**Proposal for email thread topics for Rel-16 5G V2X maintenance**

Thread #1

* Issue SY-1: Timing misalignment between eNB/gNB, gNB/gNB
* Issue PS-1: Logical or physical slots in RS sequence generation and mapping

Thread #2

* Issue M1-1-1: dci-FormatsExt vs dci-FormatsSL

Thread #3

* Issue M1-2-1: For Type 1, the number of PSSCH slots associated with the same PSFCH slot could be smaller than the PSFCH resource period
* Issue M1-2-2: How to operate with multiple resource pools with different PSFCH periods

Thread #4

* Issue M2-1: Infinite loop due to excessive resource exclusion in step 5)
* Issue M2-2: Resource exclusion/selection for multiple transport blocks

Thread #5

* Issue PP-1: How SL HARQ-ACK report is piggybacked on PUSCH

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| LG Electronics | First of all, we think that M2-1 and M 2-2 were already discussed during the past preparation phase/technical discussion but failed to be selected as critical remaining issues or reach agreements. In this sense, our preference is to remove M2-1 and M 2-2 and rather include PP-2 or PS-2 which has never been discussed before but needs clarification. Secondly, in our understanding, there was no consensus in Rel-16 feature discussion to have further optimization for SL communication between UEs having different timing synchronization sources. So, SY-1 should be removed.  |
| OPPO | For M2-1: The infinite loop issue should be discussed because it is a logical error of current mode 2 procedure.For M2-2: The collision between multiple TBs has been discussed by both RAN1 and RAN 2 already in the past, and neither group is able to reach any consensus. In R16, we see this as an optimization as the collision/conflict can be resolved by UE implementation. However, we are open to discuss this in R17 as this can have performance impact (e.g. for the selection of Y candidate slots in partial sensing so that UE does not perform sensing in slots that are not necessary and limiting its selection of resources).For M1-3-2: It is an essential issue in our view and it should be addressed. It is already agreed that SL transmission does not use SL HARQ feedback is supported in RAN2, but how a UE report ACK/NACK to gNB on PUCCH is not captured in the spec. In RAN1, we already made the following agreement in RAN1#100bis-e and it should be reflected in the spec. Otherwise, the UE behavior of how to report ACK/NACK to gNB is not defined when SL transmission does not use SL HARQ feedback.Agreements:* The working assumption (as in proposal 4 in the summary) from RAN1#100-e is confirmed.
	+ If the SL transmission does not use SL HARQ feedback (if supported by RAN2), the UE reports NACK to request further resources for blind retransmission and ACK otherwise.
 |
| NTT DOCOMO | We are OK to discuss other than SY-1 and M2-2.For SY-1, we understand the issue, while discussion for this topic in current phase seems difficult.For M2-2, we think either up to RAN2 or up to UE implementation is fine. It is unclear why further RAN1 discussion is needed.Regarding other topics, we would like to ask the following:* Mode 1: For issue 3 in group 3, exactly RAN1 has discussed. However, current spec seems that UE does not report any information in that case. Is it common understanding and is the behavior is OK? If each company have different understanding, at least some clarification is necessary.
* Procedure: Could I ask to capture TP2/TP3 in our contribution x3556 into the summary of procedure? Or they are labeled as editorial one? In this case, do you have a plan to handle editorial inputs? Any can be handled, or some list will be prepared?
 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Topics in each FL summary**

**Physical layer structure**

Issue PS-Editorial: Whether/how to capture in the specifications will be discussed in Editor CR phase.

* [R1-2103708, Ericsson]: reference of number of layers (ʋ) determined according to the 'Number of DMRS port' field

Issue PS-1: Logical or physical slots in RS sequence generation and mapping

* For RS sequence generation, whether the slot number is logical slot index or physical slot index considering in-coverage scenario with different serving cells.
* [R1-2103213, Samsung], [R1-2103375, LG]

Issue PS-2: SL BWP and UL BWP relationship

* How to generate OFDM baseband signal for NR sidelink considering the center frequency/RB boundary of UL BWP.
* [R1-2103375, LG]

Issue PS-3: Clarifying multiple PSFCH transmission

* It may need to fix the description in simultaneous PSFCH transmission/reception.
* [R1-2103466, Sharp]

Issue PS-4: PSSCH reserved bit definition

* Whether to clarify a UE assumption on the number of reserved bits for PSSCH
* [R1-2103709, Ericsson]

**Synchronization**

Issue SY-1: Timing misalignment between eNB/gNB, gNB/gNB [R1-2102591, CATT, GOHIGH] [R1-2102795, OPPO]

Issue SY-2: NR SL-TDD-Config in the coverage of eNB [R1-2102942, vivo]

Issue SY-3: Indication of the non-TDD case in sl-TDD-Config [R1-2103468, Sharp]

Issue SY-4: Clarification of the notation of “u\_slots^SL” [R1-2103468, Sharp]

**Mode 1**

Issue M1-1: DCI-related aspects

Issue M1-1-1: dci-FormatsExt vs dci-FormatsSL (see Nokia+NSB (P2, P3), vivo (P2, P3), LGE (P1)

Issue M1-1-2: Value of n\_CI (see vivo (TP1))

Issue M1-1-3: For size alignment, include DCI formats for other purposes (see vivo (TP2))

Issue M1-2: Codebook construction

Issue M1-2-1: For Type 1, the number of PSSCH slots associated with the same PSFCH slot could be smaller than the PSFCH resource period

Issue M1-2-2: How to operate with multiple resource pools with different PSFCH periods

Issue M1-2-3: TX power

Issue M1-3: SL HARQ-ACK reports to gNB

Issue M1-3-1: Change RRC parameter values

Issue M1-3-2: SL HARQ-ACK reporting in UL when the SL transmission (scheduled by DG/CG) does not use SL HARQ feedback

Issue M1-3-3: Report ACK when DG is not used

Issue M1-3-4: Slot offset between PSFCH and HARQ-ACK reporting (i.e., k>0 always)

Issue M1-3-5: Some companies discuss actions for a potential reply by RAN2 to LS R1-2102176. In all cases, they suggest waiting for a reply LS

Issue M1-4: Editorial

• TS 38.211

O 8.4.1.2.2: See OPPO

• TS 38.212

O 7.3.1.4.1: DCI format 3\_0 clarification that the configuration index is reserved for DG scheduling a retransmission for CG (see ASUSTeK (TP5), Sharp (TP2))

• TS 38.213

O 10.1:

 Remove ‘a SL-RNTI, a SL-CS-RNTI, or a SL-L-CS-RNTI’ (see Sharp (TP4-1 and TP4-2))

 Other editorial (see Sharp (TP1-1))

O 16.5:

 Clarify that the UE does not expect to multiplex SL HARQ and Uu UCI on PUCCH or PUSCH (see vivo (P9))

 “One bit” (see Sharp (TP6))

 Other editorial (see Sharp (TP1-1))

• TS 38.214

O 8.1.2:

 Clause number (see ASUSTeK (TP3), Sharp (TP1-2))

O 8.1.2.1:

 2xTypo (see vivo (P5))

 RRC parameter name (see ASUSTeK (TP3))

O 8.1.4: ASUSTeK (TP3)

O 8.2.1: See OPPO

**Mode 2**

Issue M2-1 – Infinite loop due to excessive resource exclusion in step 5)

Issue M2-2 – Resource exclusion/selection for multiple transport blocks

Issue M2-3 – Backward indication

Issue M2-4 – Introduce a dropping condition when HARQ RTT time gap is not met

Issue M2-5 – HARQ RTT time gap capturing issue in MAC, send LS

Issue M2-6 – ‘sl-ThresPSSCH-RSRP-List’ to ‘sl-Thres-RSRP-List’ replacement

Issue M2-7 – Exclude the slots with PSFCH when sl-LengthSymbols≤9 in the identification of candidate resources in the sensing procedure

Issue M2-8 – Clarification on timing relation between re-evaluation moment and initial selection moment

Issue M2-9 – Correction to step 6) to include slots within Tproc0

Issue M2-10 – Replacing ‘sl-ResourceReservePeriod1’ by ‘sl-ResourceReservePeriodList’ in 213, clause 16.4

**Physical layer procedure**

Issue PP-1: How SL HARQ-ACK report is piggybacked on PUSCH [vivo,3] [Apple,4] [LG,5] [Huawei,6] [DCM,8]

* Aspects on which PUSCH transmission is used to convey SL HARQ-ACK reporting
* Aspects on scheduling restriction avoiding certain overlapping case(s)

Issue PP-2: Further clarification on reference RS used for pathloss derivation [vivo,3] [ASUSTeK,9]

* Aspects on which serving cell is the reference cell for pathloss derivation
* Aspects on beam failure case

Issue PP-3: Additional prioritization rule

* Issue #3-1: Tie-break for prioritization between PSFCH TX and PSFCH RX [CATT,1]
* Issue #3-2: Prioritization rule between PUSCH carrying SL HARQ-ACK reports and SL transmission [Fujitsu,2] [vivo,3]

Issue PP-4: UE procedure for overlapping UL TX and SL RX [ZTE,7]

Issue PP-5: Minimum number of retransmissions for groupcast with SL HARQ-ACK feedback Option 1 [Intel,10]

**QoS**

Issue QS-1: UE behaviour if highest CBR in CBR range configuration is less than 100 %