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# Introduction
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In RAN1#104-e meeting, there were some discussion on the CORESET/SS configurations for RA-SDT and SSB to PUSCH mapping for CG-SDT regarding the LS triggered by RAN2 (R1-2100025). A reply LS containing the agreements on part of issues was sent to RAN2 (R1-2102125). In this meeting, it is necessary to continue the discussion on the remaining physical layer issues.

In addition, there is another LS received from RAN2 (R1-2102286) asking about the timing alignment (TA) validation mechanism. It is expected that a reply LS is needed based on RAN1 discussions.

This document contains the summary of issues related to the physical layer aspects of small data transmission in RAN1#104b-e meeting.

# Identified issues

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Issue # | Description | Related TDoc # |
| 1 | TA validation for CG-SDT | R1-2102312, R1-2102578, R1-2102647, R1-2102837, R1-2102932, R1-2103013, R1-2103139, R1-2103211, R1-2103380, R1-2103494, R1-2103678 |
| 2 | SSB to PUSCH mapping for CG-SDT (including the issues related to repetition and PUSCH validation) | R1-2102312, R1-2102578, R1-2102647, R1-2102837, R1-2102932, R1-2103013, R1-2103075, R1-2103334, R1-2103380, R1-2103380, R1-2103494, R1-2103678 |
| 3 | CORESET/SS for RA-SDT | R1-2102578, R1-2103286, R1-2103380 |
| 4 | Beam correspondence in RRC\_INACTIVE | R1-2102647 |
| 5 | BWP related issues | R1-2103334, R1-2103494 |

# TA validation for CG-SDT

To be handled by email thread [104b-e-NR-R17-SDT-LS-01].

# SSB to PUSCH mapping for CG-SDT

Conclusions from the last meeting:

* One or multiple SSBs can be associated with each CG configuration for CG-SDT.
* From RAN1 perspective, the following options can be considered for the association between the SSBs and the CG resources (including transmission occasions and DMRS) per CG configuration for CG-SDT.
	+ Opt. 1: Define the SSB-to-CG-PUSCH mapping rule
		- Reuse the SSB-to-RO mapping as the baseline
		- FFS the potential RAN1 impact, e.g. mapping ratio and association period
	+ Opt. 2: CG resources per CG configuration are associated with a set of SSB(s) by explicit signalling.
		- FFS the potential RAN1 impact
	+ Other solutions are not precluded
* FFS whether repetition is supported for CG-SDT or not, and if supported how to handle the mapping between the SSBs and repetitions
* FFS TA validation and PUSCH validation for CG-SDT.

## Clarifications on the resource configuration of CG-SDT

Before we do the down-selection for the association between SSBs and CG resources, it seems that some clarifications regarding the configuration of CG-SDT resources need to be aligned firstly.

1. In R1-2102932, vivo mentioned that it should be first clarified that which option should be adopted regarding the configuration of CG-SDT resource.
* Option 1: reuse the configuration mechanism for CG configuration in licensed band
* Option 2: reuse the configuration mechanism for msgA PUSCH configuration of 2-step RACH

In vivo’s contribution option 2 was proposed, however it is the moderator’s view that option 1 should be the baseline understanding unless more information is provided by RAN2.

1. In R1-2103334, LGE propose to clarify whether a CG PUCCH occasion is dedicated to one UE or common to all UEs capable of SDT at a cell, and whether a CG PUSCH transmission in Option 1 requires contention resolution.

If the Rel-16 CG configuration is reused, it is the moderator’s understanding that the configuration is UE-specific, but the CG resources for different UEs could be possibly overlapped or non-overlapped, and thus whether contention resolution is needed or not can be up to gNB’s implementation.

### First round comments

Any comments on the above issues for the resource configuration of CG-SDT?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung | Based on our understanding, the option 1 is used for CG-PUSCH configuration in CG-SDT, which should not have dependence on RACH resource. However, whether directly reuse every configurations as exactly same as in CG-PUSCH should be discussed, e.g., we could re-interpret the repetition number as the number of PUSCH occasions in one period.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Association between the SSBs and the CG resources for CG-SDT

Based on the contributions submitted to this meeting:

Opt. 1 is supported by CATT (R1-2102578), OPPO (R1-2102837), vivo (R1-2102932), Ericsson (R1-2103678).

But the details are still different, i.e. in CATT’s contribution it is proposed that the SSBs are map to time domain resources only, while in the contribution of OPPO and vivo, the proposal is to support 1-to-1 mapping between SSB and one PUSCH resource associated with one PUSCH DMRS. Furthermore, in Ericsson’s contribution it is mentioned that opt 1 and opt 2 are not exclusive, and proposed to FFS whether different subset of SSBs should be mapped to different CG PUSCH configurations.

Opt. 2 is supported by Huawei (R1-2102312), Nokia (R1-2102647), Intel (R1-2103013), Apple (R1-2103075), InterDigital (R1-2103380), LGE (R1-2103334), ZTE (R1-2103494)

It is noticed that in Huawei’s contribution it is proposed to additionally configure the mapping ratio so that PUSCH resource in different CG periods under the same CG configuration may be associated with different SSBs; while the other proponents’ views are that there is no need to define another SSB-to-PUSCH transmission occasion mapping within the CG configuration. In addition, in LGE’s contribution it is further proposed that different CG configurations should be mapped to different SSBs.

Based on the moderator’s understanding, there are actually three alternatives: 1) the SSBs are mapped to the PUSCH resource units (PUSCH occasion + DMRS); 2) the SSBs are mapped to the PUSCH configuration, no need to differentiate the PUSCH resources in the configuration; 3) the SSBs are mapped to the time-domain PUSCH resources (CG period), corresponding to the figures and proposals in the contributions by Huawei and CATT. It is proposed to do the down-selection among them in this meeting and provide the reply LS to RAN2.

***Discussion point #1:***

* Down-select from the following alternatives for the mapping between SSBs and CG resources for CG-SDT in this meeting
	+ Alt. 1: The SSBs are mapped to the PUSCH resources units (a unit is defined as a transmission occasion and a DMRS port used for PUSCH transmission), reusing the SSB-to-RO mapping as the baseline.
	+ Alt. 2: CG resources per CG configuration are associated with a set of SSB(s) by explicit signalling. No need to define another SSB-to-PUSCH transmission occasion mapping within the CG configuration.
	+ Alt. 3: Each CG configuration is configured with a set of SSB(s) by explicit signalling. The SSBs in the set are further mapped to the PUSCH resources by configuring the mapping ratio of SSBs associated with CG periods per CG configuration.

### First round comments

Please indicate which alternative is preferred/acceptable/not acceptable for the mapping between SSBs and CG resources for CG-SDT in the table below.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Alt(s) preferred | Alt(s) acceptable | Alt(s) NOT acceptable | Comments |
| Samsung  | Alt.1 |  |  | One clarification for Alt.1 is that, whether DMRS port maybe need to change to DMRS resource to include potentially different DMRS sequence? In Alt.2, if multiple SSB associated with on CG resource, and when UE needs to send the CG-PUSCH, how could gNB differentiate the preferred SSB selected by UE if there is no SSB-to-PUSCH transmission occasion mapping within the CG configuration?Is the intention of alt.3 the combination of alt.1 and alt.2 somehow?  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Repetition of CG PUSCH for CG-SDT

Several companies supporting the alt.2 or alt.3 for the association (Huawei, CATT, Nokia, Apple, ZTE) point out that repetition can be supported based on the existing CG configuration.

One company supporting alt.1 (vivo) mentioned that if CG-SDT PUSCH repetitions are supported, all PUSCH repetitions should be associated with the same SSB(s), and a RV sequence for CG-SDT PUSCH repetitions are defined, e.g. RV= {0, 2, 3, 1}.

One company (InterDigital) proposed not to address the FFS for repetition, for the reason that coverage enhancement is not pursued.

***Discussion point #2:***

* Is there any additional spec impact to support repetition for CG-SDT?

### First round comments

Any comments on the discussion point #2?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung  | We don’t think the repetition function is necessary for CG-SDT, as in RAN2 discussion, the UE will decide to do which type of SDT based on channel measurement, apparently only the UE with better channel will do CG-SDT.Besides, we can reuse the configuration of repetition number to interpret as number of PUSCH transmission occasion in one CG period.  |
|  |  |

## PUSCH validation

In R1-2102932 (vivo) and R1-2103013 (Intel), it is proposed to reuse the msgA PUSCH validation rule for the validation of CG-PUSCH occasions for CG-SDT

Intel also mentioned that overlapping between CG-PUSCH occasions for CG-SDT and MsgA PUSCH occasions for 2-step RACH should be further studied.

***Discussion point #3:***

* Reuse the msgA PUSCH validation rule for the validation of CG-PUSCH occasions for CG-SDT
	+ FFS overlapping between CG-PUSCH occasions for CG-SDT and MsgA PUSCH occasions for 2-step RACH

### First round comments

Any comments on the discussion point #3?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung  | If the CG-PUSCH configuration is upto gNB configuration, it may not be necessary to apply the validation rules, since unlike 2step RACH, the msgA PUSCH is highly related to the RACH which is configured by gNB with only limited options. |
|  |  |

## Retransmission of CG-SDT

In R1-2103334, LGE propose to support multiple HARQ processes for a CG configuration, and support PDCCH based retransmission for CG SDT.

***Discussion point #4***

* Support multiple HARQ processes for a CG configuration, and support PDCCH based retransmission for CG SDT

### First round comments

Any comments on the discussion point #4?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung | RAN2 to discuss first. |
|  |  |

# Beam correspondence in RRC\_INACTIVE

In R1-2102647, Nokia mention that the UE in RRC\_INACTIVE needs to support beam correspondence for the SDT-CG-PUSCH resource to SSB relation to be useful. And it is proposed to send an LS to RAN4 requesting the beam correspondence requirements to be applied to RRC\_INACTIVE.

***Discussion point #5***

* Support beam correspondence for the SDT-CG-PUSCH resource to SSB relation. Send an LS to RAN4 requesting the beam correspondence requirements to be applied to RRC\_INACTIVE.

### First round comments

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung | RAN2 to discuss first and this is probably out of scope. |
|  |  |

# CORESET/SS for RA-SDT

Agreements from the last meeting:

* From RAN1 perspective, at least a separate SearchSpace that is different from the existing common SearchSpace should be supported for monitoring the PDCCH addressed to the C-RNTI after successful completion of the RACH procedure during RA-SDT
	+ It is up to RAN2 decision if the separate SearchSpace is UE-specific or common to the UEs performing RA-SDT
* If the separate SearchSpace is not configured, type-1 PDCCH CSS can be reused.
* FFS UE-specific CORESET or common CORESET

Three contributions (R1-2102578, R1-2103286, R1-2103380) mention about the CORESET/SS configuration for RA-SDT. Based on the agreement in the last meeting, it is the moderator’s view that we should wait for RAN2’s response on the UE-specific or common SearchSpace before we continue the discussion.

***FL recommendation***: Wait for RAN2’s response on the UE-specific or common SearchSpace before we continue the discussion.

### First round comments

Any comment on the FL recommendation?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung  | Fine with FL recommendation |
|  |  |

# BWP related issues

1. In R1-2103334, LGE proposed that CG SDT can be performed on SDT specific BWP configured by RRC Release message or System Information. If no SDT specific BWP is configured, the initial BWP is used for CG-SDT.
2. In R1-2103494, ZTE proposed that if non-initial BWP is configured with CG resource for CG-SDT, common CORESET and common search space should be configured in the paired DL BWP in order to receive SI and paging.

It is the moderator’s view that we should wait for RAN2’s input on the configuration of BWP for SDT before we initiate the discussion in RAN1.

***FL recommendation***: Wait for RAN2’s input on the configuration of BWP for SDT before we initiate the discussion in RAN1.

### First round comments

Any comment on the FL recommendation?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung | Fine with FL recommendation |
|  |  |

# Others

Any other issues or comments?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Summary

The final proposals will be added later.
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# Appendix

List of proposals in the submitted contributions.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| TDoc | Proposals |
| R1-2102312Huawei, Hisi | ***Observation 1:*** *UE in RRC\_INACTIVE can move within the serving cell and maintain the valid TA if the distance between UE and gNB does not change much.****Observation 2:*** *Considering the multi-beam operation in NR,* *the RSRP of the downlink pathloss reference is used to select SUL/NUL, 2-step/4-step RA type and Group A/B, while the SS-RSRP is used only for SSB selection.****Observation 3:*** *For the UEs with stable location, the TA can stay valid regardless of time duration. For UEs (e.g. IWSN in the factory) that camp on a small cell, the performance loss is not obvious even though the TA is not accurate due to UE motion.****Proposal 1:*** *The number of SSBs mapping to one CG configuration should be configurable. It is up to the network whether and how to configure all the SSBs mapping to CG resources in one or multiple CG configurations.* ***Proposal 2:*** *Specification should support network can explicitly configure the mapped SSBs and the mapping ratio of SSBs associated with CG periods per CG configuration.****Proposal 3:*** *RAN1 confirm that the RSRP change of the downlink pathloss reference can be a suitable criterion for determining the validity of the uplink timing alignment for CG-SDT. The threshold can be explicitly configured to a UE transferring to RRC\_INACTIVE.****Proposal 4:*** *Support network to configure infinite TAT value in CG-SDT configuration, or take the implicit way like PUR in LTE such that when the TAT IE is not configured, the TAT is not considered as the criterion of TA validation.* |
| R1-2102578CATT | **Proposal 1: UE-specific CORESET or common CORESET for RA-SDT can be determined based on RAN2’s decision on the type of separate search space.****Proposal 2: Define the SSB-to-CG-PUSCH mapping rule for the association between the SSBs and the CG resources per CG configuration for CG-SDT based on the SSB-to-RO mapping rule.****Proposal 3: For CG-SDT, mapping ratio between SS/PBCH blocks and TOs of one Type1 CG configuration can be configured by RRC signaling within the association period.** **The association period is integer times of CG period and is configured by high layer signaling. Starting of the association period is SFN 0.****Proposal 4: PUSCH repetition mechanism should be supported for CG-SDT. When PUSCH repetition is applied for Type1 CG configuration during CG-SDT, SS/PBCH blocks should be associated with one TO bundle including K TOs corresponding to the K repetitions.****Proposal 5: The TA validation mechanism for CG-SDT is based on RSRP change.** |
| R1-2102647Nokia | **Configuration of association between the type 1 CG resource(s) for CG-SDT and SSB(s)****Observation 1**: Defining a concept of SSB-to-SDT-CG-PUSCH transmission occasion mapping is redundant when each SSB can be provided with a specific SDT-CG-PUSCH configurartion when needed**Proposal 1:** The SDT-CG-PUSCH resource to SSB relation is provided explicitely in the RRC configuration allowing one SDT-CG-PUSCH configuration per 1-N SSBs. No other SSB-to-PUSCH transmission occasion relation is defined.**PUSCH repetition with SDT-CG-PUSCH****Observation 2**: When SDT-CG-PUSCH configuration is associated to an SSB, there is no additional SSB mapping complication when repetitions are allowed.**Proposal 2:** Allow using PUSCH repetition with SDT-CG-PUSCH. No spec changes needed.**Beam Correspondence in RRC\_INACTIVE****Observation 3:** The UE in RRC\_INACTIVE needs to support beam correspondence for the SDT-CG-PUSCH resource to SSB relation to be useful.**Proposal 3:** Send an LS to RAN4 requesting the beam correspondence requirements to be applied to RRC\_INACTIVE**TA validity within and across SSBs****Observation 4:** TA validation based on serving cell RSRP variation is not robust in a NR system due to the multi-beam scenarios.**Proposal 4:** RAN1 should study enhancements to the serving cell RSRP variation based TA validation that work in multi-beam cells. |
| R1-2102837OPPO | ***Proposal 1: Opt. 1(Define the SSB-to-CG-PUSCH mapping rule) is preferred.******Proposal 2: Multiple PUSCH resources can be configured in one PUSCH occasion and each PUSCH resource is associated with a unique PUSCH DMRS.******Proposal 3: Support one-to-one mapping between SSB and one PUSCH resource associated with one PUSCH DMRS.******Proposal 4: The mapping rule between SSB and RACH Occasions can be reused. The association period/association pattern period between SSB and PUSCH can also follow the design of that for association between SSB and RACH Occasions.*** ***Proposal 5: RSRP can be used as the criterion for determining the validity of the uplink timing alignment for CG-SDT.******Proposal 6: Cell level RSRP shall be used for uplink timing alignment validation.*** |
| R1-2102932vivo | **Proposal 1: For CG-SDT, validity of uplink TA can be determined based on the serving cell level RSRP change.****Proposal 2: For configuration of CG-SDT PUSCH resource, option 2 is preferred, i.e. reuse the configuration mechanism for msgA PUSCH configuration of 2-step RACH.****Proposal 3: For mapping between SSB and CG-SDT resources, option 1 is preferred, i.e.*** + **Opt. 1: Define the SSB-to-CG-PUSCH mapping rule**
		- **Reuse the SSB-to-RO mapping as the baseline**
		- **One-to-one mapping is adopted for an SSB and a CG PUSCH with a DMRS resource.**

**Proposal 4: For CG-SDT resource, msgA PUSCH validation rule can be reused.****Proposal 5: If CG-SDT PUSCH repetitions are supported, all PUSCH repetitions are associated with the same SSB(s). A RV sequence for CG-SDT PUSCH repetitions are defined, e.g. RV= {0, 2, 3, 1}.** |
| R1-2103013Intel | **Proposal 1*** *Opt. 2 is supported for association between SSB and CG resource for CG-SDT.*

**Proposal 2*** *CG-PUSCH occasion validation rule for CG-SDT follows that was defined for MsgA PUSCH occasion for 2-step RACH.*
	+ *FFS: potential overlapping between CG-PUSCH occasions for CG-SDT and MsgA PUSCH occasions for 2-step RACH.*

**Proposal 3*** *It is feasible to utilize SS-RSRP change for TA validation of CG-SDT.*
 |
| R1-2103075Apple | **Proposal 1: Explicit indication of association between SSB and CG-SDT PUSCH resource is supported.****Proposal 2: Time domain repetition can be supported for CG-SDT.** |
| R1-2103139Qualcomm | Since the SSB transmission in NR is beam-specific, and M (M≥1) SSB beams can be associated with a CG-SDT configuration, UE needs to select a SSB beam as reference for TA validation. The beam selection needs to be completed before the 1st CG-SDT occasion. The criterion for beam selection has been agreed by RAN2, which is based on a SS-RSRP threshold $γ\_{s}$ determined by the NW. UE selects one of the SSB beams whose SS-RSRP is above the threshold and uses the associated CG resources for SDT. At the end of beam selection, the L1-RSRP measurement of the reference beam, say $γ\_{0}$ , is kept by UE as a baseline for TA validation.Once the reference beam is selected, UE will keep measuring the L1-RSRP of the reference beam before each CG-SDT occasion, and comparing the latest measurement $γ\_{n}$ with baseline $γ\_{0}$ and threshold $γ\_{s}$. * If $γ\_{n}$ is above $γ\_{s}$ and the difference between the baseline and the latest measurement is within a range determined by NW, i.e.

$γ\_{n}>γ\_{s}$ and $∆\_{RSRP,decrease}\leq γ\_{n}-γ\_{0}\leq ∆\_{RSRP,increase}$where $∆\_{TA, decrease}$ and $∆\_{TA, increase}$ are two thresholds configured by the NW, the TA is validated and the CG-SDT can proceed. * Otherwise, the TA is considered as invalid and UE needs to skip the CG-SDT and re-acquire UL timing by RACH.

The thresholds used in the reference beam selection and TA validation procedures can be signaled to UE in RRCRelease message.A special case for TA validation is a stationary UE configured with CG-SDT resources. Based on the UE capability signaling of a stationary UE, NW can relax the TA validation criteria, including reducing the duty cycle of measurements or configuring the TAT-SDT expiration time as infinity. |
| R1-2103211Samsung | ***Observation 1: the applicability of RSRP based TA validation mechanism is high related to gNB implementation (e.g., number of beams, beam width, the setting the RSRP change threshold etc).******Proposal 1: a TA validation mechanism for SDT based on the RSRP change of best SSB could be used. The threshold should be carefully configured by gNB.*** |
| R1-2103286Sony | **Proposal 1: After the successful completion of the random-access procedure for SDT, a UE monitors CSS Type 1 and CORESET#0 if *PDCCH-ConfigCommon* does not configure another common search space (CSS Type X)*.* If *PDCCH-ConfigCommon* configures another common search space (CSS Type X)*,* a UE should monitor this CSS Type X and its associated common CORESET#Y.****Observation 1:** If C-RNTI is also associated when a UE is in Inactive state, it could have a different meaning or purpose than the legacy C-RNTI in the spec, for example, for a given statement in the spec whether the stated C-RNTI is for SDT functionality or non-SDT functionalities.**Proposal 2: For RA-SDT, upon successful completion of contention resolution, the UE shall monitor the SDT-RNTI or SDT-C-RNTI. If adopted, RAN1 should send an LS to RAN2 about the name change.** |
| R1-2103334LGE | ***Observation: Option 1 seems similar to RACH resource configuration which may require some UL resource overhead if RACH-like CG PUSCH occasions are dedicated to a UE.******Proposal 1: Clarify whether a CG PUCCH occasion is dedicated to one UE or common to all UEs capable of SDT at a cell.******Proposal 2: Clarify whether a CG PUSCH transmission in Option 1 requires contention resolution.*** ***Observation: If both RA-SDT and CG-SDT are configured at a cell, it would be sufficient to map only a few SSBs to CG PUSCH occasions (e.g. for temporarily or almost permanently stationary UEs or indoor UEs in FR2).*** ***Proposal 3: In Option 2, CG resources per CG configuration are associated with a set of SSB(s) by UE dedicated message. UE may not be provided CG resources for all SSBs for CG-SDT.******Proposal 4: In Option 2, if multiple CG configurations are configured for a UE, different CG configurations are mapped to different subsets of SSBs of a cell.*** ***Proposal 5: If one or multiple SSBs are associated with a CG PUSCH resource for CG-SDT and a measured quality of at least one SSB is above a threshold configured by gNB, UE can use the CG PUSCH resource for CG-SDT.******Proposal 6: Even if the best SSB of a cell is not associated to any other CG PUSCH occasion but if at least one SSB of which quality is above threshold is associated with a CG PUSCH resource for CG-SDT, UE can use the CG PUSCH resource for CG-SDT.******Proposal 7: If measured quality of any SSB configured for CG-SDT is not above threshold for CG-SDT, UE triggers RACH e.g. for RA-SDT or for reconfiguring CG-SDT.******Proposal 8: Support PDCCH based retransmission for CG SDT.******Proposal 9: Repetition of PUSCH transmissions associated to a same or different SSBs in a CG period is supported for CG-SDT.******Proposal 10: Support multiple HARQ processes for a CG configuration. The number of HARQ processes for each CG can be configured by RRC Release message.******Proposal 11: CG SDT can be performed on SDT specific BWP configured by RRC Release message or System Information. If no SDT specific BWP is configured, the initial BWP is used for CG-SDT.*** |
| R1-2103380InterDigital | ***Proposal 1:*** *For PDCCH monitoring addressed to C-RNTI after successful completion of the RA:**- The UE-specific CORESET can be configured as a dedicated search space.**- It is up to RAN2 how the UE keeps coreset and search space configurations upon transitioning into INACTIVE state.***Observation 1:** *The UE select the CG resource associated with the best measured SSB-rsrp, similar to RO selection.***Proposal 2:** *For the association between SSBs and SDT CG resources, support Opt. 2. RRC configures each CG SDT resource with one or more associated SSBs.* **Proposal 3:** *The UE selects RACH-based SDT when there is no valid CG for selection, including when the measured SSB-rsrp is not met for any SDT CG resource.* **Proposal 4**: *No need to handle FFS issues on repetitions or TA validation, as those are already addressed by R2.* |
| R1-2103494ZTE | ***Proposal 1: For CG-SDT, support to optionally configure a subset of SSB by RRC Release message.******Proposal 2: Considering the RAN1 specification effort, it is preferable to associate the CG resources per CG configuration with a set of SSB(s) by explicit signalling.******Proposal 3:*** * ***For CG-SDT, it is beneficial to introduce TA validation mechanism based on RSRP variation.***
	+ ***The RSRP of the DL pathloss reference can be used for TA validation.***

***Proposal 4:**** ***It is up to network configuration whether or not to enable the TA validation mechanism.***
	+ ***If not configured, the TA is always considered as valid.***

***Proposal 5: If non-initial BWP is configured with CG resource for CG-SDT, common CORESET and common search space should be configured in the paired DL BWP in order to receive SI and paging.*** |
| R1-2103678Ericsson | **Observation 1 RSRP change based on single SSB may not reflect whether TA is changing in NR.**[Proposal 1 Option 1 is used for the mapping between SSB to CG PUSCH.](#_Toc68624322)[Proposal 2 Further discuss whether different subset of SSBs should be mapped to different CG PUSCH configurations.](#_Toc68624323)[Proposal 3 Use RSRP measurement on a set of SSBs per CG PUSCH configuration to derive an RSRP change for TA validation in CG based SDT, and the set of SSBs can be determined based on an absolute RSRP criterial with an absolute RSRP threshold configured for TA validation.](#_Toc68624324)[Proposal 4 Different RSRP variation thresholds and TAT configuations can be configured for different sets of SSBs with different beam width which can be e.g. in different CG PUSCH configuations.](#_Toc68624325)[Proposal 5 On top of the TA validation based on RSRP change, support TDOA based crieterial for TA validation in CG based SDT.](#_Toc68624326) |