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## Summary of companies’ inputs

### Issue 1 (Rel.17 unified TCI framework for intra-cell beam management)

Table 1 Summary: issue 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 1.6 | Setting of UL PC parameters except for PL-RS (P0, alpha, closed loop index): In addition to association with UL channel/RS,   * Alt1. The setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) is also associated with UL or (if applicable) joint TCI state * Alt2. The setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) is included with UL or (if applicable) joint TCI state * Alt3. The setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) is neither associated with nor included in UL or (if applicable) joint TCI state * Alt4. The setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) is determined as in Rel-16 without enhancement | **Alt1 (11)**: Lenovo, CMCC (PUCCH), Nokia/NSB, NTT Docomo, Spreadtrum, CATT, ZTE, OPPO (PUSCH, PUCCH), Qualcomm, Futurewei  **Alt2 (6)**: IDC, Samsung, Intel (at least PUCCH), Apple, Qualcomm, LGE  **Alt3 (5)**: Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, CMCC (PUSCH – SRI, SRS – SRSResourceSet), Ericsson (for P0 and alpha), Sony,  **Alt4 (5)**: vivo, OPPO (SRS), MTK, Huawei, HiSi |
| 1.7 | Path-loss measurement (PL RS):   * Alt1. PL-RS can be included in UL TCI state or (if applicable) joint TCI state.   + FFS: Whether it is always included or not. If not included, PL-RS is the periodic DL-RS used as a source RS for determining spatial TX filter or the PL RS used for the UL RS in UL or (if applicable) joint TCI state. * Alt2. PL-RS can be associated with (but not included in) UL TCI state or (if applicable) joint TCI state   + FFS: Exact association mechanism   + FFS: Whether it is always associated or not. If not associated, PL-RS is the periodic DL-RS used as a source RS for determining spatial TX filter or the PL RS used for the UL RS in UL or (if applicable) joint TCI state * Alt3. The periodic DL-RS used as a source RS for determining spatial TX filter can be used as PL-RS. In case the periodic DL-RS used as a source RS for determining spatial TX filter is not used as PL-RS, reuse Rel.16 procedure with the same signaling structure (MAC CE+SRI field in UL-related DCI) to indicate PL-RS for UL transmission with minimum enhancement (e.g. pertaining to the use for PUCCH, or using default PL-RS)   + PL-RS is not additionally configured in or associated to UL TCI state or (if applicable) joint TCI state * Alt4. UE calculates path-loss based on periodic DL RS configured as the source RS or a periodic QCL-Type-D/spatialRelationInfo source of the source RS in UL TCI state or (if applicable) joint TCI state   + FFS: Whether UE can calculate path-loss based on DL periodic RS for path-loss calculation for UL RS in the UL TCI | **Alt1 (10)**: IDC, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, Ericsson (if UL RS in TCI state), NTT Docomo, OPPO, Intel (at least PUCCH), Qualcomm, AT&T, LGE  **Alt2 (14)**: Lenovo/MoM, CMCC, NTT Docomo, Huawei, HiSi, Spreadtrum, CATT, ZTE, MTK, Futurewei, Sony, Nokia/NSB  **Alt3 (1)**: vivo  **Alt4 (3)**: Ericsson (if DL RS in TCI state), Samsung, Apple, |

**Table 2: PL-RS**

|  |
| --- |
| **(New) Proposal 1.5**: On Rel.17 unified TCI framework, in RAN1#105-e, further discuss to down select or combine from the following two alternatives for PL-RS (note: the text below is based on the agreed description in RAN1#104-e):   * Alt1. PL-RS is included in UL TCI state (or, if applicable, joint TCI state). * Alt2. PL-RS is associated with (but not included in) UL TCI state (or, if applicable, joint TCI state)   + FFS: Exact association mechanism   In addition:   * Support a UE reporting its capability of whether it expects beam alignment between the PL-RS included in or associated with an UL TCI state (or, if applicable, joint TCI state) and the TX spatial source RS of the UL TCI state (or, if applicable, joint TCI state).   + Beam alignment indicates that the total number of TCI/spatialRelation for the PL-RS and the RS in UL TCI (or, if applicable, joint TCI) should be counted as 1 based on the principle defined in UE FG 2-62. * Depending on the final outcome, FFS on exact association mechanism and whether to support a unified mechanism for the setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) and PL-RS, if PL-RS can be associated with (but not included in) UL TCI state or (if applicable) joint TCI state * FFS whether/when a fallback scheme is needed and, if so, further details * FFS: The total number of maintained PL-RSs per CC * FFS: UE capability for maximum number of active PL-RS across CCs per band |

**Table 3**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Mod V00 | Proposal 1.5: Based on previous discussion and some offline discussion I was informed of, the new proposal 1.5 could be a good starting point. It basically builds on the format for the previous 1.5B (from Futurewei) but including some parts from 1.5A without agreeing on a default scheme but with addressing concern from some companies about misalignment between PL-RS and   * **Please share your view** * **Since PL-RS has been discussed since day-1 please be flexible when it comes to less essential (purely style or flavor preference) matters** |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| CATT | Support the proposal. |
| vivo | With the following as our preference:  (**New) Proposal 1.5**: On Rel.17 unified TCI framework, in RAN1#105-e, further discuss to down select or combine from the following two alternatives for PL-RS (note: the text below is based on the agreed description in RAN1#104-e):   * Alt1. PL-RS is included in UL TCI state (or, if applicable, joint TCI state). * Alt2. PL-RS is associated with (but not included in) UL TCI state (or, if applicable, joint TCI state)   + FFS: Exact association mechanism   In addition:   * Support a UE reporting its capability of whether it expects beam alignment between the PL-RS included in or associated with an UL TCI state (or, if applicable, joint TCI state) and the TX spatial source RS of the UL TCI state (or, if applicable, joint TCI state).   + Beam alignment indicates that the total number of TCI/spatialRelation for the PL-RS and the RS in UL TCI (or, if applicable, joint TCI) should be counted as 1 based on the principle defined in UE FG 2-62. * For the case when periodic DL RS is configured as the source RS in UL or joint TCI state, the UE estimates path-loss based on the periodic DL-RS provided as a source RS for determining spatial TX filter in UL or (if applicable) joint TCI state * ~~Depending on the final outcome, FFS on exact association mechanism and whether to support a unified mechanism for the setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) and PL-RS, if PL-RS can be associated with (but not included in) UL TCI state or (if applicable) joint TCI state~~ * Support additional UE capability to report whether above PLRS determination mechanism is supported. ~~FFS whether/when a fallback scheme is needed and, if so, further details~~ * FFS: The total number of maintained PL-RSs per CC * FFS: UE capability for maximum number of active PL-RS across CCs per band |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Issue 2 (L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility)

**Table 4**

|  |
| --- |
| **Proposal 2.1**: On Rel.17 multi-beam measurement/reporting enhancements for L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility and inter-cell mTRP,   * For [periodic, semi-persistent, and aperiodic] reporting, in one reporting instance, depending on NW configuration, beam(s) associated with a non-serving cell can be mixed with that associated with serving-cell   + FFS: whether this applies to periodic and semi-persistent   + FFS: How to report the K beams and corresponding qualities if the Tx power among the non-serving cell and with serving-cell is not the same   + Note: The supported numbers of non-serving cells (in terms of measurement/reporting) have not yet been decided. The above description doesn’t imply only one non-serving cell is allowed to be configured for measurement. Nor does this imply that only one non-serving cell is allowed in one reporting instance.   **Proposal (working assumption) 2.2**: On Rel.17 multi-beam measurement/reporting enhancements for L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility and inter-cell mTRP,   * For L1-RSRP measurement and at least aperiodic reporting, support MAC CE based dynamic activation/deactivation of a subset of higher-layer-configured measurement for non-serving cell SSBs   + FFS: Additionally activated non-serving cell information for SSBs to be measured, or activated non-serving cell SSBs   + FFS: Dynamic (MAC CE and/or DCI) activation for semi-persistent   **Proposal 2.3**: Send LS to RAN4 to ask their views on DL measurement timing assumptions for L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility and inter-cell mTRP. |

**Table 5**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Mod V00 | Proposal 2.1: A few companies argue that mixed SC/NSC report is mainly for aperiodic. Nokia argues that if mixed SC/NSC report is agreed, it should be for P, SP, and AP:   * **Please share your view on the cyan text above on supporting mixed report for P, SP, and AP**   Proposal 2.2: A few companies argue that MAC CE activation of SSBs can be instrumental if at least one maximum K is agreed to be large. But most companies support this feature at least for UE power saving.   * **Would a working assumption be acceptable, which can be confirmed if a large value of max K is supported?**   Proposal 2.3: After a proposal on timing assumption didn’t go through vivo proposed to send an LS to RAN4. **Please share your view on this** |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| CATT | Proposal 2.1: Support the cyan text. We believe this functionality should be supported in Rel.17.  Proposal 2.2: Support the proposal. A working assumption is acceptable.  Proposal 2.3: OK |
| vivo | Support all the three proposals. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Issue 3 (signaling medium)

**Table 6**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

### Issue 4 (MP-UE)

The previous agreement deals with UE reporting for UE-initiated panel selection/activation. In addition, there are two more types of UE reporting proposed by companies:

* Opt1. UE report on panel-specific information (related to UE capability): Information related to the panels equipped by UE for gNB to configure UL resources accordingly
  + E.g., the total number of DL/UL panels, the max number of antenna ports/layers per panel, maximum achievable EIRP per panel, minimal switching delay between panels
  + Support: Huawei, HiSi, ZTE, LG, MediaTek, Apple, Nokia/NSB
* Opt2. UE report on panel activation/selection status (L1/L2 report): Information related to the change of activated/selected panels to refresh/reset UL measurement at gNB accordingly
  + Support: Huawei, HiSi, CATT (via MAC-CE or with existing UL transmission occasions like RACH), APT/FGI, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, LG, Qualcomm (updating panel ID for UL resources), Samsung, Sony, NTT Docomo

In addition, some companies propose to extend the Rel-15 SRS resource definition by allowing resources with different number of ports. This is aligned with an agreed assumption that different UE panels can have different number of ports.

In light of the above, the following 3 proposals can be a good starting point for discussion.

**Table 7**

|  |
| --- |
| **Proposal 4.1**: On Rel.17 enhancements for MPUE, support UE to report panel-specific information as a UE capability. Select from at least the following:   * Information related to the total number of DL/UL panel entities * Information related to the number of antenna ports/layers per panel entity * Information related to the maximum number of resources per panel entity for SRS BM * Information related to maximum achievable EIRP per panel entity * Information related to panel switching delay * Note: above ‘panel entity’ is a logical entity and how to map physical panels to the logical entities is up to UE implementation   **Proposal 4.2**: On Rel.17 enhancements for MPUE, for codebook based UL transmission, support CB based SRS resources with different numbers of ports (e.g. 2 ports+4 ports).   * FFS details (e.g. per resource or per resource set) * Note: the above is not for Rel-16 full power transmission but for Rel-17 panel-specific UL transmission   **Proposal 4.3**: On Rel.17 enhancements for MPUE, support UE to report information related to panel activation/selection status   * FFS on reporting parameter and method (e.g. L1 or L2, updated panel ID for a UL resource (set), etc.) |

**Table 8**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Mod V00 | **Please share your views on the above proposals** |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| CATT | Support the proposal. |
| Vivo | Support the first one with following update.  **Proposal 4.1**: On Rel.17 enhancements for MPUE, support UE to report panel-specific information as a UE capability. Select from at least the following:   * Information related to the total number of DL/UL panel entities * ~~Information related to the number of antenna ports/layers per panel entity~~ * ~~Information related to the maximum number of resources per panel entity for SRS BM~~ * ~~Information related to maximum achievable EIRP per panel entity~~ * ~~Information related to panel switching delay~~ * Note: above ‘panel entity’ is a logical entity and how to map physical panels to the logical entities is up to UE implementation   Proposal 4.2 need further study.  Proposal 4.3 support. |
|  |  |

### Issue 5 (MPE mitigation)
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