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# Introduction

This document is created to facilitate the email discussion of “[104-e-NR-7.1CRs-15] Draft CR on Type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook in physical uplink shared channel”. This email thread is triggered by draft CRs in [1][2][3].

According to TS38.213 clause 9.1.3.2, for a type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook transmission in a PUSCH scheduled by a DCI format 0\_1, UE generates the HARQ-ACK codebook as described in Clause 9.1.3.1 according to the value of  in the DCI format 0\_1, which is used to indicate the total number of HARQ-ACKs in response to PDSCHs associated with PDCCH and PDCCH indicating SPS PDSCH release to be multiplexed in the PUSCH.

|  |
| --- |
| If a UE multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in a PUSCH transmission that is scheduled by DCI format 0\_1, the UE generates the HARQ-ACK codebook as described in Clause 9.1.3.1, with the following modifications:- For the pseudo-code for the HARQ-ACK codebook generation in Clause 9.1.3.1, after the completion of the  and  loops, the UE sets  where  is the value of the DAI field in DCI format 0\_1 according to Table 9.1.3-2- For the case of first and second HARQ-ACK sub-codebooks, DCI format 0\_1 includes a first DAI field corresponding to the first HARQ-ACK sub-codebook and a second DAI field corresponding to the second HARQ-ACK sub-codebook*- harq-ACK-SpatialBundlingPUCCH* is replaced by *harq-ACK-SpatialBundlingPUSCH*. |

The HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH, if any, is appended after the HARQ-ACK(s) in response to PDSCHs associated with PDCCH and PDCCH indicating SPS PDSCH release.

In addition, it is specified in TS38.213 clause 9.1.3.2 that for a type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook transmission in a PUSCH scheduled by DCI format 0\_1, if  in the DCI format 0\_1 and UE has no HARQ-ACK(s) in response to PDSCHs associated with PDCCH, PDCCH indicating SPS PDSCH release or SPS PDSCH, the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK in the PUSCH.

|  |
| --- |
| If a UE is not provided *PDSCH-CodeBlockGroupTransmission* and the UE is scheduled for a PUSCH transmission by DCI format 0\_1 with DAI field value  and the UE has not received any PDCCH within the monitoring occasions for PDCCH with DCI format 1\_0 or DCI format 1\_1 for scheduling PDSCH receptions or SPS PDSCH release on any serving cell  and the UE does not have HARQ-ACK information in response to a SPS PDSCH reception to multiplex in the PUSCH, as described in Clause 9.1.3.1, the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in the PUSCH transmission. If a UE is provided *PDSCH-CodeBlockGroupTransmission* and the UE is scheduled for a PUSCH transmission by DCI format 0\_1 with first DAI field value  or with second DAI field value  and the UE has not received any PDCCH within the monitoring occasions for PDCCH with DCI format 1\_0 or with DCI format 1\_1, respectively, for scheduling PDSCH receptions or SPS PDSCH release on any serving cell  and the UE does not have HARQ-ACK information in response to a SPS PDSCH reception to multiplex in the PUSCH, as described in Clause 9.1.3.1, the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information for the first sub-codebook or for the second sub-codebook, respectively, in the PUSCH transmission. |

However, for the similar case as above except that UE has HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH to be multiplexed in the PUSCH, UE would multiplex 5 bits in the PUSCH according to Rel-15 specifications. Therefore, the draft CRs in [1][2][3] propose to clarify that for a type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook transmission in a PUSCH scheduled by DCI format 0\_1, if  in the DCI format 0\_1 and UE has HARQ-ACK(s) for SPS PDSCH only (i.e. no HARQ-ACK(s) in response to PDSCHs associated with PDCCH or PDCCH(s) indicating SPS PDSCH release), the UE multiplexes HARQ-ACK(s) for SPS PDSCH only in the PUSCH.

# Company views

**Q1:** In Rel-15, for a type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook transmission in a PUSCH scheduled by DCI format 0\_1, if  in the DCI format 0\_1 and UE has a HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH only (i.e. no HARQ-ACK(s) in response to PDSCHs associated with PDCCH or PDCCH(s) indicating SPS PDSCH release), **what is the intended UE behaviour**?

* Alternative 1: UE multiplexes 1-bit HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH in the PUSCH
* Alternative 2: UE multiplexes 5-bit HARQ-ACKs in the PUSCH

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Alternative 1 or Alternative 2** | **Comment** |
| OPPO | Alternative 2, if  in the DCI format 0\_1 | If only one SPS PDSCH is transmitted by gNB, the value of  in the DCI format 0\_1 should be smaller, e.g. 1. If the value of  in the DCI format 0\_1 is 4, then the intended behavior is alternative 2. |
| vivo | Alternative 1 | DAI in the DCI format 0\_1 is used to count DG PDSCHs/SPS release only without considering SPS PDSCH.  is used to indicate there is no HARQ-ACK for DG PDSCH/SPS release (if the UE has not received any PDCCH within the monitoring occasions). |
| Qualcomm |  | We expect to see different answers to this question. But this is not the main point. The point is that: is this a critical issue to begin with? Our view is that this is just a corner case, not a critical issue. gNB can set =1 to bypass the issue. At this stage, we don’t want to introduce NBC change to Rel-15 spec, unless it is definitely needed. Unfortunately, this issue does not meet the bar for Rel-15 NBC change.  |
| NTT DOCOMO | Alt 1 | In this situation, only 1 bit HARQ-ACK should be the intended behavior. |
| Huawei | Alt.1 | When the issue was discussed, the intended UE behavior was that only 1 bit HARQ-ACK is multiplexed on PUSCH. However, the current specification still works and system is not broken. |
| ZTE | Alternative 1 | The interpretation follows the similar behavior of LTE as  is used to indicate there is no HARQ-ACK for DG PDSCH/SPS release.For the type-2 codebook, there is a basic assumption that the UE will not continuously miss 4 PDCCHs, which is the basic assumption for DL DAI counting. In order to reduce unnecessary overhead, when the base station does not schedule any DG PDSCH or release DCI for the UE, the base station informs the UE about this situation by setting UL DAI=4, which is also using the aforementioned basic assumptions. Correspondingly, the UE does not detect any PDCCH for DG PDSCH or release SPS, and UL DAI=4 is set in the UL grant. In this case, the UE will assume that the base station does not schedule any DG PDSCH or release DCI, so the UE does not generate HARQ-ACK. However, if Alt2 is intended to be the behaviour, the UE will assume 4 PDCCHs are continuously missed, and the UE generates 4 NACKs. Obviously, for Alt1, the basic assumption of type2 codebook can be maintained, but for Alt2, the basic assumption of type2 codebook will be broken. |
| Samsung |  | We don’t understand question well. Why intended behavior is considered here without considering current specification? It should not mix up with V\_T-DAI=4.  |
| MediaTek | Alternative 2 | We cannot understand the intention of the question too. The described scenario is a corner case and it looks that the current specification works well. In our understanding, Alt 1 is an optimization and introduces NBC change. |
| Intel |  | We think the default understanding should be Alternative 1, since there is no dynamic PDSCH/SPS release and only 1 bit for SPS PDSCH. However, at this quite late stage, we prefer to exactly follow the specification since it anyway works |

**Q2:** In Rel-15, for a type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook transmission in a PUSCH scheduled by DCI format 0\_1, if  in the DCI format 0\_1 and UE has a HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH only (i.e. no HARQ-ACK(s) in response to PDSCHs associated with PDCCH or PDCCH(s) indicating SPS PDSCH release), **what is the UE behaviour according to current specification**?

* Alternative 1: UE multiplexes 1-bit HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH in the PUSCH
* Alternative 2: UE multiplexes 5-bit HARQ-ACKs in the PUSCH

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Alternative 1 or Alternative 2** | **Comment** |
| OPPO | Alternative 2 | The same comment as Q1 |
| vivo | Alternative 1 | The same comment as Q1 |
| Qualcomm |  | Same comment as to Q1 |
| NTT DOCOMO | Alt 2 | Based on the current pseudo-code, if V^UL\_DAI is 4, it seems that unnecessary 4-bits are generated before generating HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH. |
| Huawei | Alt.2 | The HARQ-ACK bits is generated based on the pseudo-code. In this case, the UE will multiplex 5 bits in PUSCH. |
| ZTE | Alternative 2 | For type 2 HARQ-ACK codebook, 4 dummy bits for DG PDSCH/SPS release are generated. |
| Samsung | Alt. 2 |  |
| MediaTek | Alt 2 | Same comment as Q1 |
| Intel | Alt 2 |  |

**Q3:** Do you agree with the principle of the CRs? If not, why?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree or not** | **Comment** |
| OPPO | No | Current specification works. It is not an essential issue. |
| vivo | agree |  |
| Qualcomm | No | Similar to OPPO’s view, this is not a critical issue. Current specification works. No need to introduce NBC change to Rel-15 spec to address this noncritical issue.  |
| NTT DOCOMO | No for at least Rel-15 | This CR for Rel-15 would be NBC change.For Rel-16, the change might be OK. |
| Huawei | No | It is NBC change for Rel-15 and the issue is not critical. |
| ZTE | Yes | The basic assumption that the UE will not continuously miss 4 PDCCHs for DL DAI counting should be assumed as before. It should be clarified the number of HARQ-ACK bits for multiplexing on PUSCH in case a UE has no PDSCH reception scheduled by a DCI format or SPS PDSCH release while has SPS PDSCH reception. Otherwise this would cause unexpected HARQ-ACK bits to be generated.If companies think it is an NBC change for Rel-15 CR, at least we need to reach a common understanding/conclusion for Rel-15, and we can remedy it for Rel-16. |
| Samsung | No  | At lease, we have strong concern on Rel-15 CR since Rel-15 CR has very high bar and other operation can provide similar behaviour such that DCI format 1\_0 or DCI format 1\_1 with T\_DAI=1 for less overhead.  |
| MediaTek | No | The issues is not critical and introduces NBC change. |
| Intel | No | Reporting 5 bits is not our favourite, however it can be acceptable in the late stage. |

# Conclusion

To be added after the discussion.
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