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# 1 Introduction

This document provides a summary of the following RAN1 email discussion.

|  |
| --- |
| [104b-e-LTE-eMTC5-02] Multi-TB and PUR issues – Johan (Ericsson)   * Issue #1: Correction of PUCCH transmit power control for multi-TB scheduling ([R1-2102848](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104b-e/Docs/R1-2102848.zip)) * Issue #2: Correction of bundling parameter for multi-TB scheduling ([R1-2102849](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104b-e/Docs/R1-2102849.zip)) * Issue #3: Clarification of PUSCH PRB resources for PUR ([R1-2103721](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104b-e/Docs/R1-2103721.zip)) * Discussion and decision by April 15, TPs by April 20 |

# Issue #1: Correction of PUCCH transmit power control for multi-TB scheduling

Contribution [1] proposes to clarify that the PUCCH transmit power is the same for all PUCCH transmissions corresponding to TBs scheduled by the same DCI.

**Question 1-1: Companies are invited to comment below on the 36.213 TP in [1] for correction of PUCCH transmit power control for multi-TB scheduling.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Lenovo, MotoM | PUCCH can be configured to transmit periodically w/o DCI indication and PUCCH may not be associated with PDSCH(e.g., not ACK/NACK), so we don’t think the CR is OK. How about the following updated CR  For a BL/CE UE configured with CEModeA, if the PUCCH, or multiple PUCCHs corresponding to PDSCH scheduled by one DCI, are transmitted in more than one subframe *i0*, *i1*, …, *iN-1* where *i0*< *i1*< …< *iN-1*, the PUCCH(s) transmit power in subframe *ik* , *k*=0, 1, …, *N*-1is determined by |
| Qualcomm | We think Lenovo’s version is slightly more clear, but we don’t have a strong view. |
| Ericsson | In Lenovo’s TP above, “PDSCH” can be replaced with “PDSCHs”. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Issue #2: Correction of bundling parameter for multi-TB scheduling

Contribution [2] proposes to change the parameter name *multi-TB-DL-HARQ-bundling* to *harq-AckBundling* in one place in 36.212 in order to align with 36.331.

**Question 2-1: Companies are invited to comment below on the 36.212 TP in [2] for correction of bundling parameter for multi-TB scheduling.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Lenovo, MotoM | We are fine with the CR |
| FUTUREWEI | OK with the CR. It should be clear that this parameter is for the -r16 feature. |
| Nokia, NSB | Fine with CR |
| Qualcomm | OK with the CR |
| Ericsson | We support the proposed change. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Issue #3: Clarification of PUSCH PRB resources for PUR

Contribution [3] proposes to clarify how to obtain the PUSCH PRB resource configuration for PUR.

**Question 3-1: Companies are invited to comment below on the 36.211 TP in [3] for clarification of PUSCH PRB resources for PUR.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Lenovo, MotoM | We are fine with the CR |
| Nokia, NSB | Fine with CR |
| Ericsson | We support the proposed change. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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