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# Introduction

This contribution provides a summary of the discussion in RAN1#104bis-e for the following joint email discussions:

Reply LS to [R1-2102294](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_104b\Docs\R1-2102294.zip) is necessary – target 4/16 for email discussion/approval. To be handled under 7.2.3 (name TBD, Ericsson)

[104b-e-NR-IAB-01] Handle [R1-2102294](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_104b\Docs\R1-2102294.zip) and the related discussion including the draft reply tdocs in AI 5: Granularity of the H/S/NA Slot Configurations for the IAB-DU (including RAN3 LS response) – Thomas (AT&T)

* Discussion and decision by April 15

# Granularity of the H/S/NA Slot Configurations for the IAB-DU

**Source**: R1-2102294, R1-2102927, R1-2103136, R1-2103210, R1-2103284, R1-2103322, R1-2103628, R1-2103713, R1-2103753

**Background:** RAN3 sent the following LS to RAN1 [1]:

|  |
| --- |
| At the RAN3#111-e meeting, RAN3 discussed the interpretation of the following RAN1 agreements:   * From RAN1#98: *“The H/S/NA attributes for the per-cell DU resource configuration should take into account the associated MT carrier frequency(ies)”* * From RAN1#98bis: *“H/S/NA attributes for the per-cell DU resource configuration are explicitly indicated per-resource type (D/U/F) in each slot.”*   In TS 38.473, RAN3 previously specified the *HSNA Slot Configuration List* IE, which allows the CU to provide H/S/NA slot configurations per IAB-DU cell.  Some companies believe that this specification does not correctly reflect the above RAN1 agreements, and that the CU should instead provide H/S/NA slot configurations per (IAB-DU cell, collocated IAB-MT’s serving cell) pair, rather than one configuration per IAB-DU cell.  Since no consensus could be reached, RAN3 respectfully asks RAN1 to clarify the granularity of H/S/NA slot configurations for the IAB-DU. |

**Contributions:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Vivo (R1-2102927) | RAN1 thanks RAN3 for the LS R3-211359 on granularity of the H/S/NA slot configurations for the IAB-DU. Based on RAN1#98bis agreement, CU should provide H/S/NA slot configurations per IAB-DU cell as specified in the HSNA Slot Configuration List IE.   * From RAN1#98bis: “H/S/NA attributes for the per-cell DU resource configuration are explicitly indicated per-resource type (D/U/F) in each slot.”   Regarding whether CU should provide H/S/NA slot configurations per (IAB-DU cell, collocated IAB-MT’s serving cell) pair or per IAB-DU cell. The quoted RAN1#98 agreement does not explain how CU takes into account the associated MT carrier frequency(ies), it is RAN1 understanding that it is up to implementation for CU to consider the associated MT carrier frequency when providing H/S/NA slot configurations.   * From RAN1#98: “The H/S/NA attributes for the per-cell DU resource configuration should take into account the associated MT carrier frequency(ies)”   ***RAN1 response: RAN1 to confirm that CU provides H/S/NA slot configurations per IAB-DU cell.*** |
| Qualcomm (R1-2103136) | **Observation 1:**  **The H/S/NA resource configuration based on the current spec can offer the same functionality as the proposed alternative based on a per (IAB-DU cell, collocated IAB-MT’s serving cell) pair configuration. However, the latter may provide more efficient signaling in some special cases.**  **Observation 2:**  **The adoption of the alternative scheme for H/S/NA configuration would lead to several changes in 38.213, 38.473, and possibly 38.331.**  **Proposal 1:**  **RAN1 to inform RAN3 that the granularity of the H/S/NA slot configurations for the IAB-DU and IAB-donor-DU is per DU-cell. No change is required to the current specifications.** |
| Samsung (R1-2103210) | RAN1 thanks RAN3 for the LS and would like to provide the following response.  Question from RAN3  “RAN3 respectfully asks RAN1 to clarify the granularity of H/S/NA slot configurations for the IAB-DU.”  Regarding the Question, RAN1 would like to inform RAN3 that the CU provides H/S/NA slot configurations per (IAB-DU cell, collocated IAB-MT’s serving cell) pair. |
| ZTE, Sanechips (R1-2103284) | Proposal 1: To clarify to RAN3 that the H/S/NA slot configurations for the IAB-DU is provided per IAB-DU cell. |
| ETRI (R1-2103322) | RAN1 would like to thank RAN3 for the LS on Granularity of the H/S/NA Slot Configurations for the IAB-DU in Rel-16.  Regarding the granularity of the H/S/NA Slot Configurations for the IAB-DU, RAN1 understanding is that the current RAN3 specification in TS 38.473 is well aligned with the previous RAN1 agreements. As highlighted by yellow in the RAN1 agreements from RAN1#98, the H/S/NA configuration needs to consider the all possible pairs of {MT CC, DU cell}.   * From RAN1#98: *“The H/S/NA attributes for the per-cell DU resource configuration should take into account the associated MT carrier frequency(ies)”*   However, it does not necessarily mean that multiple H/S/NA configurations should be configured per IAB-DU cell, e.g. when a DU cell is associated with multiple MT CCs. Since the donor CU and the parent node can be aware of the multiplexing capability between MT and DU of an IAB node to for any {MT CC, DU cell} pair according to the RAN1 agreements from RAN1#98bis, RAN1 believes that the current H/S/NA configuration (i.e. a single set of H/S/NA configurations per IAB-DU cell) can take the associated MT carrier frequencies into account properly.   * From RAN1#98bis: “*The donor CU and the parent node can be made aware of the multiplexing capability between MT and DU (TDM required, TDM not required) of an IAB node to for any {MT CC, DU cell} pair*” |
| LG (R1-2103628) | ***Response:***  It is RAN1’s understanding that the H/S/NA attributes are configured to per IAB-DU cell basis, i.e., one configuration per IAB-DU cell. In RAN1#97 meeting, RAN1 agreed that the DU resource configuration including H/S/NA attributes is per DU cell basis as follows:  *RAN1#97 Agreements:*  *For the semi-static DU resource configuration, the following is supported:*   * *The resources are configured on a per DU (cell) basis*   + *FFS: indication of additional supplemental per-link resource configurations of child DUs*   To determine the availability of MT operation in the associated carrier frequency(ies) with the DU cell, the MT should take into account the H/S/NA attributes of the DU cell. For non-associated MT carrier frequency(ies), it does not need to consider the H/S/NA attributes of the DU cell. It is the intension of the agreement in RAN1#98, *“*The H/S/NA attributes for the per-cell DU resource configuration should take into account the associated MT carrier frequency(ies)”. |
| Ericsson/AT&T (R1-2103713) | Proposal 1 Adopt the text proposal in Sec. 3 regarding changes to H/S/NA configuration in TS 38.213, Clause 14 and send LS to RAN3 as provided in Sec. 4.  - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - Begin extract from TS 38.213 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -  With reference to slots of an IAB-DU cell, for each pair of an IAB-DU cell and an IAB-MT serving cell, a symbol in a slot of an IAB-DU cell can be configured to be of hard, soft, or unavailable type.  In a downlink, uplink, or flexible symbol, the IAB-DU cell cannot respectively transmit, receive, or either transmit or receive in the symbol if  - the DU symbol is configured as unavailable (with respect to any MT serving cell), or  - for any MT serving cell for which the DU symbol is configured as soft,  - the IAB-MT does transmit or receive in the symbol, and  - any IAB-MT transmission or reception in the symbol is changed due to a use of the symbol by the IAB-DU, and  - the IAB-MT does not detect a DCI format 2\_5 with an AI index field value indicating the soft symbol as available  A symbol of a slot is equivalent to being configured as hard if an IAB-DU would transmit a SS/PBCH block, PDCCH for Type0-PDCCH CSS sets configured by *pdcchConfigSIB1*, or a periodic CSI-RS in the symbol of the slot, or would receive a PRACH or a SR in the symbol of the slot.  If an IAB-node is provided an AvailabilityIndicator, the IAB-node is provided an AI-RNTI by *ai-RNTI* and a payload size of a DCI format 2\_5 by *dci-PayloadSizeAI*. The IAB-node is also provided a search space set configuration, by *SearchSpace*, for monitoring PDCCH.  For each pair of an IAB-DU cell and an IAB-MT serving cell, in a set of pairs of an IAB-DU cell and an IAB-MT serving cell, the IAB-DU can be provided:  - an identity of the IAB-DU cell by *iab-DU-CellIdentity*  - a location of an availability indicator (AI) index field in DCI format 2\_5 by positionInDCI-AI  - a set of availability combinations by availabilityCombinations, where each availability combination in the set of availability combinations includes  - resourceAvailability indicating availability of soft symbols in one or more slots for the IAB-DU cell, and  - a mapping for the soft symbol availability combinations provided by *resource*Availability to a corresponding AI index field value in DCI format 2\_5 provided by availabilityCombinationId  - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - End extract from TS 38.213 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -  **To RAN3 group:**  **ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully informs RAN3 that H/S/NA slot configuration should be provided per pair of IAB-DU cell and co-located IAB-MT’s serving cell. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon (R1-2103753) | Observation 1: In RAN1#98bis, RAN1 concludes that the DU resource configuration is “per-cell”, and per-cell DU resource configuration is also captured in RAN1 specification.  Observation 2: With H/S/NA resource configurations per (IAB-DU cell, collocated IAB-MT’s serving cell) pair, the definition of “Hard” resource will no longer hold.  Observation 3: Combined with the multiplexing capability indication, per DU cell H/S/NA resource configuration can guarantee the resource utilization efficiency, and the parent node can figure out which MT CC resource is available.  Proposal: Send a reply LS to RAN3 to clarify that H/S/NA resource configurations should be provided per IAB-DU cell. |

Based on the LS and related contributions it appears there are a few main issues where companies have different views:

* Whether scenarios exist where the RAN1#98 agreement: *“The H/S/NA attributes for the per-cell DU resource configuration should take into account the associated MT carrier frequency(ies)”* was either not implemented in RAN1/RAN3 specifications, or the existing implementation leads to potential ambiguity or incorrect behavior
* If such scenarios exist, whether the specifications should be changed or left to implementation
* Connection with the RAN1#98bis agreement: “*The donor CU and the parent node can be made aware of the multiplexing capability between MT and DU (TDM required, TDM not required) of an IAB node to for any {MT CC, DU cell} pair”* which has signaling similar to what some companies have proposed to address the RAN1#98 agreement

In order to make progress towards a response to the RAN3 LS, it is proposed to first discuss and conclude on which scenarios are of interest and whether cases of different H/S/NA resource configurations can be identified in those scenarios which result in either incorrect or ambiguous behavior.

As a first step, based on analysis of the specifications provided it seems it can be concluded that currently the RAN1#98 agreement to take into account “associated MT carrier frequency(ies)” is not explicitly captured in RAN1 and RAN3 specifications. This does not necessarily mean that this cannot currently be done based on the existing specification, but based on RAN1 and RAN3 discussion so far there does seem to be a need for establishing a common understanding of the expected behavior.

**FL Observation 1: The RAN1#98: “The H/S/NA attributes for the per-cell DU resource configuration should take into account the associated MT carrier frequency(ies)” is not explicitly captured in either RAN1 or RAN3 specifications.**

**Discussion:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Observation 1?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |

Additionally, in identifying scenarios of interest, companies primarily highlighted the following factors in determining whether a carrier of an IAB-MT is associated with a given DU cell:

* Frequency separation (e.g. partially or non-overlapping)
* Multiplexing requirement (e.g. TDM required vs. non-TDM required)
* H/S/NA attribute (e.g. whether for soft resources multiple availability indicators can be supported, impact on definition of the ‘Hard’ attribute, etc.)

Multiple companies raised the issue of the handling of soft resources when the IAB-MT carrier frequency(ies) are adjacent to the frequency of the DU cell, implying that some level of coordination is required (e.g. due to RF impact). As a counter-point, concerns were raised that introducing a per-MT configuration could impact the usage of hard resources at the IAB-DU.

**FL Question 2: From the above factors (and others if any), can scenarios be constructed which result in either incorrect or ambiguous behavior with respect to the configuration of H/S/NA attributes for a given DU cell when taking into account associated MT carrier frequencies? In particular, is there any impact on the usage/configuration of hard or soft resources at the DU in case the co-located IAB-MT has multiple active CCs which are adjacent to the frequency of the DU cell?**

**Discussion:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response to FL Question 2?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |

# Summary

**TBD**