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# Introduction

In [1], maintenance issues are summarized for Rel-16 uplink Tx switching. As per the guidance of Chairman, following issues are identified for email discussion/approval during RAN1 #104 e-meeting:

[104-e-NR-TxSwitching-01] Email discussion/approval on corrections regarding – till 1/29, Jianchi (China Telecom)

* Issue#1: Clarification on the ambiguity issue on SCS in TS 38.214
* Issue#2: Align the RRC parameters in TS 38.214 with TS 38.331
* Issue#3: Clarification on the Maximum data rate in TS 38.306
* Issue#4: Clarification of Tswitch in TS 38.213
* Issue#6: Clarification on the state of Tx chains for SRS antenna switching

and how to handle the following proposal (as a conclusion in Chairman’s notes?)

* For Rel-16 inter-band UL CA, SUL and EN-DC, if uplink Tx switching is configured on two uplinks, the case where SRS carrier switching is configured on a third uplink is not supported.
	+ No spec impact.

This contribution is the summary of email discussion/approval on maintenance of Rel-16 uplink Tx switching.

# Discussion

## Issue#1: Clarification on the ambiguity issue on SCS in TS 38.214

This issue has been discussed for several meetings, but no progress has been made.

The last version of TP in RAN1#103e is as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| 6.1.6 Uplink switching**< unchanged text omitted>**The UE does not expect to perform more than one uplink switching in a slot with *µUL* = max (*µUL,1, µUL,2*), where the *µUL,1* corresponds to the subcarrier spacing of the active UL BWP of one uplink transmitted before the switching gap and the *µUL,2* corresponds to the subcarrier spacing of the active UL BWP of the other uplink transmitted after the switching gap.**< unchanged text omitted>** |

In this meeting, R1-2100087 provided two alternatives:

|  |
| --- |
| **TP Alt.1**The UE does not expect to perform more than one uplink switching in a slot with *µUL* = max(*µUL, 1, µUL, 2*), where the *µUL, 1* corresponds to the subcarrier spacing of the active UL BWP of one uplink carrier and the *µUL, 2* corresponds to the subcarrier spacing of the active UL BWP of the other uplink carrier. |

|  |
| --- |
| **TP Alt.2**The UE does not expect to perform more than one uplink switching in a slot with *µUL* = max(*µUL, 1, µUL, 2*), where the *µUL, 1* corresponds to the subcarrier spacing of the active UL BWP of one uplink carrier after the switching gap and the *µUL, 2* corresponds to the subcarrier spacing of the active UL BWP of the other uplink carrier after the switching gap. |

Companies are invited to provide views on the above TPs.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** |
| CATT | Ok with TP Alt.1, but not TP Alt.2. |
| QC | We are ok with the proposal, slightly preferring Alt.1 |
| ZTE | Currently, the spec is ambiguous due to the following two reasons.(1) The “subcarrier spacing of the uplink transmitted before the switching gap” or “subcarrier spacing of the uplink transmitted after the switching gap” refer to the SCS of the uplink transmission. In case of PRACH, the SCS of uplink transmission can be different from the SCS of the active UL BWP.(2) For CA Option2, there can be 1-port transmissions on both carrier1 and carrier2 simultaneously before the switching gap (or after the switching gap). In this case, it is not clear whether the “the subcarrier spacing of the uplink transmitted before the switching gap” (or “the subcarrier spacing of the uplink transmitted after the switching gap”) refers to SCS of carrier1 or SCS of carrier2.TP Alt.1 can solve all the ambiguity issues, which is our preference.TP Alt.2 can further clarify whether SCS before BWP switching or after BWP switching is applied if the DCI triggers an UL Tx switching and BWP switching at the same time. Take Figure 2 as an example, assuming currently UE is in Case1 (i.e., the preceding transmission is a 1-port transmission on carrier1), UE receives a DCI scheduling a 2-port PUSCH, which triggers UL Tx switching from case1 to case2 and triggers active UL BWP change with numerology change from u1 to u2. In this example, if we delete “transmitted before the switching gap” and “transmitted after the switching gap” in the spec, it is not clear how to determine *µUL* = max(*µUL, 1, µUL, 2*).**Figure2**. A DCI triggers UL Tx switching and UL BWP switching simultaneously.Note that TP Alt.2 doesn’t change legacy SUL and CA Option1 behaviour. Take Figure2 as an example, the calculation result of the current spec and TP Alt.2 are the same. |

## Issue#2: Align the RRC parameters in TS 38.214 with TS 38.331

R1-2100117 proposed the following TP to align the RRC parameters of TS 38.214 and TS 36.331.

|  |
| --- |
| 1. 6.1.6.1 Uplink switching for EN-DC

**< unchanged text omitted>**- when the UE is configured with *tdm-PatternConfig-r15* or by *~~tdm-PatternConfig-r16~~ tdm-PatternConfig2*- for the E-UTRA subframes designated as uplink by the configuration, the UE assumes the operation state in which one-port E-UTRA uplink can be transmitted. - for the E-UTRA subframes other than the ones designated as uplink by the configuration, the UE assumes the operation state in which two-port NR uplink can be transmitted. **< unchanged text omitted>** |

Companies are invited to provide views on the above TP.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** |
| QC | We think this could be moved to the NR-DC maintenance A.I. |
| ZTE | Ok with the change. According to chairman’s guidance, company can directly indicate this alignment change to editor. |
|  |  |

## Issue#3: Clarification on the Maximum data rate in TS 38.306

R1-2101445 proposed to adopt the following revision of the note for the maximum data rate.

|  |
| --- |
| NOTE 2:  For UL Tx switching ~~between carriers in cell(s)~~, only the supported MIMO layer combination ~~across carriers~~ that results in the highest combined data rate is counted for the cell(s) in the supported maximum UL data rate. |

R1-2101554 proposed to adopt the following revision of the note for the maximum data rate.

|  |
| --- |
| NOTE 2:  For UL Tx switching between two cells, only the supported MIMO layer combination across the two cells that results in the highest combined data rate is counted for those cells in the supported maximum UL data rate. |

Companies are invited to provide views on the above TPs.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** |
| CATT | The TP from R1-2101445 is more generic and covers the case that more than two cells are configured with UL Tx switching. |
| QC | The intention of max data rate discussion is for UL CA where one carrier is corresponding to one cell. We suggest removing the highlighted part above to avoid the misunderstanding. SUL allows more than one carrier in one cell, but there is a dedicated note for SUL to clarify only one carrier is considered in any case which is pointed out by R1-2101554 as well.Either of the above two proposals is acceptable to us. |
| ZTE | Ok with TP from R1-2101445. |

## Issue#4: Clarification of *Tswitch* in TS 38.213

R1-2101738 proposed the following TP to TS 38.213.

|  |
| --- |
| 9.2.5 UE procedure for reporting multiple UCI types**< unchanged text omitted>**- if there is an aperiodic CSI report multiplexed in a PUSCH in the group of overlapping PUCCHs and PUSCHs, is not before a symbol with CP starting after after a last symbol of - any PDCCH with the DCI format scheduling an overlapping PUSCH, and- any PDCCH scheduling a PDSCH, or SPS PDSCH release, or providing a DCI format 1\_1 indicating SCell dormancy, or a DCI format 1\_1 indicating a request for a Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook report without scheduling PDSCH, with corresponding HARQ-ACK information in an overlapping PUCCH in the slotwhere corresponds to the smallest SCS configuration among the SCS configuration of the PDCCHs, the smallest SCS configuration for the group of the overlapping PUSCHs, and the smallest SCS configuration of CSI-RS associated with the DCI format scheduling the PUSCH with the multiplexed aperiodic CSI report, and for , for and for . is defined in [6, TS 38.214] and it is applied only if of table 5.4-1 in [6, TS 38.214] is applied to the determination of .- , , , , , and are defined in [6, TS 38.214], and and are defined in [4, TS 38.211]. **< unchanged text omitted>** |

Companies are invited to provide views on the above TP.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** |
| QC | We are ok with this proposal. |
| ZTE | Ok with the change. |
|  |  |

## Issue#6: Clarification on the state of Tx chains for SRS antenna switching

**Proposal:**

* In the Y-symbol gap between SRS transmissions defined by Table 6.2.1.2-1 in 38.214, the UE is assumed to operate with the same number of ports as before and after the gap.

Companies are invited to provide views on the above proposal.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** |
| CATT | Ok with the proposal. |
| QC | The Y-symbol gap is in-between the SRS resources of the SRS resource set and the UE shall expect to be configured with the same number of SRS ports for all SRS resources in the SRS resource set(s) with higher layer parameter *usage* set as 'antennaSwitching'. To be consistent with the current spec, the UE should be assumed to operate with the same number of ports as before and after the gap with UL Tx switching. |
| ZTE | Ok with the proposal. |

## Discussion on the conclusion on CA based SRS switching

**Proposed conclusion:**

* For Rel-16 inter-band UL CA, SUL and EN-DC, if uplink Tx switching is configured on two uplinks, the case where SRS carrier switching is configured on a third uplink is not supported.
	+ No spec impact.

Companies are invited to provide views on the above proposal.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** |
| CATT | We would like to understand the proposal more. A UE configured with UL Tx switching on CC1 and CC2, are configured with SRS carrier switching between CC3 and CC4. The proposed conclusion ruled out such configuration. Is that the intention? |
| QC | R1-2101445 lists 3 examples of possible conflict cases. We propose RAN1 to discuss these cases and make corresponding spec updates to enable UL Tx switching together with SRS carrier switching. Again, in case companies have objections to discussing this feature then we would kindly request an agreement, to be captured in the specification, saying that SRS carrier switching is not supported together with UL Tx switching in any version of switching (CA, EN-DC, SUL).In that case, the agreement text should be the following: For Rel-16 inter-band UL CA, SUL and EN-DC, if uplink Tx switching is configured on two uplinks, the case where SRS carrier switching is configured to/from either of those two uplinks from/to any other uplink is not supported. |
| ZTE | We are ok to discuss the examples listed in R1-2101445.If Tx switching and SRS carrier switching are not operated simultaneously, we are open to consider whether they cannot be operated dynamically or semi-statically. |
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# Appendix

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Views** |
| **ZTE(R1-2100087)** | ***Proposal 1****: Adopt TP Alt.1 or Alt.2 for 38.214 UL Tx switching.*

|  |
| --- |
| **TP Alt.1**The UE does not expect to perform more than one uplink switching in a slot with *µUL* = max(*µUL, 1, µUL, 2*), where the *µUL, 1* corresponds to the subcarrier spacing of the active UL BWP of one uplink carrier and the *µUL, 2* corresponds to the subcarrier spacing of the active UL BWP of the other uplink carrier. |

|  |
| --- |
| **TP Alt.2**The UE does not expect to perform more than one uplink switching in a slot with *µUL* = max(*µUL, 1, µUL, 2*), where the *µUL, 1* corresponds to the subcarrier spacing of the active UL BWP of one uplink carrier after the switching gap and the *µUL, 2* corresponds to the subcarrier spacing of the active UL BWP of the other uplink carrier after the switching gap. |

 |
| **OPPO(R1-2100117)** | 1. 6.1.6.1 Uplink switching for EN-DC

**< unchanged text omitted>**- when the UE is configured with *tdm-PatternConfig-r15* or by *~~tdm-PatternConfig-r16~~ tdm-PatternConfig2*- for the E-UTRA subframes designated as uplink by the configuration, the UE assumes the operation state in which one-port E-UTRA uplink can be transmitted. - for the E-UTRA subframes other than the ones designated as uplink by the configuration, the UE assumes the operation state in which two-port NR uplink can be transmitted. **< unchanged text omitted>** |
| **Qualcomm(R1-2101445)** | **Proposal 1: In the prioritization for SRS switching considers the state of carriers configured with UL Tx switching jointly. As an example, if SRS switching is configured between CC2 and CC3 then in the prioritization the state of CC1 also needs to be considered if CC1 and CC2 are configured with UL Tx switching.** **Proposal 2: Define requirements allowing dropping transmissions on a CC due to SRS transmission on another CC, even if this CC is not configured with SRS switching, as long as the CC is configured with UL Tx switching.** **Proposal 3: Choose one of the following options:** * **During the SRS transmission on CC3 and the interruption time caused by RF tuning, UE is not expected to be scheduled or configured with other transmission requiring UL Tx switching**
* **Define rules on the order in which the UE state vs. dropping decisions are being made**

**Proposal 4: When the UE transmits SRS with Tx switching according to capability ‘xTyR’ on a CC, the UE is assumed to be in an UL Tx switching state supporting at least x ports on that CC.****Proposal 5: RAN1 should discuss and decide whether to introduce further capability with which a UE can indicate that 1TyR is counted as 2 ports on the CC supporting 2-port transmission.** **Proposal 6: In the Y-symbol gap between SRS transmissions defined by Table 6.2.1.2-1 in 38.214, the UE is assumed to operate with the same number of ports as before and after the gap.****Proposal 7: Adopt the following revision of the note for the maximum data rate:**NOTE 2:  For UL Tx switching ~~between carriers in cell(s)~~, only the supported MIMO layer combination ~~across carriers~~ that results in the highest combined data rate is counted for the cell(s) in the supported maximum UL data rate. |
| **Ericsson(R1-2101554)** | **Proposal** 1: NOTE 2 in the TP agreed for 38.306, section 4.1.2 is updated as follows:NOTE 2:  For UL Tx switching between two cells, only the supported MIMO layer combination across the two cells that results in the highest combined data rate is counted for those cells in the supported maximum UL data rate. |
| **Huawei, HiSilicon(R1-2101738)** | 9.2.5 UE procedure for reporting multiple UCI types**< unchanged text omitted>**- if there is an aperiodic CSI report multiplexed in a PUSCH in the group of overlapping PUCCHs and PUSCHs, is not before a symbol with CP starting after after a last symbol of - any PDCCH with the DCI format scheduling an overlapping PUSCH, and- any PDCCH scheduling a PDSCH, or SPS PDSCH release, or providing a DCI format 1\_1 indicating SCell dormancy, or a DCI format 1\_1 indicating a request for a Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook report without scheduling PDSCH, with corresponding HARQ-ACK information in an overlapping PUCCH in the slotwhere corresponds to the smallest SCS configuration among the SCS configuration of the PDCCHs, the smallest SCS configuration for the group of the overlapping PUSCHs, and the smallest SCS configuration of CSI-RS associated with the DCI format scheduling the PUSCH with the multiplexed aperiodic CSI report, and for , for and for . is defined in [6, TS 38.214] and it is applied only if of table 5.4-1 in [6, TS 38.214] is applied to the determination of .- , , , , , and are defined in [6, TS 38.214], and and are defined in [4, TS 38.211]. **< unchanged text omitted>** |