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# 1 Introduction

This document provides summary of email discussion [104-e-NR-MRDC-CA-01] on following issues discussed during preparation phase of RAN1#104-eMeeting [6]

[104-e-NR-MRDC-CA-01] Email discussion/approval on power control for dual connectivity and SCell Dormancy until 10/29 – Ravi (Ericsson)

* PC-1: Proposal 1 in R1-2100420
* PC-2: R1-2100584
* Dorm-1: Proposal 1 in R1-2100093
* Dorm-4: R1-2101751

# 2. Discussion

### 2.1 Dorm-1

Please provide your input to below question Q1, preferably by 01/26 (11:59PM UTC).

#### Question 1

Q1. Is it OK to agree to below TP for sub-clause 9 of TS38.213 from Proposal 1 in [R1-2100093](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2100093.zip)?

|  |
| --- |
| If in an active DL BWP a UE monitors PDCCH either for detection of DCI format 0\_1 and DCI format 1\_1 or for detection of DCI format 0\_2 and DCI format 1\_2, a priority index can be provided by a priority indicator field. If a UE indicates a capability to monitor, in an active DL BWP, PDCCH for detection of DCI format 0\_1 and DCI format 1\_1 and for detection of DCI format 0\_2 and DCI format 1\_2, a DCI format 0\_1 or a DCI format 0\_2 can schedule a PUSCH transmission of any priority, a DCI format 1\_1 or a DCI format 1\_2 can schedule a PDSCH reception and trigger a PUCCH transmission with corresponding HARQ-ACK information of any priority, and a DCI format 1\_1 can indicate SCell dormancy and trigger a PUCCH transmission with corresponding HARQ-ACK information of any priority. |

*Note: This issue was also discussed in [103-e-NR-MRDC-CA-01] email thread (Q2 of Dormancy Topic 3)*

Companies are requested to indicate their view about the above question in the Table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (Dorm-1, Q1)** |
| ZTE | Yes | We support the CR.  Currently, the spec has provided corresponding description for SPS PDSCH, SPS release and DG-PDSCH (copied below). But the description for SCell dormancy indication without scheduling PDSCH is missing.   |  | | --- | | **TS 38.213 Section 9 (HARQ-ACK priority determination for SPS and SPS release.)**  A PUSCH or a PUCCH transmission, including repetitions if any, can be of priority index 0 or of priority index 1. For a configured grant PUSCH transmission, a UE determines a priority index from *phy-PriorityIndex*, if provided. For a PUCCH transmission with HARQ-ACK information corresponding to a SPS PDSCH reception or a SPS PDSCH release, a UE determines a priority index from *harq-CodebookID*, if provided. For a PUCCH transmission with SR, a UE determines the corresponding priority as described in Clause 9.2.4. For a PUSCH transmission with semi-persistent CSI report, a UE determines a priority index from a priority indicator field, if provided, in a DCI format that activates the semi-persistent CSI report. If a priority index is not provided to a UE for a PUSCH or a PUCCH transmission, the priority index is 0. |  |  | | --- | | **TS 38.213 Section 9 (HARQ-ACK priority determination for corresponding PDSCH reception.)**  If in an active DL BWP a UE monitors PDCCH either for detection of DCI format 0\_1 and DCI format 1\_1 or for detection of DCI format 0\_2 and DCI format 1\_2, a priority index can be provided by a priority indicator field. If a UE indicates a capability to monitor, in an active DL BWP, PDCCH for detection of DCI format 0\_1 and DCI format 1\_1 and for detection of DCI format 0\_2 and DCI format 1\_2, a DCI format 0\_1 or a DCI format 0\_2 can schedule a PUSCH transmission of any priority and a DCI format 1\_1 or a DCI format 1\_2 can schedule a PDSCH reception and trigger a PUCCH transmission with corresponding HARQ-ACK information of any priority. | |
| Qualcomm | No | The Case 2 PDCCH is supposed to be similar to SPS PDSCH release for which the specification text of the proposed TP does not have any explicit text. Then there is no need to have the Case 2 PDCCH explicitly mentioned in the spec. |
| Samsung | No | The main issue/topic in the referenced paragraph is that the PUCCH and PUSCH that are associated with the DCI formats have a priority. There is no need to mention what a DCI can do – that is known (it can also be used for SPS PDSCH release, or trigger Type-3 codebook without scheduling PDSCH, or other things in the future) |
| Huawei | No | Share the view with Samsung |
| NTT DOCOMO | No | Agree with Samsung’s comment. |
| vivo | No | Agree with Samsung’s comment. |

### 2.2 Dorm-4

Please provide your input to below question Q1, preferably by 01/26 (11:59PM UTC).

#### Question 1

Q1. Is it OK to agree to the draft CR for 38.212 in [R1-2101751](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2101751.zip)?

Companies are requested to indicate their view about the above question in the Table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (Dorm-4, Q1)** |
| ZTE |  | As discussed in the preparation phase, the 1st change in this CR is not needed.  Ok with the 2nd change. |
| Qualcomm | No and Yes | For change 1, Spec is clear enough, these is no need to make any update. Note that for SPS release and NR-U one-shot HARQ-ACK feedback, the spec did not mention them in the table 7.3.1-1 either and no confusion was caused.  Change 2 is fine. |
| Samsung | No | That DCI 1\_1 can indicate SCell dormancy is clear in 38.213  That the UE needs to have dormant and non-dormant BWP for Scell dormancy operation is also clear both from 38.213 and from 38.321 and 38.331. |
| Huawei | Yes | OK to drop the first change.  On the 2nd change, the DRX condition and 2 BWP configuration are captured for case 1 but nor for case 2/format 2\_6, for which reason we think it can be misleading. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Yes | We are fine with 2nd change in R1-2101751. |
| vivo | No | The first change is not needed as commented in the preparation phase.  The second change is not needed at least for the part of DCI format 1\_1.  For the part of change to DCI 2\_6, we would like to know the consequence of this change. Given that DCI 2\_6 is a group common DCI, if a UE is reconfigured by RRC (e.g., changing the number of DL BWP of the SCell), does it mean that the other UEs configured with that DCI 2\_6 should be reconfigured together, as some of the fields become present/absent? |

### 2.5 PC-1

Please provide your input to below question Q1, preferably by 01/26 (11:59PM UTC).

#### Question 1

Q1. Can companies indicate which of the below options is/are preferred?

* Option 1
  + For a UE is configured with both MCG and SCG using NR radio access in FR2, the UE performs transmission power control independently per cell group (i.e., Option 1 in [R1-2100420](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2100420.zip))
* Option 2
  + For a UE is configured with both MCG and SCG using NR radio access in FR2, if p-NR-FR2-r16 for MCG or SCG is not provided by higher layer, the UE performs independent power control per cell group, and it does not expect to be provided with nrdc-PCmode-FR2 (i.e., Option 2 in [R1-2100420](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2100420.zip))
* Option 3
  + Re-visit this issue (if needed) after checking RAN4 reply to the LS in [R1-2100027](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2100027.zip) [5]
* Option 4
  + Other (please explain details in comments)

Companies are requested to indicate their view about the above question in the Table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Preferred Option(s)** | **Comments (PC-1, Q1)** |
| ZTE | Option3 | The discussion here highly depends on RAN4’s input. Thus, we prefer to wait for RAN4’s LS response first. |
| Qualcomm | Option 1 |  |
| Samsung | Option 1 |  |
| Huawei | Option3 | Related to RAN4’s usage of parameter p-NR-FR2 |
| NTT DOCOMO | Option 3 | It may be better to wait for RAN4 discussion outcome. |
| vivo | Option 1 | Prefer to discuss this issue at the beginning. |

### 2.5 PC-2

Please provide your input to below question Q1, preferably by 01/26 (11:59PM UTC).

#### Question 1

Q1. Is it OK to agree to below TP for sub-clause 7.6.2 of TS38.213 from Proposal 1 in [R1-2100584](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2100584.zip)?

The UE does not expect to have PUSCH, PUCCH, PRACH, or SRS transmissions on the MCG that

- are scheduled/triggered by DCI formats in PDCCH receptions with a last symbol that is earlier by less than or equal to from the first symbol of the transmission occasion on the SCG, and

- overlap with the transmission occasion on the SCG

Companies are requested to indicate their view about the above question in the Table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (PC-2, Q1)** |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
| Huawei |  | Regarding this PRACH issue, in RAN1#101e, it was discussed a bit and no specific change was introduced for it because of concerns from some companies. In our understanding, PDCCH order triggering PRACH is used for link recovery like synchronization recovery. Restricting such essential recovery seems not a complete solution. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Yes |  |
| vivo | Yes |  |

# 3 Conclusions

TBU
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