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# Introduction

Enhancement on CSI measurement and reporting:

* Evaluate and, if needed, specify CSI reporting for DL multi-TRP and/or multi-panel transmission to enable more dynamic channel/interference hypotheses for NCJT, targeting both FR1 and FR2
* Evaluate and, if needed, specify Type II port selection codebook enhancement (based on Rel.15/16 Type II port selection) where information related to angle(s) and delay(s) are estimated at the gNB based on SRS by utilizing DL/UL reciprocity of angle and delay, and the remaining DL CSI is reported by the UE, mainly targeting FDD FR1 to achieve better trade-off among UE complexity, performance and reporting overhead

In RAN1 102e, RAN1 have agreed a set of evaluation assumption for above enhancement on CSI measurement and reporting over FDD and NCJT.

In RAN 103e, based on agreed evaluation assumptions, RAN1 have confirmed the interest of enhancements based on evaluation results. Some high level agreement/basic CSI measurement/reporting framework for Multi-TRP CSI enhancement were agreed. Moreover a set of candidate codebook structures for Type II port selection codebook enhancement were agreed as well for further discussion and down-selection.

In RAN1 104e, companies have shared their consideration/preference for some physical layer design of CSI enhancement, which can be found in Reference and Appendix:

* Assuming there are two/three GTW/check points during meeting weeks. The first GTW/check point is to prioritize the decision of Proposals 1 and 2 (i.e. down-selection of codebook structure for FDD CSI) and Proposals 6 and 8 (i.e. further clarification of measurement/reporting framework for Multi-TRP CSI).
* The second check point is to address the rest proposals, which are to provide supplementary decision/information/clarification/FFS over the design agreed by the first check point.
	+ It is also feasible to address some critical design during the second check point. Proponents may think that they are valuable but may not be discussed thoroughly yet by other companies, at least pave a path for better technical discussion next meeting.

# Summary of CSI enhancement for FDD

## Codebook structure for Rel-17 PS

### 2.1.1 Consideration of Rel-17 codebook structure over $W\_{f}$

Six alternatives were agreed as candidate codebook structures for Rel-17 PS CB enhancement in RAN1#103e. The following table summarizes companies’ views on six alternatives.

**Table 1 Summary of Companies’ Views on codebook structure down selection for R17 PS CB**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Views** | **Companies** |
| **Alt 0** (1) | Samsung |
| **Alt 1** (4) | QC, MTK, Samsung, CATT |
| **Alt 2** (2) | Spreadtrum, ZTE |
| **Alt 3-0** (11) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, LG Electronics, Ericsson, OPPO, Intel, Lenovo, Motorola Mobility, Fraunhofer IIS, Fraunhofer HHI, vivo |
| **Alt 3-1** (6) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, OPPO, Lenovo, Motorola Mobility, vivo |
| **Alt 3-2** (4) | NTT DOCOMO, MTK, Spreadtrum, vivo |
| **Alt 4** |  |
| **Alt 5** (5) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom |

One main difference among above alternatives is whether the codebook structure shall consider $W\_{f}$ matrix. Moreover $W\_{f}$ can be a selection matrix or a DFT matrix, which were discussed by companies (Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, QC, Ericsson, Samsung, Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom, OPPO, CATT, vivo).

Companies preferring a DFT matrix $W\_{f}$ have considered following benefits:

* Better performance can be observed when multiple FD vectors are configured to UE
* PMI accuracy can be increased because of imperfect FDD delay reciprocity
* CSI-RS ports/overhead can be reduced by configuring/indicating a UE with limited FD components, and/or applying UE-specific shifts to FD-precoded beamforming vectors at gNB
* Higher flexibility can be provided, e.g. in terms of gNB implementations or the balance between DL/UL overhead and gNB/UE complexity

Companies preferring a selection matrix have considered the following benefits:

* Achieve better trade-off among UE complexity, performance and reporting overhead

Companies preferring a codebook structure without $W\_{f}$ have considered following pros and cons:

* More than one DFT vectors in $W\_{f}$ doesn’t provide performance gain and if there is only one DFT vectors in $W\_{f}$, $W=W\_{1}W\_{2}$ is simpler
* DFT matrix $W\_{f}$ increases DL signalling overhead

In FL’s view, there is a clear majority preferring to consider $W\_{f}$ as a DFT matrix(i.e. Alts 3-0, 3-1 and 5) and be supported by 14 companies, e.g. Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, LG Electronics, Ericsson, OPPO, Intel, Lenovo, Motorola Mobility, Fraunhofer IIS, Fraunhofer HHI, vivo, Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom. Furthermore, 11 companies (Intel, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Lenovo, Motorola Mobility, Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom, OPPO, Fraunhofer IIS, Fraunhofer HHI) also propose that $ M\_{v}= N=1$ or $ M\_{v}=1$ should be supported for $W\_{f}$, i.e. $W\_{f}$ can be limited as one DFT vector for certain simplicity so that $W=W\_{1}W\_{2}$ (Alt 1/Alt 2) can be considered as a special case of $W=W\_{1}W\_{2}W\_{f}^{H}$.

In terms of $W\_{1}$ design, 18 companies, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, OPPO, Lenovo, Motorola Mobility, vivo, Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom, NTT DOCOMO, MTK, Spreadtrum, vivo, CATT, Fraunhofer IIS, Fraunhofer HHI, ZTE, Samsung have proposed to consider $W\_{1}$ as a free selection matrix with identity matrix (i.e. Alt0) as a special case of$ W\_{1}$ design.

Base on above view, following proposal is suggested as a compromise:

***Proposal 1: For PS codebook enhancements utilization DL/UL reciprocity of angle and/or delay, support codebook structure W=W1W2 WfH whereas***

* ***W1 is a free selection matrix, with identity matrix as special configuration***
* ***Wf is a DFT based compression matrix in which N3 = NCQISubband\*R and Mv>=1***
	+ $ M\_{v}=1$ ***is supported***
	+ ***FFS other candidate values of Mv, R, mechanism of Configured/indicated to the UE and/or mechanism of selected/reported by UE for Wf***

Companies’ further views are collected as follows.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | Support FL’s proposal.  |
| Nokia/NSB | Support this proposal.Note that, in case of $M\_{ν}>1$, whether the $M\_{ν}$ are reported by a UE, for example, from a configured window of size $N<N\_{3}$, or network-configured will be discussed in Proposal 5 |
| Futurewei | Support FL’s proposal. |

### 2.1.2 Consideration of Rel-17 codebook structure over $W\_{1}$

Conditioned on the discussion of Proposal 1, further down selection/consideration of Rel-17 codebook structure can be discussed among different design over$ W\_{1}$. As summarized in Table 1, companies supporting Alt 3-1 are also supportive for Alt 3-0. Therefore, it is feasible that further discussion mainly focus on Alt 3-0 and Alt 5.

Based on tdoc review, companies’ analysis over $W\_{1}$ between Alt3-0 and Alt 5 are summarized as following:

* Alt3-0 ($W\_{1}\in N^{ P\_{CSI-RS}×K\_{1}}$($K\_{1}\leq P\_{CSI-RS}$) ): Alt 3-0 is simpler with one SD-FD precoder per CSI-RS port. On the other hand, in order to reduce CSI-RS overhead and provide flexible CSI-RS resource configuration, certain configuration enhancement may be needed, e.g. discussed in section 2.1.3.
* Alt5 ($W\_{1}\in N^{ P\_{SD-FD}×K\_{2}}$($K\_{2}\leq  P\_{SD-FD }= O\_{f}P\_{CSI-RS, }, O\_{f}\geq 1$)): Alt 5 can enable mapping multiple SD-FD bases to a CSI-RS port, which is helpful to reduce CSI-RS overhead and provide flexible CSI-RS Resource configurations. On the other hand, relying on mapping of multiple SD-FD bases to a CSI-RS port would create misalignment with the definition of ‘antenna port’ in the specification and may increase UE complexity of CSI processing.

Therefore considering R17 codebook structure over $W\_{1}$, following proposal is suggested:

***Proposal 2: For PS codebook enhancements utilization DL/UL reciprocity of angle and/or delay, support codebook structure W=W1W2 WfH with***

* ***Alt 3-0, i.e. W1 ∈ N^{PCSI-RS × K1} (K1 ≤ PCSI-RS ) is a port selection matrix***
* ***Alt 5, i.e. W1∈ N^{PSD-FD × K2} (K2 ≤ PSD-FD=Of PCSI-RS) is a SD-FD basis selection matrix***
* ***Note that PCSI-RS is the number of CSI-RS ports.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | Support Alt 3-0.From the perspective of UE complexity, specification impact and CSI overhead, we think port selection is more acceptable than basis selection.We think this should be discussed after the outcome of proposal 3 and proposal 5 because the method to convey SD-FD bases on CSI-RS ports and signalling may influence the report structure. |
| Nokia/NSB | Support.In our understanding, down-selection, if any, between the two alternatives will happen after discussing Proposal 3.Note that Alt 5 includes Alt 3-0 for $O\_{f}=1$. |
| Futurewei | Support FL’s proposal. |

### 2.1.3 Mechanism to convey SD-FD beamforming bases using CSI-RS ports

In RAN1#103e meeting, there are intense discussion on mechanism to convey SD-FD beamforming bases using CSI-RS ports and association mechanism.

* **CSI-RS overhead:** Based on tdoc review, companies (e.g. Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, MTK, Sony, vivo, DCM, CATT, Intel, ZTE) think reduction in CSI-RS overhead is needed for R17 PS CB. Moreover some companies’ (e.g. Intel, ZTE, Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom, QC, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell) simulation results show that performance gain can be observed if some solution(s) can be used to reduce the CSI-RS overhead.
* **CSI-RS Configuration:** Some companies (Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom) propose some solution(s) to support more flexibility CSI-RS configuration.
* **More than 32 SD-FD pairs:** whether supporting larger than 32 SD-FD pairs for Rel-17 PS codebook, companies’ views are not converging. Based on performance gain from SLS simulation results, some companies (e.g. ZTE (~4%), CATT (2%~6%), vivo(~3%)) support more than 32 SD-FD pairs. On the other hands, some companies (e.g. OPPO (marginal gain), Fraunhofer IIS, Fraunhofer HHI, LG Electronics) think there is no need to support more than 32 SD-FD pairs or depending on further evaluation results.

Based on above motivations, more than 10 companies show their solutions over this issue this meeting as following:

**Table 2 Summary** **on mechanism****to convey SD-FD beamforming bases using CSI-RS ports**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Views** | **Companies** |
| **Option 1** (6) | QC, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Intel, Apple, Sony |
| **Option 1 + Option 2** (4) | QC, Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell |
| **Option 1 + Option 3** (6) | QC, Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom, CATT, ZTE |
| **Option 4** (9) | DCM(FDM), Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom, ZTE(FDM),vivo, Spreadtrum |

* **Option 1:** single CSI-RS resource with single CSI-RS pattern per resource and lower CSI-RS density, e.g. 0.25. For this option, it can be used to reduce CSI-RS overhead without sacrificing Rel-17 PS codebook performance.
* **Option 2:** single CSI-RS resource with multiple CSI-RS patterns per resource and normal CSI-RS density. For this option, it can be used to provide higher CSI-RS resource configurations, and potentially support more than 32 SD-FD pairs.
* **Option 3:** multiple CSI-RS resources associated with one CSI report configuration. For this option, it can be used to provide higher CSI-RS resource configurations, and potentially support more than 32 SD-FD pairs.
* **Option 4 (**multiple FD-SD bases per CSI-RS port):This option can be used to provide more flexible CSI-RS resource configurations, and potentially support more than 32 SD-FD pairs. There are two understanding depending on specific codebook structure:
* **Alt 2/5:** $W\_{1}\in N^{ P\_{SD-FD}×K\_{2}}$($K\_{2}\leq P\_{SD-FD }= O\_{f}P\_{CSI-RS}$), single CSI-RS resource with single CSI-RS pattern per resource and normal CSI-RS density (e.g. DCM, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, ZTE)) so that the selection of FD-SD bases per port can be conveyed by $W\_{1}$ reporting.
* **Alt 3-0/3-1:** $W\_{1}\in N^{ P\_{CSI-RS}×K\_{1}}$($K\_{1}\leq P\_{CSI-RS}$) and $W\_{f}\in C^{N\_{3}× M\_{v}}$*(*$ P\_{SD-FD }= O\_{f}P\_{CSI-RS}, M\_{v}\leq O\_{f}$*)*, single CSI-RS resource with single CSI-RS pattern per resource and normal CSI-RS density (e.g. vivo, Spreadtrum) so that the selection of FD-SD bases per CSI-RS port can be conveyed by $W\_{f}$ reporting.

Based on above companies’ views, the following proposal is suggested:

***Proposal 3: For PS codebook enhancements utilization DL/UL reciprocity of angle and/or delay, support one or a combination of following enhancements for CSI-RS configurations associated with Rel-17 PS codebook:***

* + ***Option 1: Support configuring a lower CSI-RS density per CSI-RS resource, e.g. 0.25***
	+ ***Option 2:***$ S$***upport configuring one or multiple CSI-RS patterns per CSI-RS resource associated with Rel-17 PS codebook***
	+ ***Option 3:***$ $***Support configuring multiple CSI-RS resources per CSI reporting configuration associated with Rel-17 PS codebook***
	+ ***Option 4:***
		- ***W1∈ N^{PSD-FD × K2} (K2 ≤ PSD-FD=Of PCSI-RS), single CSI-RS resource with single CSI-RS pattern per resource and normal CSI-RS density***
		- ***W1 ∈ N^{PCSI-RS × K1} (K1 ≤ PCSI-RS )and Wf ∈ N^{N3 × Mv} (PSD-FD=Of PCSI-RS, Mv ≤ Of ), single CSI-RS resource with single CSI-RS pattern per resource and normal CSI-RS density.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | Support Option 4Firstly, we need to clarify the understanding of SD-FD basis. In our view, one CSI-RS port only conveys one SD-FD basis or one SD basis. According to the ***PCSI-RS*** bases conveyed on ***PCSI-RS*** CSI-RS ports and additional indication from gNB, UE can acquire ***PSD-FD*** SD-FD bases, where ***PSD-FD > PCSI-RS***. The indication details can be discussed in section 2.1.5.With gNB indication, there can be only one SD-FD basis on each CSI-RS port and the SD-FD basis may be just a SD basis like in Rel-16. In this method, the number of CSI-RS ports needed is much less and the CSI-RS config including CSI-RS resource, density and pattern remains unchanged. |
| Nokia/NSB | Support.(Preference for Option 2 and 4-first bullet as they offer RS overhead reduction and full flexibility in CSI-RS configuration)Second bullet of Option 4: we propose to remove this bullet, as $W\_{f}$ seems in contradiction with Proposal 1 where $W\_{f}$ is DFT matrix, not selection matrix. From vivo’s comment, they propose selection and reporting of additional FD components for each port, which is applicable to Option 1, 2 and 3, so the second bullet of Option 4 is not needed for their proposal. |
| Futurewei | Support FL’s proposal. |

### 2.1.4 Polarization common or specific for selection of $W\_{1}$

For the selection matrix$ W\_{1}$, i.e. being polarization common or specific, a number of companies have provided their views shown in Table 3.

**Table 3 Summary** **on Polarization common or specific for W1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Views** | **Companies** |
| **Polarization-common** | * **CATT**: polarization-common achieves better tradeoff between performance and overhead
* **Sony**: For minimum specification impact, maintain the polarization-common mechanism of Rel-15/Rel-16. A polarization-specific mechanism should only be introduced if it provides substantial advantage over polarization-common.
* **Lenovo, Motorola Mobility**: Polarization-common port selection and polarization-specific coefficient quantization. In Rel-16, It was shown that the gains achieved due to polarization-specific beam selection is negligible.
 |
| **Polarization specific** | * **MTK:** W1 is a free port selection matrix to choose L ports out of P CSI-RS ports in a polarization specific manner
 |
| **Polarization common or specific selection depends on value of Mv**  | * **OPPO:** No need to apply restriction on the number of port that can be selected for each polarization.
* if M > 1 (number of FD basis per port) polarization-common selection can be reused by following beam selection;
* if M = 1 is supported, polarization-specific selection can be reused by following NZC selection.
 |

***Proposal 4: For PMI quantization/reporting over*** $W\_{1}$ ***in Rel-17 PS codebook, support one of following options:***

* ***Option 1: Polarization common selection***
* ***Option 2: Polarization specific selection***
* ***Option 3: Either polarization common or specific selection, depending on the value of Mv***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | Support Option 1. |
| Nokia/NSB | Ok with this proposal |
| Futurewei | Support FL’s proposal. |

### 2.1.5 Configured/indicated to UE and/or selected/reported by UE of $W\_{f}$

Companies (e.g. Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, OPPO, vivo, LG Electronics, Lenovo, Motorola Mobility) have considered that based on the delay reciprocity between UL and DL, gNB can configure/indicate few DFT vectors to UE and then UE select/report some DFT vectors for $W\_{f}$. Therefore, mechanism of configured/indicated to the UE and/or mechanism of selected/reported by UE for $W\_{f}$ should be discussed here, as the FFS point listed in RAN1#103e meeting.

Based on tdoc review, some companies provide detail considerations on mechanisms of configured/indicated to the UE and/or mechanisms of selected/reported by UE for $W\_{f}$, which is shown as following.

* Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell:$ W\_{f}$ is a N3×Mν DFT-based compression matrix and the Mν components are network configured or selected and reported within a configured window of size N.
* MTK: When M>1 delay taps are pre-compensated by the gNB using precoded CSI-RS in each of the P beams, gNB can use MP DFT FD bases for CSI-RS precoding and indicate the offset of the remaining M-MP FD bases via dynamic signaling to the UE
* QC: If RAN1 decide to support three-stage codebook ($W\_{1}$ $W\_{2}$ $W\_{f}$), support joint configuration and capability signaling of combination of {number of CSI-RS ports per resource, number of FD bases per port}.
* Sony: Introduce an FD sampling size parameter $d^{'}$. Based on UL CSI, further restrictions to $d'$ can be applied in order to limit the set of FD DFT vectors eligible by the UE

Based on the views provided by companies on this issue, following proposal is suggested:

***Proposal 5: Studying following mechanisms of configured/indicated to the UE and/or mechanism of selected/reported by UE for Wf***

* ***For mechanisms of gNB configured/indicated to the UE for Wf***
	+ ***Option 1: gNB can indicate selected FD bases used for Wf quantization via dynamic signaling***
	+ ***Option 2: The FD bases used for Wf quantitation limited within a window/set of size N can be configured by gNB***
	+ ***Option 3: The number of CSI-RS ports and the value of Mv is jointly configured per codebook parameter combination***
* ***For mechanisms of selected/reported by UE for Wf***
	+ ***Option 1: [if any]***

***Other enhancements are not excluded.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | Support Option 1 and 2.In our understanding, the main purpose of gNB indication is to reduce the CSI overhead and UE complexity, and also support a larger R. In Option 1, the selected FD bases are directly indicated by gNB so there is no need to report any FD basis information and Wf completely follows the gNB indication. This method is for good delay reciprocity cases while the performance may be influenced when delay reciprocity is getting poor. In that case, a window can be configured by gNB for FD basis selection by UE in a limit. Therefore, we think both FD basis indication by signaling and a pre-configured window can be supported for gNB to select according to channel quality. Also, the pre-configured window can be updated by signaling. |
| Nokia/NSB | Support with the following addition to Option 2.* ***Option 2: The FD bases used for Wf quantitation limited within a window/set of size N and initial point*** $M\_{initial}$ ***can be fixed/configured/indicated by gNB***

(Preference for Option 2)Option 2: In case the $M\_{ν}$ components are selected and reported by the UE, $N$ is the configured window size and $M\_{initial}$ is the initial point of the set of FD components a UE can choose from. This is similar to the window mechanism in Rel16 for $N\_{3}>19$ where the window has fixed size $2M\_{ν}$ and $M\_{initial}$ is reported by the UE, with the difference that now the window parameter are all determined at the gNB. $N$ and $M\_{initial}$ can be of fixed value, configured or indicated by the gNB.As noted by vivo, this window mechanism may be useful for relatively large delay uncertainty and/or few available ports available to accommodate FD precoding and/or R=2,4 for which $N\_{3}$ can be large.By applying different $M\_{initial}$ values to different UEs it is also possible to multiplex UEs in the same ports, effectively sharing CSI-RS ports between 2 or more users. For example, with R=2, the network may configure one UE on the first half FD-components and a second UE on the second half. With R=4, up to 4 UEs may share the same ports in this way, by configuring a different $M\_{initial}$ for each of them. |
| Futurewei | Support FL’s proposal. |

## Others

Remaining proposals for Rel-17 Port Selection Codebook Enhancements are also listed as follows for reference.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issues** | **Companies** | **Views** |
| **SRSSpreadts****s**Higher Rank | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | • In case of multiple layers, the bitmap size is multiplied by the reported rank ν |
| Fraunhofer IIS, Fraunhofer HHI | • Study identical port selection for a subset of transmission layers. |
| Others | Ericsson | • Study the order for SVD and port-selection operations, by taking into account the trade-off between UPT, overhead and UE complexity. |
| Lenovo | • Aperiodic SRS triggering is needed in conjunction with the beamformed CSI-RS for the reciprocity-based codebook, with a limited time gap between the transmission of both RSs• Configure the UE with two frequency compression parameter values for both strong and weak channel reciprocity, where the UE can select the appropriate parameter value based on the strength of the channel reciprocity |
| Samsung | • For the study of Rel. 17 codebook alternatives, use Rel. 16 reg. T2 CB as a reference performance, in addition to the Rel. 16 PS T2 CB “baseline” |
| Apple | • Do not introduce SD-FD pairing• For CSI enhancement utilizing partial reciprocity of DL/UL channels, more flexible wideband and subband CSI reporting configuration can be considered |
| CATT | • The bandwidth and density of SRS is configured as same as that of CSI-RS to obtain accurate delay information of uplink channel.• Non-zero coefficients are indicated by using port indication information. |
| vivo | • Enhance procedure on timing calibration to counteract the timing mismatch between gNB and UE for FDD CSI enhancement |
| sony | • Non-Kronecker SD-FD bases shall be introduced in Rel-17 only if they are shown to offer a better tradeoff among UE complexity, performance and reporting overhead compared to Rel-16.• Based on UL CSI, further restrict the set of CSI-RS ports eligible by the UE to those compatible with UL signal angles.• For FDD systems exploiting DL/UL channel reciprocity, the UE can signal to the gNB the DL covariance matrix of noise and interference. The ways of transferring this information from the UEs to the gNB need to be further studied and specified.• Companies should study the feasibility of signaling to the UEs the set of CSI-RS beams actually used for co-scheduled transmissions. An indication from the UE to the gNB of those beams suppressed by the UE should also be studied. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |

# Summary of CSI enhancement for Multi-TRP

## CSI Measurement Enhancements for Multi-TRP

### Issue 1: how to configure CMRs in the same resource set for NCJT hypothesis

In last meeting, it is agreed that for CSI measurement associated to a reporting setting, CMRs in a given resource set are associated to different TRPs/TCI states at resource level. When the UE is configured with a group of CMRs associated with different TCI states, one remaining issue is that to determine a CMR pair used for CSI measurement with a NCJT hypothesis. Based on tdoc review, roughly three reference design can be considered as following:

* Option 1 (OPPO[3], Huawei/HiSilicon/China Unicom[4]): For CSI measurement associated to a reporting setting for NCJT, only 2 CMRs are configured in a CMR set and corresponds to a NCJT hypothesis.
* Option 2 (ZTE[5], Nokia/Nokia Shanghai Bell[16], Qualcomm[20]): *N* CMR pairs in the CMR set can be configured to UE. Each CMR pair corresponds to a NCJT hypothesis. The CMRs in the CMR set are divided into 2 or more groups by certain configuration, and a pair of CMRs within different groups construct a NCJT hypothesis.
* Option 3 (FutureWei[1]): The UE can select and determine whether one CSI-RS resource is for CM, IM or muting for CSI measurement under a NCJT hypothesis. The selection and function determination of one CMR pair is to be reported within the CSI reporting.

***Proposal 6: For CSI measurement associated to a reporting setting CSI-ReportConfig for NCJT, the UE can be configured with Ks ≥ 2 NZP CSI-RS resources in a CSI-RS resource set for CMR, whereas***

* ***If Ks = 2, both NZP CSI-RS resources are used for a NCJT measurement hypothesis.***
* ***If Ks > 2 , N ≥ 1 NZP CSI-RS resource pairs are configured to UE by high layer signalling whereas each pair is used for a NCJT measurement hypothesis, with following configuration mechanisms:***
	+ ***Alt.1: Configure UE with N NZP CSI-RS resource pairs within a CMR resource set explicitly, whereas the first*** $2n-1$***th and*** $2n$***th CMRs in the set are the nth CMR pair for a NCJT hypothesis (***$n=1,\cdots N$***) and the rest of CMRs are for single-TRP measurement hypotheses.***
	+ ***Alt.2: The CMR pairing is indicated by a bitmap.***
	+ ***FFS maximal values of N and Ks***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | In our view, at least in FR1, the CMR used for NCJT hypothesis measurement can reused for STRP hypothesis measurement to simply UE measurement, i.e., UE needn’t perform separate channel estimation for a CMR configured for both NCJT and STRP hypotheses. Secondly, common CMR configuration between CSI enhancement and group-based beam reporting is highly desired, while Alt.1, i.e., CMR pair-wise configuration, cannot be applied to group-based reporting. In our view, CMR one-to-one mapping between two configured groups is a more appropriate way. Therefore, modify the proposal as follows:***Proposal 6: For CSI measurement associated to a reporting setting CSI-ReportConfig for NCJT, the UE can be configured with Ks ≥ 2 NZP CSI-RS resources in a CSI-RS resource set for CMR, whereas*** * ***If Ks = 2, both NZP CSI-RS resources are used for a NCJT measurement hypothesis.***
* ***If Ks > 2 , N ≥ 1 NZP CSI-RS resource pairs are configured to UE by high layer signalling whereas each pair is used for a NCJT measurement hypothesis, with following configuration mechanisms:***
	+ ***Alt.1: Configure UE with N NZP CSI-RS resource pairs within a CMR resource set explicitly, whereas the first*** $2n-1$***th and*** $2n$***th CMRs in the set are the nth CMR pair for a NCJT hypothesis (***$n=1,\cdots N$***) and the rest of CMRs are for single-TRP measurement hypotheses.***
	+ ***Alt.2: The CMR pairing is indicated by a bitmap.***
	+ ***Alt.3: configure UE with two CMR groups within a CSI resource set, applying one-to-one mapping of CMRs between two groups CMRs for STRP can come from the two groups.***
	+ ***FFS maximal values of N and Ks***
 |
| Nokia/NSB | Support with the following clarification for Alt 2* + ***Alt.2: The CMR pairing is RRC configured and/or indicated (MAC-CE) by a bitmap.***

(Preference for Alt 2)Alt 2 provides a mechanism for the network to dynamically restrict the CMR pairs for NCJT measurement in a CSI Reporting Setting. In absence of network indication, a default set of CMR pairs may be included in the Reporting Setting. This mechanism may be useful, for example, if the network can estimate candidate beam pairs from UL channel measurement and use this information to restrict the NC-JT hypotheses configured for UE measurement. |
| Futurewei | Not support this proposal in its current form. For the case listed in the second sub-bullet (***Ks > 2),*** it would require larger number of pairs to be configured to the UE to cover all the possible NCJT measurement hypothesises, or some of the hypothesises with good performance might be missed. We would like to modify the second sub-bullet as follows:* ***If Ks > 2 , N ≥ 1 NZP CSI-RS resource pairs are determined by UE, or configured to UE by high layer signalling, whereas each pair is used for a NCJT measurement hypothesis. If the N ≥ 1 NZP CSI-RS resource pairs are configured to UE by high layer signalling, the following configuration mechanisms are supported:***
	+ ***Alt.1: Configure UE with N NZP CSI-RS resource pairs within a CMR resource set explicitly, whereas the first*** $2n-1$***th and*** $2n$***th CMRs in the set are the nth CMR pair for a NCJT hypothesis (***$n=1,\cdots N$***) and the rest of CMRs are for single-TRP measurement hypotheses.***
	+ ***Alt.2: The CMR pairing is indicated by a bitmap.***
	+ ***FFS maximal values of N and Ks***
 |

### Issue 2: how to map CSI-IM resource(s) for NCJT hypothesis

Besides the CMR configuration for NCJT, another fundamental issue is the mapping rule of IMR. In Rel-15/16, the number of CMRs equals to the number of CSI-IM resources, and each CMR is resource-wise associated to a CSI-IM resource by the ordering of CMR and CSI-IM resource in the corresponding two resource sets. It means that each CMR and each CSI-IM resource pair corresponds to single CSI measurement hypothesis.

MTK and Qualcomm in [9][20] also propose to reuse the above principle in Rel-17, i.e., a CSI measurement hypothesis can correspond to a CMR (or a CMR pair) and also correspond to a CSI-IM resource. In addition, a CRI codepoint should determine a CSI hypothesis.

***Proposal 7: For CSI measurement associated to a reporting setting CSI-ReportConfig for a NCJT measurement hypothesis, support one-to-one mapping between a NZP CSI-RS resource pair for channel measurement and a CSI-IM resource for interference measurement***

* ***FFS QCL mapping between the NZP CSI-RS resource(s) for channel measurement and the CSI-IM resource(s) for interference measurement***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | In Rel-15/16, the number of CMRs equals to the number of CSI-IM resources so that there will be no spec change in QCL assumption on the IMR associated to a CMR. Of course, IMRs with same ID can be mapped to a CMR pair. We propose to reuse the above principle in Rel-17. Therefore, modify the proposal as follow:***Proposal 7: For CSI measurement associated to a reporting setting CSI-ReportConfig for a NCJT measurement hypothesis,*** ***Alt1: support one-to-one mapping between a NZP CSI-RS resource pair for channel measurement and a CSI-IM resource for interference measurement******Alt2: support one-to-one mapping between a NZP CSI-RS resource for channel measurement and a CSI-IM resource for interference measurement.**** ***FFS QCL mapping between the NZP CSI-RS resource(s) for channel measurement and the CSI-IM resource(s) for interference measurement***
 |
| Nokia/NSB | Agree with vivo.In case of Alt 2 in Proposal 6, no spec change is needed for CSI-IM: there is no need for additional CSI-IM resource mapping to CMR pairs because there are no separate resources configured for NCJT.***Proposal 7: For CSI measurement associated to a reporting setting CSI-ReportConfig for a NCJT measurement hypothesis,**** ***Alt 1:support one-to-one mapping between a NZP CSI-RS resource pair for channel measurement and a CSI-IM resource for interference measurement***
* ***Alt 2: use Rel-15/16 resource-wise association between CMR and CSI-IM resources***
* ***FFS QCL mapping between the NZP CSI-RS resource(s) for channel measurement and the CSI-IM resource(s) for interference measurement***
 |
| Futurewei | Not support this proposal. This proposals would require larger number of pairs of NZP CSI-RS resource for channel measurement and CSI-IM resource for interference measurement to be configured to the UE to cover all the possible NCJT measurement hypothesises, resulting in larger CSI-RS/CSI-IM resource overhead and configuration signalling overhead, or some of the hypothesises with good performance might be missed, |

## CSI Reporting Enhancements for Multi-TRP

### Issue 3: Reporting mechanism

In last meeting, the following three alternatives on UE reporting mechanism are agreed for a CSI reporting setting for further discussion this meeting:

* Alt 1: the UE can be expected to report one CSI associated with the best single-TRP measurement hypothesis and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis, if configured
* Alt 2: the UE can be expected to report one CSI associated with the best one among NCJT and/or single-TRP measurement hypotheses, if configured
* Alt 3: the UE can be expected to report two CSIs associated with the two best single-TRP measurement hypotheses associated with CMRs from two TRPs and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis, if configured

**Table 4 Summary of Companies’ Views on CSI Reporting Mechanism**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Views** | **Companies** |
| **Alt 1** (11) | Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom, NEC (1st preference), MTK, CMCC, Qualcomm, Intel, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Apple |
| **Alt 2** (11) | OPPO, ZTE, Fraunhofer IIS, Fraunhofer HHI, LGE, Spreadtrum, MTK, CMCC, Qualcomm, Apple, vivo |
| **Alt 3** (9) | FutureWei, NEC(2nd preference), Lenovo, Motorola Mobility, Intel, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Apple, Ericsson |

With regarding to Alt 1, UE can be expected to report one CSI associated with the best single-TRP measurement hypothesis and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis. Even though this may increase the reporting overhead, two CSI reports can be helpful for gNB to make proper scheduling decisions (Qualcomm[20]). Alt. 1 can achieve a trade-off between the CSI reporting overhead and the flexible scheduling at gNB (Huawei/HiSilicon/China Unicom[4]) and therefore is preferred by 9 companies. However ZTE [5] points out that Alt.1 can be implemented by two CSI reporting where one is for sTRP hypotheses and the other is for NCJT hypotheses.

With regarding to Alt.2, one CSI report is from either one best TRP or NCJT, with the least overhead compared to other Alternatives. Nine companies support Alt.2. However the measurement hypothesis associated to the reported CSI is determined by the UE. It means that Alt.2 is pretty much up to the UE so that the gNB scheduling will follow the UE preference likely (Huawei/HiSilicon/China Unicom[4]).

With regarding to Alt 3, UE can be expected to report two CSIs associated with the two best single-TRP measurement hypotheses associated with CMRs from two TRPs and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis. Alt 3 is actually a super set that can cover both Alt 1 and Alt 2 when “report a subset of the CSI report quantities” is supported (FutureWei [1]). In addition, Alt.3 can provide the best flexibility on the network side. So seven companies support Alt.3. However many companies have raised a concern for the feedback payload required by Alt.3.

Considering diverse views, here is proposed compromise, from FL perspective:

***Proposal 8: For a CSI report associated with a Multi-TRP/panel NCJT measurement hypothesis configured by single CSI reporting setting, the UE can be configured to report:***

* ***Up to two (can be 0) CSI associated with the best single-TRP measurement hypothesis and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis***
	+ ***FFS omission of CSI associated with NCJT measurement hypothesis***
* ***One CSI associated with the best one among NCJT and single-TRP measurement hypotheses***
	+ ***FFS how to report recommended measurement hypothesis associated with that CSI report***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | Support FL’s proposal.We prefer the second configuration, i.e., one CSI associated with the best one among NCJT and single-TRP measurement hypotheses |
| Nokia/NSB | Support |
| Futurewei | Support FL’s proposal. |

### Issue 4: whether to support 2 CQIs when RI $\leq $ 4

Four companies (Spreadtrum, CMCC, Samsung, Apple) propose that both Multi-DCI and single-DCI based transmission could be assumed and considered using single CSI reporting setting (Category 1). In Multi-DCI based NCJT, gNB can schedule two TB by TRPs independently, even when the total transmission layers is less than or equal to 4. Hence Spreadtrum, CMCC and Apple propose that for a CSI report associated with NCJT measurement hypothesis, the UE can be expected to report 2 CQIs.

***Proposal 9: For a CSI report associated with a Multi-TRP/panel NCJT measurement hypothesis configured by single CSI reporting setting, the UE can be expected to report:***

* ***one RI, one PMI, one LI and one CQI per TRP, up to 2 TRPs, for Multi-DCI based NCJT when the maximal transmission layers is less than or equal to 4.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | HST and other URLLC transmission scheme should also be considered. We update the proposal 9 as follow:***Proposal 9: For a CSI report associated with a Multi-TRP/panel NCJT measurement hypothesis configured by single CSI reporting setting, the UE can be expected to report:**** ***one RI, one PMI, one LI and one CQI per TRP, up to 2 TRPs, for Multi-DCI based NCJT when the maximal transmission layers is less than or equal to 4.***
* ***FFS: How to support CSI enhancement for different single-DCI-based MTRP transmission schemes, including HST-SFN.***
 |
| Nokia/NSB | The need to report 2 CQIs in the same CSI report in case of M-DCI based NCJT is not clear. For M-DCI based, the two CQIs would be transmitted in two separate CSI reports (different PUCCH/PUSCH resources).  |
| Futurewei | Support FL’s proposal. |

## Others

Companies are also proposing other enhancements/issues related to Multi-TRP CSI, which can be discussed further once basic CSI measurement enhancement is more or less clarified and agreed by RAN1. So far following views are not converged too much, based on tdoc review.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issues** | **Companies** | **Views** |
| Whether to support other codebook type in addition to ‘typeI-SinglePanel’ | CATT[6], MTK[9],Samsung[18],  | Support to enhance non-PMI based CSI feedback for NCJT |
| Lenovo/Motorola Mobility [15] | Support Type-II codebook for NCJT |
| Whether to support interference measurement based on NZP CSI-RS | InterDigital[2], Samsung[18] | For CSI measurement for NCJT, support NZP-IMR. |
| MTK[9], Spreadtrum[12] | MU-MIMO is not supported for NCJT scheduling, and therefore NZP-IMR is not supportive for a CSI report associated with NCJT measurement hypothesis. |
| Confirm working assumption on category 2 | ZTE[5] | Suggest completing Category 1 first and further discuss Category 2 if time is allowed. |
| vivo[7] | Confirm the working assumption on multiple CSI reporting settings for NC-JT. |
| Ericsson[21] | Prioritize finalizing NC-JT CSI enhancement with single reporting setting in Rel-17 before further discussion of NC-JT CSI enhancement with multiple reporting settings. |
| Enhancement of CSI measurement for URLLC | Intel[11] | Support CSI enhancement for TDM/FDM URLLC |
| Ericsson[21] | In NR Rel-17, unify the Rel-17 MTRP CSI framework enhancements to consider MTRP CSI for both NC-JT and multi-TRP URLLC schemes. |
| Enhancement of CSI measurement for HST-SFN | Lenovo/Motorola Mobility [15] | Support CSI enhancement for HST-SFN |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | We prefer to confirm the working assumption on Cat2: with our evaluation results for non-ideal backhaul scenarios, Cat2 is well justified. What’s your views on this?We are open to either Option1 or Option2 as long as this feature could be moved forward. Some evaluation results in non-ideal backhaul scenarios (with 5ms and 50ms backhaul delay) are as following for your reference. From the results, UE recommendation of transmission scheme to different TRPs would help the different TRPs to schedule independently and make the feature more usable in real deployment.Table 1: Indoor Hotspot with non-ideal backhaul

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| FR1, RU for STRP (16%) | Mean UPT | 5% UPT | 50% UPT |
| STRP | -32.52% | -28.20% | -25.33% |
| DPS | -24.41% | -6.58% | -13.85% |
| Legacy CSI | -4.49% | -8.37% | -6.67% |
| Cat2 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Cat1 (5ms) | -4.69% | -6.96% | -7.57% |
| Cat1 (50ms) | -21.51% | -37.50% | -29.88% |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| FR1, RU for STRP (38%) | Mean UPT | 5% UPT | 50% UPT |
| STRP | -31.63% | -35.61% | -30.45% |
| DPS | -14.43% | -13.14% | -7.06% |
| Legacy CSI | -12.31% | -13.41% | -15.24% |
| Cat2 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Cat1 (5ms) | -12.43% | -15.91% | -13.79% |
| Cat1 (50ms) | -35.44% | -45.29% | -38.42% |

Table 2: Dense Urban with non-ideal backhaul

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| FR1, RU for STRP (14%) | Mean UPT | 5% UPT | 50% UPT |
| STRP | -13.33% | -13.85% | -9.61% |
| DPS | -12.11% | -6.53% | -9.61% |
| Legacy CSI | -5.36% | -11.18% | -7.84% |
| Cat2 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Cat1 (5ms) | -2.52% | -5.85% | -4.08% |
| Cat1 (50ms) | -10.38% | -33.48% | -14.92% |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| FR1, RU for STRP (25%) | Mean UPT | 5% UPT | 50% UPT |
| STRP | -8.53% | -13.78% | -4.05% |
| DPS | -6.51% | -7.41% | -1.22% |
| Legacy CSI | -4.66% | -11.56% | -4.05% |
| Cat2 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Cat1 (5ms) | -3.66% | -8.60% | -4.28% |
| Cat1 (50ms) | -16.34% | -36.95% | -21.17% |

Some illustration of evaluated schemes:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Scheme | CSI report | Scheduling | UE’s working mode |
| STRP | STRP CSI report to the serving TRP | UE scheduled by serving TRP | STRP |
| DPS | Cat2 framework: DPS CSI report to both TRPs | Independent scheduling | DPS |
| Legacy CSI\* | Two CSI report settings in legacy CSI framework: each with a STRP CSI report to its corresponding TRP | Independent scheduling | DPS or NCJT |
| Cat2 | Cat2 framework: UE selected NCJT CSI or DPS CSI report to both TRPs | Independent scheduling | DPS or NCJT |
| Cat1 (5ms) | Cat1 framework: UE selected NCJT CSI or DPS CSI report to a single TRP, CSI exchange with 5ms latency | Independent scheduling | DPS or NCJT |
| Cat1 (50ms) | Cat1 framework: UE selected NCJT CSI or DPS CSI report to a single TRP, CSI exchange with 50ms latency | Independent scheduling | DPS or NCJT |

 |

# Proposals for Online/Offline Discussion

TBD

# Work Plan

TBD
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# Appendix

* **Companies’ proposals on CSI enhancements for FDD**

**Table A-1 Companies’ proposals on CSI enhancements for FDD**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Proposals** |
| **Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom** | ***Proposal 1:*** *Taking Alt 5 as basic codebook structure for R17 port selection codebook structure.* * $ M\_{v}= N=1$ *should be supported for* $W\_{f}$*, and further study other candidate values of* $M\_{v}$ *and N.*
 |
| **vivo** | * *The SD information and partial FD information can be precoded on CSI-RS ports and other FD information can be indicated by signaling.*
* *With gNB indication, UE can obtain more than 32 SD-FD pair candidates with up to 32 CSI-RS ports.*
* *We prefer codebook structure Alt 3. W1 is for CSI-RS port selection. Wf is for index selection from the range indicated or configured by gNB. For all the selected CSI-RS ports, Wf is the same.*
	+ *W1 can be an identity matrix to represent all CSI-RS ports reported.*
	+ *Wf can be a complete DFT matrix indicated by gNB to represent all FD information reported.*

*Enhance procedure on timing calibration to counteract the timing mismatch between gNB and UE for FDD CSI enhancement.* |
| **ZTE** | **Proposal 4:** *For codebook structure in Rel-17 FDD reciprocity based CSI, support Alt 2.** *On the mapping between SD-FD pairs and CSI-RS ports, support one of the following.*
* *Opt 1: 2 or 4 SD-FD pairs are FDMed mapped to 1 port*
* *Opt 2: Aggregating multiple CSI-RS resources to generate one PMI*

  |
| **CATT** | **Proposal-1:**$W=W\_{1}W\_{2}$ *is used as Rel-17 PS codebook structure.***Proposal-2:** *For* $W=W\_{1}W\_{2}$*,* $W\_{1}$ *should be a selection matrix.***Proposal-3:** *At least 48 SD-FD pairs shall be supported in specification.***Proposal-4:***More than one CSI-RS resource can be configured for mapping SD-FD pair to CSI-RS port.***Proposal-5:** *Port selection should be polarization-common.***Proposal-6:** *The bandwidth and density of SRS is configured as same as that of CSI-RS to obtain accurate delay information of uplink channel.***Proposal-7:***Non-zero coefficients are indicated by using port indication information.*  |
| **Intel Corporation** | ***Proposal 6*:*** *Consider optimization of CSI-RS design instead of codebook design with multiple SD-FD precoders multiplexed in one CSI-RS port*

***Observation 4*:*** *Rel. 17 codebook with CSI-RS density D = 0.25 has around 5% performance gain in cell-edge UE throughput comparing to Rel. 17 codebook with CSI-RS density D = 0.5*

***Proposal 7***: * *Support of lower CSI-RS density can be considered in Rel. 17 by RAN1*

***Proposal 8***: * *Support Rel. 17 codebook structure according to Alt. 3-0 with M = 1*
	+ *FFS: M > 1*
 |
| **Samsung** | ***Proposal 4:*** *for the study of Rel. 17 codebook alternatives, use Rel. 16 reg. T2 CB as a reference performance, in addition to the Rel. 16 PS T2 CB “baseline****Proposal 5:*** *codebook alternatives (Alt2, 3-1, 3-2, and 5) that are based on conveying multiple SD-FD bases per CSI-RS port (*$O\_{f}>1$*) require further study and justification, hence should be deprioritized.****Proposal 6:*** *for Rel. 17 codebook design, support Alt1 (W=W1W2)* * *Alt0 (W=W2) can be supported when number of CSI-RS ports is small*
 |
| **OPPO** | ***Proposal 1:*** * *No need to support more than 32 CSI-RS ports/SD-FD pairs in Rel-17.*

***Proposal 2:*** * *Support codebook structure Alt 3-0 or Alt 3-1 for Rel-17 PS.*
 |
| **Sony** | **Proposal 1.** *Non-Kronecker* *SD-FD bases shall be introduced in Rel-17* only *if they are shown to offer a better tradeoff among UE complexity, performance and reporting overhead compared to Rel-16.***Proposal 2.** *Study the feasibility of reducing the density of CSI-RS pilot in the frequency domain.***Proposal 3.** *For minimum specification impact, maintain the polarization-common base selection and reporting mechanism of Rel-15/Rel-16. A polarization-specific mechanism should only be introduced if it can be shown that, at least for some scenarios of interest, it provides substantial advantage over polarization-common.* **Proposal 4:** *Based on UL CSI, further restrict the set of CSI-RS ports eligible by the UE to those compatible with UL signal angles.***Proposal 5:** *Introduce an FD sampling size parameter* $d^{'}$*. Based on UL CSI, further restrictions to* $d'$ *can be applied in order to limit the set of FD DFT vectors eligible by the UE.***Proposal 6***: For FDD systems exploiting DL/UL channel reciprocity, the UE can signal to the gNB the DL covariance matrix of noise and interference. The ways of transferring this information from the UEs to the gNB need to be further studied and specified.***Proposal 7:** *Companies should study the feasibility of signaling to the UEs the set of CSI-RS beams actually used for co-scheduled transmissions. An indication from the UE to the gNB of those beams suppressed by the UE should also be studied.* |
| **Apple** | ***Proposal 4 For port selection codebook enhancement,**** ***Do not introduce SD-FD pairing***
* ***Do not introduce CSI-RS with more than 32 ports***
* ***We can consider CSI-RS enhancement to allow more users to be multiplexed in the same amount of REs, solution can be allowing lower density CSI-RS, e.g., 0.5, 0.25***

***Proposal 5 For CSI enhancement utilizing partial reciprocity of DL/UL channels, more flexible wideband and subband CSI reporting configuration can be considered*** |
| **LG Electronics** | **Proposal #5:** Alternatives based on multiple SD-FD bases to single CSI-RS port for baseline codebook structure, i.e., Alt2, Alt3-1, Alt3-2, and Alt5, should be avoided.**Proposal #6:** Support Alt3-0 as a baseline codebook structure in Rel-17 Type 2 PS CB. **Proposal #7:** Support of more than 32 CSI-RS port should be deprioritized unless clear benefic is observed.  |
| **FraunhoferIIS, Fraunhofer HHI** | ***Proposal:*** *The constraint on the neighboring port selection as in the Rel.-15/16 codebooks shall be relaxed for the Rel. 17 PS codebook.****Proposal:*** *Support ALT 3-0 for the Rel. 17 PS codebook.* ***Proposal:*** *The number of SD-FD basis pairs used for CSI-RS precoding should not be larger than 32.****Proposal:*** *Support fixing/pre-configuring the delays.* ***Proposal:*** *Study identical port selection for a subset of transmission layers.* |
| **Nokia,Nokia Shanghai Bell** | **Proposal 1. Support the codebook structure** $W=W\_{1}W\_{2}W\_{f}^{H}$**, where** $W\_{f}$ **is a** $N\_{3}×M\_{ν}$ **DFT-based compression matrix (Alt 5, 3.0, 3.1) and the** $M\_{ν}$ **components are network configured or selected and reported within a configured window of size** $N$* **FFS:** $W\_{f}$ **configured or selected and reported by a UE**
* **FFS: values of** $M\_{ν}$ **and** $N$
	+ $M\_{ν}=N=1$**, *i.e.*, WB reporting**
	+ $…$

**Proposal 2.** $W\_{1}$ **is a** $P×K\_{1}$ **free selection matrix, if reported.*** **FFS: whether** $W\_{1}$ **has Kronecker structure (Alt 3.2 and 4),** $W\_{1}=W\_{1}^{1}⊗W\_{1}^{2}$
* **FFS: feedback overhead increase if** $W\_{1}$ **is not reported (Alt 0)**

**Proposal 3. Support further study of at least the following two additional mechanisms to reduce the CSI-RS overhead*** **Mapping** $O\_{f}\geq 1$ **SD-FD bases in a CSI-RS resource port, with** $O\_{f}\in \{1,2,4\}$
* **Reduced CSI-RS resource density of 0.25 with RB comb offset:** $\{0,1,2,3\}$

**Proposal 4. Study multiplexing of SD-FD pairs of different UEs in delay domain by applying UE-specific shifts to FD-precoded beamforming vectors at gNB, in order to further reduce CSI-RS overhead on top of previously mentioned schemes.** |
| **Lenovo, Motorola Mobility** | 1. Alt1 and Alt2 should not be considered for Rel. 17 Reciprocity codebook
2. Introduce additional parameter values for Rel. 16 Type-II port selection codebook, e.g., include WB reporting with *M*=1
3. Alt0 should not be considered for Rel. 17 Reciprocity codebook
4. Support Alt3-0 or Alt3-2 for reciprocity-based Rel. 17 Type-II Port Selection Codebook
5. Polarization-common port selection and polarization-specific coefficient quantization are supported for Rel. 17 Reciprocity-based Port Selection codebook
6. Aperiodic SRS triggering is needed in conjunction with the beamformed CSI-RS for the reciprocity-based codebook, with a limited time gap between the transmission of both RSs
7. Configure the UE with two frequency compression parameter values for both strong and weak channel reciprocity, where the UE can select the appropriate parameter value based on the strength of the channel reciprocity
 |
| **Spreadtrum Communications** | ***Proposal 7:*** *Regarding codebook structure, support Alt2 or Alt3-2.****Proposal 8:*** *Whether supporting more than 32 SD-FD bases should be decided based on evaluation results** *If supported, multiple SD-FD bases can be mapped into a single port with different pre-determined delay position.*
 |
| **NTT DOCOMO, INC** | **Proposal 8:*** *Consider following Type II PS codebook structure for NR Rel-17:*

$W\_{l}=W\_{1}\tilde{W}\_{l}W\_{f,l}^{T} $*where both* $W\_{1}$*and*$W\_{f,l} $*are selection matrices. As discussed above, proposed Alt0 and Alt1/2 codebook structures are special cases of this codebook structure.***Proposal 9:*** *Since the UL dominant sub-space is different than that of DL when considering phased arrays (with fixed inter-element spacing) for transmission, allow UE to pick* $L$ *SD beams out of the* $K (\geq L)$ *beamformed CSI-RS ports.*

**Proposal 10:*** *Allow UE to select FD bases as well in order to provide higher flexibility and performance. FD bases selection can be either SD beam common or SD beam specific.*

**Proposal 11:*** *Support FDM based many-to-one mapping between SD-FD pairs and CSI-RS ports.*

**Proposal 12:***Consider how to determine, the number of frequency partitions, and PRBs associated with each frequency partition, for FDM based many-to-one mapping between SD-FD pairs and CSI-RS ports.*  |
| **Ericsson** | [Proposal 1**:** Study the order for SVD and port-selection operations, by taking into account the trade-off between UPT, overhead and UE complexity.](#_Toc61906726)[Proposal 2**:** Rel-17 PS codebook should include a DFT-based $Wf\in CN3×M(M\geq 1)$ as the FD compression matrix.](#_Toc61906727)[Proposal 3**:** Support Alt. 3-0 as it is a robust alternative that allows flexible implementation of Rel-17 enhancements of Type II CSI](#_Toc61906728)[Proposal 4**:** Multiplexing multiple pairs per CSI-RS port ($Of>1$) should not be supported as the benefit is not significant (~5%) and given the increased complexity at UE and gNB and specification impact.](#_Toc61906729) |
| **Qualcomm Incorporated** | **Proposal 9: For Rel-17 FDD CSI, support two-stage codebook structure (**$W\_{1}W\_{2}$**) where W1 as port-selection and W2 as linear combination coefficients. W1 and W2 are reported in wideband sense. (Alt1)****Proposal 10: RAN1 should study and justify the gain of three-stage codebook if decide to specify it.****Proposal 11: if RAN1 decide to support three-stage codebook (**$W\_{1}W\_{2}W\_{f}^{H}$**), support joint configuration and capability signalling of combination of {number of CSI-RS ports per resource, number of FD bases per port}.****Proposal 12: RAN1 should not consider many-to-one mapping between SD-FD bases and CSI-RS port.** |
| **MediaTek Inc** | **Proposal 10**:Port selection codebook enhancements utilizing DL/UL reciprocity of angle and delay should be supported in Rel-17.**Proposal 11**: For the case of a single dominant tap in each beam pre-compensated by the gNB by means of precoded CSI-RS, the codebook structure is $W=W\_{1}W\_{2}$, where $W\_{1}\in N^{P×L}$ is a free port selection matrix to choose $L$ ports out of $P$ CSI-RS ports in a polarization specific manner and each column of $W\_{1}$ has a single non-zero entry of 1.**Proposal 12**: In order to capture more channel information on the main beam, delay pre-compensation of $M>1$ dominat delay taps per beam should be further investigated in RAN1.**Proposal 13**: For the case of more than one dominant tap in each beam pre-compensated by the gNB by means of precoded CSI-RS, the codebook structure is $W=W\_{1}W\_{2}W\_{f}^{H}$, where $W\_{1}\in N^{P×L}$ and $W\_{f}\in N^{M×M\_{1}}$ are free SD and FD port selection matrices to choose $L$ out of $P$ beams in a polarization specific manner, and $M\_{1}$ out of $M$ delays, such that each column of $W\_{1}$ and $W\_{f}$ have a single non-zero entry of 1.**Proposal 14**: RAN1 should further discuss the PMI component $W\_{2}$ and CQI reporting mechanism considering delay pre-compensation using FDD reciprocity.**Proposal 15**: When $M>1$ delay taps are pre-compensated by the gNB using precoded CSI-RS in each of the $P$ beams, gNB can use $M\_{P}$ DFT FD bases for CSI-RS precoding and indicate the offset of the remaining $M-M\_{P}$ FD bases via dynamic signaling to the UE. |

* **Companies’ proposals on CSI enhancements for Multi-TRP**

**Table A-2 Companies’ proposals on** **CSI enhancements for Multi-TRP**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Proposals** |
| **Futurewei** | **Proposal 1:** * *FeMIMO supports associating a group of measurement resources to one CSI report configuration of a UE and let the UE determine or select whether a measurement resource is for CM, IM, or muting.*

**Proposal 2:** * *Regarding UE reporting mechanism, FeMIMO supports Alt 3: the UE can be expected to report two CSIs associated with the two best single-TRP measurement hypotheses associated with CMRs from two TRPs and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis, if configured. FeMIMO also support reporting a subset of the CSI report quantities.*

**Proposal 3:** * *For a CSI report associated with a Multi-TRP/panel NCJT measurement hypothesis configured by single CSI reporting setting, the UE is expected to report*

*Two CRIs, two RIs, two PMIs, two LIs and one CQI per codeword, for single-DCI based NCJT when the maximal transmission layers is less than or equal to 4* |
| **InterDigital, Inc.** | ***Proposal 2****:* *Study two-step CSI-RS measurement reporting for NCJT where** *NZP CSI-RS is configured per TRP,*
* *in the first step, a PMI corresponding to the first TRP, and in the second step a PMI corresponding to the second TRP is determined and reported.*

***Proposal 3****:* *Study a two-step SRS plus CSI-RS measurement/reporting for NCJT where** *NZP CSI-RS is configured per TRP,*
* *in the first step UE transmits an SRS, and in the second step based on the received precoded CSI-RS from each TRP, UE estimates and report the CSI*
 |
| **Huawei, HiSilicon, China Unicom** | ***Proposal 2:*** *For CSI reporting for NCJT in Rel-17, the number of CMRs associated to a CSI-ReportingConfig is restricted to 2.****Proposal 3:*** *The UE can report one CSI associated with the best single-TRP measurement hypothesis and one CSI associated with the best NC-JT measurement hypothesis, if configured* |
| **vivo** | * *Confirm the working assumption on multiple CSI reporting settings for NC-JT.*
* *RAN1 shall strive for commonality for NC-JT CSI measurement configured by single or multiple CSI reporting setting(s) as well as the MTRP beam enhancement.*
* *For CSI measurement associated with a CSI reporting setting, grouping the CMR can realize the CMR association with two TRPs.*
* *For CSI measurement associated with multiple CSI reporting settings, explicitly configuring the associated reporting setting in a reporting setting can realize the CMR association of two TRPs.*
* *For the CSI measurement for NC-JT, support one-to-one mapping between the CMRs of the two TRPs when multiple CMRs are configured for each TRP.*
* *To save signaling overhead and achieve more flexible CSI measurement, support CMR pair modification/activation/deactivation and CMR association by MAC CE.*
* *Support a one-to-one mapping between CMR and IMR.*
* *Support to report one CSI associated with the best one among NC-JT and/or single-TRP measurement hypotheses selected by the UE.*
* *Support CSI enhancement for different single-DCI-based MTRP transmission schemes, including HST-SFN schemes specified in Rel-17.*
* *For the NC-JT assumption, the number of CPUs should correspond to the number of associated CMRs, and the CPU occupation timeline needs further study.*
 |
| **ZTE** | **Proposal 1:** *Support Category 1, i.e.** *For a reporting setting CSI-ReportConfig, more than one CSI-RS port groups in a resource or resources or resource sets are associated to different TRPs/TCI states,*
	+ *the UE will determine CSI reporting quantities based on pre-defined/indicated/configured/UE-selected channel and interference hypotheses across TRPs /TCI states*
	+ *and then report one or more CSIs within a single CSI report.*

**Proposal 2*:*** *In one CSI-RS resource set for channel estimation, two CSI-RS resources configured with the same two TCI states are associated to different TRPs,* * *If UE selects a CRI corresponding to a CSI-RS resource with two TCI states, UE will determine CSI based on the interference between the CSI-RS resource and its associated CSI-RS resource.*
	+ *The CSI includes two RI, PMI, LI and one combined CQI.*

**Proposal 3:** *UE shall calculate interference from the coordinated TRP considering the selected precoder and beam used by the coordinated TRP.* |
| **CATT** | **Proposal-8:***Non-PMI based feedback can be supported for CSI enhancement for M-TRP.***Proposal-9:** *For CSI reporting based on single report setting, two associated CMR resources in the same resource set are used for channel measurement of two TRPs. In CSI calculation, the UE assumes that in PDSCH transmission, PMI-1/RI-1 and PMI-2/RI-2 are applied to the channel of TRP 1 and 2 respectively. By doing so, inter-TRP interference measurement can be achieved without introducing non-precoded IMR.***Proposal-10:** *Considering the impacts of the two options on spec, option 1 is slightly preferred.** *Option 1 (Explicit): CMRs corresponding to different TRPs can be associated with different reporting settings respectively, with the same configurations between two settings except for PUCCH/PUSCH resources and CMR/IMR resources setting(s)*

**Proposal-11:***One CQI per codeword is reported even if the reported rank is more than 5 in CSI for NC-JT.* **Proposal-12:***In CSI reporting for NC-JT, the possible combinations of rank reported to each of the TRPs should follow the rule of DMRS allocation.***Proposal-13:** *Indication/configuration/report on the transmission scheme assumed for CSI calculation can be considered.***Proposal-14:** *Further discuss the following alternatives for CSI reporting of M-DCI based NC-JT.** *Alt-1: Two independent reports, for different TRPs respectively*
* *Alt-2: One set of report quantities can be reported to any of the two TRPs*

*Alt-3: Separate reports (i.e., Alt-1) can be used if the resources for CSI reporting towards different TRPs are different. If resources for CSI reporting towards different TRPs are overlapped, joint CSI reporting (i.e., Alt-2) can be used****.*** |
| **CMCC** | ***Proposal 1: The UE shall be expected to report two CRIs for single-DCI based NCJT.******Proposal 2: Two CRIs, and corresponding two CQIs, two RIs and/or two PMIs could be reported in single CSI reporting for multi-DCI based NCJT.******Proposal 3: Support Alt 1(the UE can be expected to report one CSI associated with the best single-TRP measurement hypothesis and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis) and Alt 2(the UE can be expected to report one CSI associated with the best one among NCJT and/or single-TRP measurement hypotheses) for single CSI reporting setting.******Proposal 4: One exact CSI reporting mechanism among Alt 1 and Alt 2 could be configured by RRC.*** |
| **Samsung** | ***Proposal 1:*** *On CSI enhancements for multi-TRP,** *Support Category 1 for single-DCI based multi-TRP*
* *Allow UE to be configured between Category 1 and 2 for multi-DCI based multi-TRP*
* *Support CMR to be re-used as IMR for both non pre-coded and pre-coded CSI-RS*

***Proposal 2:*** *For NC-JT CSI reporting enhancement, support and study followings:** *Support CRI-based dynamic reporting between NC-JT and non-NC-JT CSI*
* *Support non-PMI based port-selection*
* *Support restrictions among reported RIs or PMIs*
* *Study UCI structure optimized for dynamic NC-JT CSI report*

***Proposal 3:*** *Design new CPU occupation rule for dynamic NC-JT CSI report* |
| **OPPO** | Proposal 3: For CSI report(s) associated to single CSI reporting setting for NCJT,* *It is preferred to support at most Ks=2 CMRs in a CSI-RS resource set. Whether CRI is needed depends on the supported UE reporting mechanism.*
* *If Ks>2 CMRs is supported in a CSI-RS resource set, an implicit association between CSI-RS resources is supported for NC-JT measurement.*
* *If Ks CMRs are configured in a CSI-RS resource set, 2Ks CPUs are counted for the CSI report (Ks CPUs respectively for single-TRP and NCJT measurement hypothesis).*

Proposal 4: For CSI report(s) associated to single CSI reporting setting for NCJT, support at least Alt 2 considering performance and CSI overhead.Proposal 5: CSI enhancement for multi-DCI based M-TRP transmission (including Category 2) should have low priority. If enhancement is needed, consider joint CSI report to support overlapped PDSCHs, non-overlapped PDSCHs and S-TRP. |
| **Apple** | ***Proposal 1 For a CSI report associated with multi-DCI based Multi-TRP/panel NCJT measurement hypothesis configured by single CSI reporting setting, the UE is expected to report**** ***Two RIs, two PMIs, two LIs and two CQIs***

***Proposal 2 For interference measurement under NCJT, CMR, including RI/PMI decision, from one TRP should be considered as the interference, i.e. IMR, to the other TRP.******Proposal 3 For reporting mechanism, regarding the following three cases**** ***Single TRP operation: Report the best TRP under the assumption that the other TRP is blanked***
* ***Single TRP operation: Report each TRP under the assumption that the other TRP is blanked***
* ***Multiple TRP operation: Report both TRP under NCJT operation***

***gNB can configure one or multiple of them*** * ***UE can independently indicate whether UE supports each reporting or not***
* ***The CPU and active RS counting rule needs to be further discussed and clarified***
 |
| **LG Electronics** | **Proposal #1:** Support Alt 2. * Alt 2: The UE can be expected to report one CSI associated with the best one among NCJT and/or single-TRP measurement hypotheses, if configured.

**Proposal #2:** UE selects 1 or 2 CMRs from up to 8 CMRs for S-TRP or NCJT transmission.**Proposal #3:** The number of reported LI values in a CSI report associated with a NCJT measurement hypothesis should be determined by the maximum number of PTRS ports, i.e., maxNrofPorts-r16 in PTRS-DownlinkConfig.**Proposal #4:** Support Option 1(Explicit association between CSI reporting settings). |
| **FraunhoferIIS, Fraunhofer HHI** | ***Proposal:*** *The UE is pre-configured with CMR/IMR sets, and it selects one or more CMR/IMR sets to report a non-NC-JT or NC-JT CSI, or the UE decides which resource is for channel or interference measurement and selects and reports a subset of the CMRs which are associated with a NC-JT or non-NC-JT CSI.****Proposal:*** *For a single CSI report setting, support ALT2 such that a CSI report comprises one CSI associated with the best NC-JT or non-NC-JT measurement hypothesis.* |
| **Nokia,Nokia Shanghai Bell** |  **Proposal 5. Introduce higher-layer configuration for grouping resources such that a TRP association is known for each CMR resource. The grouping of CMR resources can be understood by the UE based on either of the following methods:*** **Alt-1: grouping (or TRP association) of CMR resources.**
* **Alt-2: grouping (or TRP association) of SSB resources and QCL-TypeD chain is used to determine the TRP association of a CMR.**

**Proposal 6. Support network indication of the CMR pairing to restrict the NC-JT measurement hypotheses when more than 2 CMR resources are configured in a resource set.****Proposal 7. For the number of reported CSIs in a single Reporting Setting, support a combination of Alt 3 and Alt 1 with three possible configurations:**1. **3 CSIs: two best single TRP measurements, one for TRP 0 and one for TRP 1, and 1 best NC-JT measurement**
2. **2 CSIs: two best single TRP measurements, one for TRP 0 and one for TRP 1**
3. **2 CSIs: one best single TRP measurement, one best NC-JT measurement**

**Proposal 8. For M-TRP CSI measurement, support extension of the maximum number of ports per CSI-RS resource to 32.****Proposal 9. When an NC-JT CSI is included in a multi-TRP report, support extension of the CRI definition to include the CMR pairs configured/indicated by the network for NC-JT measurements.****Proposal 10. For NC-JT CSI, support restriction of the combination of reported RIs to the following sets: {1,1}, {1,2}, {2,1}, {2,2}.****Proposal 11. Postpone any decision on multi-DCI based NCJT measurement after a decision is made on the TRP association to CMRs for single Reporting Setting.****Proposal 12. If TRP association to CMRs is agreed for single Reporting Setting, modify the working assumption on multi-DCI based NCJT measurement with implicit or explicit association between two Reporting Settings having the same configurations except for PUCCH/PUSCH resources.****Proposal 13. Regarding the FFS on CQI calculation in multi-DCI NC-JT measurement, further clarify that a UE assumes two codewords are received fully overlapping in time and frequency and that each codeword is mapped to the spatial layers associated to one TRP.** |
| **NEC** | ***Proposal 1: Two subsets of CSI-RS resources should be designed in a CSI-RS resource set, and each subset can be associated with one TRP.*** ***Proposal 2: For a CSI reporting setting, we support Alt 1 (one CSI associated with the best single-TRP measurement hypothesis and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis) as 1st preference, and Alt 3 (two CSIs associated with the two best single-TRP measurement hypotheses associated with CMRs from two TRPs and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis) as 2nd preference.******Proposal 3: Payload for CRI reporting can be reduced based on the structure of two CSI-RS resource subsets. And for NCJT hypothesis, either one CRI or two CRIs reporting in the CSI is OK, which depends on whether there is association between CSI-RS resources in two subsets.******Proposal 4: TRP specific CBSR and RI restriction can reduce the UE complexity considerably, which should be introduced at least for NCJT measurement hypothesis.******Proposal 5: Restriction on possible values of the two reported RIs should be considered to reduce the overhead.*** |
| **MediaTek Inc** | **Proposal 1**:For NCJT CSI measurement configured with single reporting setting, only support interference measurement based on CSI-IM.**Proposal 2**: How to interpret the two CMRs configured for an NCJT measurement hypothesis can be up to UE implementation.**Proposal 3**: For a CSI reporting setting, support Alt. 1 and Alt. 2 as UE reporting mechanism.**Proposal 4**: For Alt. 1, the CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis has a lower reporting priority than the CSI associated with the best single-TRP measurement hypothesis.**Proposal 5**: For Alt. 2, the second RI can be reported as 0 to signal the best single-TRP measurement hypothesis.**Proposal 6**: For an NCJT interference hypothesis, the corresponding CRI is associated with two CMRs, whereas the mapping from CRI to IMR remains one-to-one.**Proposal 7**: The allowed RI pairs for an NCJT measurement hypothesis assuming the maximal transmission layers less than or equal to 4 should be (1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2).**Proposal 8**: Non-PMI based port-selection is supported for a CSI report associated with an NCJT measurement hypothesis configured by single CSI reporting setting.**Proposal 9**: The higher layer parameter *reportQuantity* can be 'cri-RI-PMI-CQI ', 'cri-RI-i1', 'cri-RI-i1-CQI', 'cri-RI-CQI', and 'cri-RI-LI-PMI-CQI' for a CSI report associated with an NCJT measurement hypothesis configured by single CSI reporting setting. |
| **Intel Corporation** | ***Proposal 1***: * *Support configuration of a reporting setting CSI-ReportConfig for NCJT with different number of CSI-RS ports in CMRs corresponding to different TRPs*
	+ *Different number of CSI-RS ports in CMRs is optional feature with separate UE capability signaling parameter*
	+ *Additional constraint on the number of CSI-RS ports in one of the CSI-RS resources can be considered*

***Proposal 2*:** * *Support CSI configuration where CSI for NCJT and CSI for STRP are both reported*
	+ *Alt 1: the UE can be expected to report one CSI associated with the best single-TRP measurement hypothesis and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis, if configured*
	+ *Alt 3: the UE can be expected to report two CSIs associated with the two best single-TRP measurement hypotheses associated with CMRs from two TRPs and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis, if configured*

***Proposal 3*:** * *Support omission of CSI associated with NCJT measurement hypothesis*
	+ *CSI for NCJT is contained in CSI part 2, information about omission of CSI for NCJT is reported in CSI part 1*

***Proposal 4*:** * *CSI measurement for multi-DCI based NCJT with non-ideal backhaul is supported by configuring two CSI reports with proper interference measurements (Implicit approach)*

***Proposal 5***: * *Support enhanced CSI feedback for MTRP transmission with PDSCH repetition (for URLLC)*
	+ *Alt 1: One CSI report with CQI calculated for MTRP transmission with PDSCH repetition (Category 1 MTRP CSI)*
	+ *Alt. 2: Two CSI reports corresponding to two TRP with aligned RI value (Category 2 MTRP CSI)*
 |
| **Lenovo, Motorola Mobility** | 1. At least for the single-DCI multi-TRP scenario, discuss the number of CSI Reports configured per CSI Reporting Setting
2. Clarify the relationship between CSI Reports and channel hypotheses
3. Support multi-DCI mTRP CSI enhancements along with single-DCI mTRP
4. The UE should be configured by the network to report NCJT-based CSI feedback under multi-DCI setup
5. Support explicit CSI-ReportConfig from each TRP for multi-DCI based NCJT
6. RAN1 should strive to develop a codebook-transparent framework for CSI Reporting under NCJT
7. Support Type-II codebook for NCJT along with Type-I single-panel codebook type
8. For a UE configured with Type-II codebook, it can be configured with more than one CSI-RS resource for CMR under aperiodic CSI Reporting
9. Further study the motivation to support non-PMI based port-selection for NCJT
10. Support Alt3 for CSI Reporting mechanism under NCJT
11. Discuss whether CSI enhancements for NCJT should support transmission with rank exceeding four
12. For multi-DCI based NCJT, the first and second PMIs in the CSI report correspond to the same TRP under single-TRP transmission and NCJT transmission, respectively
13. CSI Report for HST-SFN should include 2 PMI/CRI and 1 RI/LI/CQI
14. TRP-specific CSI-RS Resources should be used in HST-SFN deployment
15. Support dynamic omission of CSI from one of the two TRPs in HST-SFN based on the difference in RSRP value with respect to the other TRP
 |
| **Spreadtrum Communications** | ***Proposal 1:*** *For a CSI report associated with a Multi-TRP/panel NCJT measurement hypothesis configured by single CSI reporting setting, the UE is expected to report two RIs, two PMIs, two LIs and two CQIs.****Proposal 2:*** *Not support interference measurement based on NZP CSI-RS given by nzp-CSI-RS-ResourcesForInterference for a CSI report associated with NCJT measurement hypothesis.****Proposal 3:*** *Support interference measurement based on CSI-IM given by csi-IM-ResourcesForInterference for a CSI report associated with NCJT measurement hypothesis.****Proposal 4:*** *For a CSI reporting setting, UE reporting mechanism Alt.2 at least should be supported, i.e., the UE can be expected to report one CSI associated with the best one among NCJT and/or single-TRP measurement hypotheses.****Proposal 5:*** *Study how to demonstrate the validity of CSI parameters for joint reporting in NC-JT.****Proposal 6:*** *A new design of CSI composition and CSI Part 2 omission priority should be considered for CSI reporting with NCJT assumption.* |
| **NTT DOCOMO, INC** | **Proposal 1:*** *The premise to confirm the working assumption is making sure that the discussion on Category 2 will not impact the function and progress of Category 1.*

**Proposal 2:*** *Support Category 1 for both single-DCI and multi-DCI based MTRP transmission schemes, including DL MTRP transmission scheme in HST-SFN.*
	+ *For CSI measurement associated to a reporting setting CSI-ReportConfig for NCJT, ~~[~~at least for multi-DCI based and single-DCI based schemes (scheme 1a)~~]~~, NZP CSI-RS resources for channel measurement are associated to different TRPs/TCI states at resource level.*

**Proposal 3:*** *For a CSI report associated with a Multi-TRP/panel NCJT measurement hypothesis configured by single CSI reporting setting, the UE is expected to report following reporting quantities for different transmission schemes based on indication signaling,*
	+ *two RIs, two PMIs, two LIs and one CQI per codeword, for single-DCI based NCJT*
	+ *two RIs, two PMIs, two LIs and two CQIs, for multi-DCI based NCJT*
	+ *one RI, two PMIs, one LI and one CQI, for HST-SFN*

**Proposal 4:*** *For NCJT CSI measurement configured with single reporting setting,*
	+ *Existing interference measurement based on CSI-IM given by csi-IM-ResourcesForInterference and based on NZP CSI-RS given by nzp-CSI-RS-ResourcesForInterference is baseline.*
	+ *The CSI-IM and NZP-CSI-RS for interference measurement are shared by two TRPs, with one-to-one mapping between CMR associated with each TRP and CSI-IM/NZP-CSI-RS for interference measurement.*

**Proposal 5:*** *For a CSI report associated with a Multi-TRP/panel NCJT measurement hypothesis configured by single CSI reporting setting, the UE is expected to report one CRI, which corresponds to two NZP CSI-RS resources.*

**Proposal 6:*** *For NCJT CSI measurement configured with single reporting setting,*
	+ *If configured, CMR associated with the 2nd TRP is assumed for interference measurement for CMR associated with the 1st TRP, and vice versa.*

**Proposal 7:*** *At least support Alt.1: the UE can be expected to report one CSI associated with the best single-TRP measurement hypothesis and one CSI associated with the best NCJT measurement hypothesis, if configured.*
* *Do not support Alt.2.*
* *Alt.3 can be further studied.*
 |
| **Ericsson** | [Proposal 5**:** Prioritize finalizing NC-JT CSI enhancement with single reporting setting in Rel-17 before further discussion of NC-JT CSI enhancement with multiple reporting settings.](#_Toc61906730)[Proposal 6**:** Reducing CSI feedback overhead with 3 or 4 TRPs in a serving cell should be the main goal for NC-JT CSI feedback design.](#_Toc61906731)[Proposal 7**:** For NC-JT CSI enhancement with single reporting setting, support the configuration of up to 3 or 4 NZP CSI-RS resources per channel measurement resource set.](#_Toc61906732)[Proposal 8**:** For NC-JT CSI enhancement with single reporting setting, support reporting of 2 CRIs as part of the NC-JT CSI to select two TRPs.](#_Toc61906733)[Proposal 9**:** For NC-JT CSI enhancement with single reporting setting, support Alt.3.](#_Toc61906734)[Proposal 10**:** To reduce CSI overhead with Alt 3, support UE CSI reporting where the same PMIs and RIs are shared between NC-JT CSI and single TRP CSIs.](#_Toc61906735)[Proposal 11**:** If the rank of one of the single TRP CSIs to be reported is above a configured threshold, then the UE may omit CSI associated with NCJT measurement hypothesis.](#_Toc61906736)[Proposal 12**:** For NC-JT CSI with a single CSI reporting setting , if the NZP CSI-RS resources for channel measurement are configured without QCL-type D or with the same QCL-type D, a UE assumes that the interference on the CSI-IM resources represents two observations of a same interference.](#_Toc61906737)[Proposal 13**:** For NC-JT CSI with a single CSI reporting setting, if the NZP CSI-RS resources for channel measurement are configured with different QCL-type D source RS, a UE assumes that the interferences on different CSI-IM resources may correspond to different interference sources.](#_Toc61906738)[Proposal 14**:** For NC-JT CSI with a single CSI reporting setting, a UE assumes that an NZP CSI-RS or CSI-IM resource for interference measurement is QCLed with respect to “QCL-type D” with the associated NZP CSI-RS resource for channel measurement.](#_Toc61906739)[Proposal 15**:** In NR Rel-17, unify the Rel-17 MTRP CSI framework enhancements to consider MTRP CSI for both NC-JT and multi-TRP URLLC schemes.](#_Toc61906740) |
| **Qualcomm Incorporated** | **Proposal 1: Support one of the following options for CMR paring / NCJT hypotheses configuration:*** **Option 3: CMRs are divided in to two or more groups, and a pair of CMRs belonging to different groups construct a NCJT hypothesis.**
* **Option 4: One or more pairs of CMRs are explicitly configured within a resource set.**

**Proposal 2: In a CSI report config with 𝐾 CMRs, CRI codepoint mapping to CSI hypotheses is be based on** * **First 𝐾 CRI codepoints are mapped to single-TRP hypotheses (same as Rel. 15).**
* **The additional CRI codepoints are mapped to CMR pairs corresponding to NCJT hypotheses.**

**Proposal 3: Support one-to-one mapping between CSI-IM and CRI codepoint for a given *CSI-ReportConfig*.** **Proposal 4: QCL-Type D of the CMRs associated with a NCJT hypothesis are applied to the corresponding CSI-IM resource.****Proposal 5: An NCJT CSI hypothesis occupies two CPUs, two active resources, and a number of active ports corresponding to both CMRs. These numbers are separate from single-TRP hypotheses.****Proposal 6: Codebooks other than ‘typeI-SinglePanel’ are not supported for NCJT CSI.****Proposal 7: For NCJT CSI reporting, support both Alt1 and Alt2.*** **FFS: How to configure / select between Alt1 and Alt2.**

**Proposal 8: For Alt1, the order of CSI reports in the UCI as well as CSI priority for CSI omission is based on an order between the two CSI reports associated with the *CSI-ReportConfig*. CSI priority can be expressed as** $Pri\_{iCSI}\left(y,k,c,s,i\right)$**, where** $i=0,1$ **corresponds to single-TRP CSI and NCJT CSI, respectively.** |