LG,24]
  + Option 3: UE-A is pre-defined, and UE-B is UEs that can receive inter-UE coordination information from other UE [LG,24]
* How/when UE-A determines the contents of “A set of resources”, including consideration of UL scheduling?
  + Type of “A set of resources”
    - For Type A and/or Type B
      * based on its sensing result of UE-A [Huawei,1] [Nokia,2] [OPPO,3] [vivo,5] [MediaTek,8] [Fujitsu,9] [Fraunhofer,12] [CMCC,14] [ZTE,15] [Xiaomi,16] [Intel,17] [Apple,18] [InterDigital,28] [Lenovo,29]
      * based on UE-A’s transmission [vivo,5] [Fraunhofer,12] [ZTE,15] [Apple,18] [Qualcomm,19] [LG,24] [NEC,27]
        + SL transmission [vivo,5] [Qualcomm,19] [LG,24]
        + UL transmission [vivo,5] [LG,24]
      * based on UE-A’s decision in higher layer [Huawei,1] [LG,24]
      * based on semi-static information [Intel,17] [LG,24]
    - For Type B and/or Type C
      * based on expected/potential resource conflict [vivo,5] [MediaTek,8] [Fujitsu,9] [Fraunhofer,12] [Xiaomi,16] [Intel,17] [Apple,18] [Qualcomm,19] [LG,24] [NEC,27] [DCM,30] [Ericsson,34]
        + PSSCH TX and PSSCH RX [vivo,5] [Intel,17] [Apple,18] [LG,24] [DCM,30]
        + PSSCH TX and PSSCH TX [vivo,5] [Apple,18] [LG,24]
        + PSFCH TX and PSFCH RX [vivo,5] [Apple,18] [DCM,30]
        + PSFCH TX and PSFCH TX [vivo,5] [Apple,18] [DCM,30]
        + SL TX and UL TX [vivo,5] [Intel,17] [LG,24] [DCM,30]
        + SL RX and UL TX [vivo,5] [Intel,17] [LG,24] [DCM,30]
      * based on detected resource conflict [Intel,17] [Apple,18] [Qualcomm,19] [LG,24] [NEC,27] [Ericsson,34]
        + PSSCH TX and PSSCH RX [Intel,17] [Apple,18] [Qualcomm,19] [LG,24]
        + PSSCH TX and PSSCH TX [Apple,18] [Qualcomm,19] [LG,24]
        + PSFCH TX and PSFCH RX [Apple,18]
        + PSFCH TX and PSFCH TX [Apple,18]
        + SL TX and UL TX [Intel,17] [Qualcomm,19] [LG,24]
        + SL RX and UL TX [Intel,17] [Qualcomm,19] [LG,24]
  + Other information in the inter-UE coordination information
    - For Type A and/or B
      * Recommended TX parameters [MediaTek,8]
      * Sensing information [Fujitsu,9] [Apple,18] [Hyundai,32] [ASUSTeK,33]
      * Source ID of UE-B [Fujitsu,9] [Hyundai,32]
      * Destination ID associated with UE-B [Fujitsu,9] [Hyundai,32]
      * Resource conflict type [Fujitsu,9] [Apple,18]
      * Indication of information type (e.g. Type A or Type B) [Fraunhofer,12] [Convida,25]
      * Indication about the intended recipient UE [Fraunhofer,12] [LG,24]
      * Resource pool index [Fraunhofer,12]
    - For Type B and/or Type C
      * Resource conflict type (e.g., resource collision or half-duplex restriction) [Intel,17] [LG,24]
      * Indication of information type (e.g. Type B or Type C) [Intel,17] [LG,24]
* When UE-A sends ”A set of resources” to UE-B, including which UE(s) sends it
  + For Type A and/or Type B
    - Explicit Trigger-based based coordination procedures [Huawei,1] [Nokia,2] [OPPO,3] [Spreadtrum,4] [vivo,5] [CATT,7] [Fujitsu,9] [FUTUREWEI,10] [Zhejiang Lab,11] [Fraunhofer,12] [Mitsubishi,13] [CMCC,14] [ZTE,15] [Xiaomi,16] [Apple,18] [Samsung,20] [ITL,21] [Sony,22] [LG,24] [Sharp,26] [NEC,27] [InterDigital,28] [Lenovo,29]
      * Information carried by the explicit triggering
        + the parameters related to the sensing procedure of UE-B [Huawei,1] [Nokia,2] [OPPO,3] [CATT,7] [Fujitsu,9] [Fraunhofer,12] [ZTE,15] [Xiaomi,16] [InterDigital,28] [Lenovo,29]
        + A set of preferred or non-preferred resources determined at UE-B [Nokia,2] [OPPO,3]
      * Container of the explicit triggering
        + SCI format [Huawei,1] [OPPO,3] [Fujitsu,9] [FUTUREWEI,10]
        + PSFCH format [Apple,18]
        + Higher layer signaling [OPPO,3] [Fujitsu,9] [LG,24]
    - Event-trigger based coordination procedures [Huawei,1] [Fujitsu,9] [FUTUREWEI,10] [Zhejiang Lab,11] [Fraunhofer,12] [Mitsubishi,13] [CMCC,14] [Xiaomi,16] [ITL,21] [Sony,22] [LG,24] [NEC,27] [InterDigital,28] [Lenovo,29]
      * Based on (pre)configured periodicity [Huawei,1] [ITL,21] [LG,24]
      * Based on RSRP measurement [MediaTek,8] [CMCC,14] [ITL,21]
      * Based on distance between UE-A and UE-B [Mitsubishi,13] [CMCC,14] [Xiaomi,16] [ITL,21]
      * When the coordination information is updated for UE-B [LG,24]
      * Based on decision in higher layer [LG,24]
      * Based on congestion status [LG,24]
      * Based on SL HARQ-ACK state at UE-A side [Lenovo,29]
  + For Type B and/or Type C
    - Explicit Trigger-based based coordination procedures [Intel,17]
      * Container of the explicit triggering
        + 1st SCI format [Intel,17]
    - Event-trigger based coordination procedures
      * Based on detection of resource conflict [Spreadtrum,4] [vivo,5] [MediaTek,8] [Fujitsu,9] [Xiaomi,16] [Intel,17] [Apple,18] [LG,24] [InterDigital,28] [Lenovo,29]
    - Validity check of transmitting inter-UE coordination information
      * Based on distance between UE-A and UE-B(s) [Intel,17] [LG,24]
      * Based on RSRP from UE-A to UE-B(s) [Intel,17] [LG,24] [Lenovo,29]
      * Based on L2 ID(s) from UE-B [Huawei,1] [LG,24]
      * Based on distance between UE-Bs [LG,24]
      * Based on communication range requirement [LG,24]
* How UE-A sends ”A set of resources” to UE-B, including container used for carrying it, implicitly or explicitly or both
  + For Type A and/or Type B
    - 1st SCI format [Nokia,2] [Spreadtrum,4] [MediaTek,8] [FUTUREWEI,10] [Xiaomi,16] [Lenovo,29]
    - 2nd SCI format [Huawei,1] [OPPO,3] [Spreadtrum,4] [vivo,5] [CAICT,6] [MediaTek,8] [Fraunhofer,12] [CMCC,14] [Xiaomi,16] [Samsung,20] [Sony,22] [Lenovo,29]
    - Higher layer signaling (e.g. MAC CE and/or PC5-RRC) [OPPO,3] [Spreadtrum,4] [vivo,5] [MediaTek,8] [Fraunhofer,12] [ZTE,15] [Intel,17] [LG,24] [NEC,27] [Lenovo,29]
    - PSFCH format [OPPO,3] [Sony,22]
  + For Type B and/or Type C
    - PSFCH format [vivo,5] [CAICT,6] [MediaTek,8] [Xiaomi,16] [Intel,17] [Apple,18] [LG,24] [NEC,27] [Lenovo,29] [DCM,30]
      * Priority is inherited by the priority indicated by TX UE [Intel,17] [LG,24] [Lenovo,29]
  + Further consideration of using a single signaling to transmit one or multiple “set of resources” to multiple of UEs [OPPO,3] [LG,24]
  + Retransmission of the inter-UE coordination information
  + Further consideration on whether shared or dedicated resource is used for inter-UE coordination signaling [Nokia,2]
* How/when/whether UE-B receives “A set of resources” and takes it into account in the resource selection for its own transmission
  + For Type A and/or Type B
    - How UE-B performs resource (re)selection procedure upon receiving the inter-UE coordination information
      * Combine UE-B’s sensing results and resource set provided from UE-A [Huawei,1] [OPPO,3] [vivo,5] [CATT,7] [FUTUREWEI,10] [Fraunhofer,12] [CMCC,14] [Xiaomi,16] [Apple,18] [ETRI,23] [LG,24] [Convida,25] [NEC,27] [InterDigital,28] [Lenovo,29] [Hyundai,32]
      * Use resource set provided from UE-A without a consideration of UE-B’s sensing results [Huawei,1] [vivo,5] [FUTUREWEI,10] [Fraunhofer,12] [Apple,18] [ETRI,23] [Convida,25] [InterDigital,28] [Hyundai,32]
      * Reselect UE-B’s reserved resources [OPPO,3] [Apple,18] [LG,24] [Lenovo,29]
      * It is up to UE-B how to use it [Zhejiang Lab,11] [ZTE,15] [Samsung,20]
    - Cast type of UE-B that can use inter-UE coordination information
      * Unicast [Huawei,1] [OPPO,3] [Spreadtrum,4] [vivo,5] [Fujitsu,9] [Fraunhofer,12] [Mitsubishi,13] [Xiaomi,16] [Samsung,20] [ETRI,23] [LG,24] [Convida,25] [Lenovo,29]
      * Groupcast with HARQ-ACK feedback Option 1 [Spreadtrum,4] [vivo,5] [Fujitsu,9] [Fraunhofer,12] [Mitsubishi,13] [Samsung,20] [LG,24] [Convida,25] [Lenovo,29]
      * Groupcast with HARQ-ACK feedback Option 2 [Huawei,1] [OPPO,3] [Spreadtrum,4] [vivo,5] [Fujitsu,9] [Fraunhofer,12] [Mitsubishi,13] [Samsung,20] [ETRI,23] [LG,24] [Lenovo,29]
      * Broadcast [Spreadtrum,4] [vivo,5] [Fujitsu,9] [Mitsubishi,13] [LG,24] [Convida,25] [Lenovo,29]
    - Validity check of the received inter-UE coordination information at UE-B side
      * Based on RSRP in coordination information [Fujitsu,9]
      * Based on distance between UE-A and UE-B [Fujitsu,9] [Fraunhofer,12] [Mitsubishi,13] [LG,24]
      * Based on RSRP from UE-A to UE-B [Fraunhofer,12] [LG,24]
      * Based on information about target UE of the inter-UE coordination information [Fraunhofer,12] [LG,24]
      * Based on whether the indicated resource set is inside UE-B’s selection window
  + For Type B and/or Type C
    - How UE-B performs resource (re)selection procedure upon receiving the inter-UE coordination information
      * UE-B performs retransmission on the already selected resource(s) [Intel,17] [Qualcomm,19]
      * UE-B reselect all or a subset of its own selected resource(s) [vivo,5] [MediaTek,8] [Fujitsu,9] [Intel,17] [LG,24] [NEC,27] [DCM,30]
      * Continue to use the selected resource(s) [Intel,17]
      * Skip all or a subset of its own selected resource(s) [Intel,17]
      * Further consideration on what is the non-preferred resource set for the resource conflict indication [LG,24]
    - Cast type of UE-B that can use inter-UE coordination information
      * Unicast
      * Groupcast with HARQ-ACK feedback Option 1 [Fujitsu,9] [Qualcomm,19]
      * Groupcast with HARQ-ACK feedback Option 2
      * Broadcast
    - Validity check of the received inter-UE coordination information at UE-B side
      * Resources for initial transmission of UE-B [LG,24]
      * Resources for retransmission of UE-B of which HARQ-ACK state is not ACK [LG,24]
      * Based on HARQ-ACK state at UE-B side [LG,24]
      * Based on the number of (re)transmission of the same TB at UE-B side [LG,24]
* Others
  + Further consideration of indication to UE-A of ID(s) used by UE-B and the intended receiver(s) of UE-B’s transmission [Nokia,2]
  + Further consideration of congestion control for inter-UE coordination signaling [Fujitsu,9] [Zhejiang Lab,11] [Intel,17] [LG,24]
  + Further consideration on the unmonitored slot at UE-B side [Fujitsu,9] [LG,24]
  + Further consideration on the impact on Rel-16 UE sharing the same resource pool with UEs using inter-UE coordination operation [Samsung,20] [Panasonic,31]
  + Further consideration on SL DRX to determine “A set of resources” at UE-A side [ASUSTeK,33]
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10. R1-2102720 Considerations on inter-UE coordination for mode 2 enhancements Fujitsu
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29. R1-2103538 On Inter-UE coordination for Mode 2 enhancement InterDigital, Inc.
30. R1-2103549 Discussion on inter-UE coordination for Mode 2 enhancements Lenovo, Motorola Mobility
31. R1-2103593 Resource allocation for reliability and latency enhancements NTT DOCOMO, INC.
32. R1-2103605 Inter-UE coordination for Mode 2 enhancements Panasonic Corporation
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36. **Appendix**

**4.1 Conclusions made in RAN1#103-e meeting**

* ***Conclusion****:*
  + *The schemes of inter-UE coordination in Mode 2 are categorized as being based on the following types of “A set of resources” sent by UE-A to UE-B:*
    - *UE-A sends to UE-B the set of resources preferred for UE-B’s transmission*
      * + *e.g., based on its sensing result*
    - *UE-A sends to UE-B the set of resources not preferred for UE-B’s transmission*
      * + *e.g., based on its sensing result and/or expected/potential resource conflict*
    - *UE-A sends to UE-B the set of resource where the resource conflict is detected*
    - *FFS: details of resource conflict, e.g., including type of resource conflict*
    - *FFS: details of sensing operation at UE-A side*
    - *FFS: which type(s) of resource set information is(are) beneficial/feasible to which cast type(s)*
    - *Note: these different types may be used in combination with each other*
  + *From RAN1 perspective, further study on the feasibility/benefit of inter-UE coordination is required*
  + *Send an LS to RAN plenary*
    - *Final LS in* [*R1-2009841*](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_103\Docs\R1-2009841.zip)
* ***Conclusion****:*
  + *For the schemes of inter-UE coordination identified as feasible/beneficial, at least the following aspects are further discussed.*
    - *How/when UE-A determines the contents of ”A set of resources”, including consideration of UL scheduling*
    - *When UE-A sends ”A set of resources” to UE-B, including which UE(s) sends it*
    - *How UE-A and UE-B are determined*
    - *How UE-A sends ”A set of resources” to UE-B, including container used for carrying it, implicitly or explicitly or both*
    - *How/when/whether UE-B receives “A set of resources” and takes it into account in the resource selection for its own transmission*
    - *How/whether to define the relationship between support/signaling of inter-UE coordination and cast type*

**4.2 Conclusions made in RAN1#104-e meeting**

* ***Conclusion****:*
  + *RAN1 concludes that the inter-UE coordination in Mode 2 is feasible, and is beneficial (e.g., reliability, etc.) compared to Rel-16 Mode 2 RA, and thus recommends specification of the feature.*
    - *The detailed observations can be found in the attachment of the LS*
* *Draft LS in* [*R1-2102165*](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_104\Docs\R1-2102165.zip)*, along with the attachment* [*R1-2102166*](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_104\Docs\R1-2102166.zip)*, is approved (with a typo fix)* 
  + *Final LS in R1-2102168*