# Annex B: Evaluations results

## B.1 Link level evaluation results

### B.1.1 Evaluation results for PDSCH/PUSCH

Table B.1.1-1: LLS template: SINR in dB achieving PDSCH/PUSCH BLER of 10% /1%

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc /  Source | MCS | Channel | 120KHz /400MHz | 240KHz /400MHz | 480KHz /400MHz | 960KHz /400MHz | 960KHz /2GHz |
| R1-xxxxxxx / Source 1 | 7 | TDL-A, 5ns | X / Y (X for 10% BLER, Y for 1% BLER) |  |  |  |  |
| TDL-A, 10ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDL-A, 20ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 20ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 50ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-D, 20ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-D, 30ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TDL-A, 5ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDL-A, 10ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDL-A, 20ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 20ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 50ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-D, 20ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-D, 30ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | TDL-A, 5ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDL-A, 10ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDL-A, 20ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 20ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 50ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 20ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 50ns |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional report/notes:   1. CP type 2. antenna configuration for CDL model 3. waveform in case of PUSCH 4. PTRS configuration 5. DMRS configuration 6. any optional or other assumption/parameters used not as in the baseline | | | | | | |

### B.1.2 Evaluation results for PSS/SSS

Table B.1.2: LLS template: SINR in dB achieving cell ID detection probability of 90% by one-shot detection from PSS/SSS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc /  Source | Channel | 120KHz | 240KHz | 480KHz | 960KHz |
| R1-xxxxxxx / Source 1 | TDL-A, 5ns |  |  |  |  |
| TDL-A, 10ns |  |  |  |  |
| TDL-A, 20ns |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 20ns |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 50ns |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-D, 20ns |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-D, 30ns |  |  |  |  |
| Additional report/notes:   1. frequency offset 2. the number and granularity of the frequency locations 3. antenna configuration for CDL model 4. any optional or other assumption/parameters used not as in the baseline 5. false alarm rate 6. criteria for PSS detection success | | | | |

### B.1.3 Evaluation results for PRACH

Table B.1.3-1: LLS template: SINR in dB achieving PRACH preamble misdetection probability of 1% and corresponding false alarm probability

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc /  Source | Channel | 120KHz | 240KHz | 480KHz | 960KHz |
| R1-xxxxxxx / Source 1 | TDL-A, 5ns | X / Y (X for SINR in dB to reach 1% misdetection, Y for corresponding false alarm probability in % at that SINR) |  |  |  |
| TDL-A, 10ns |  |  |  |  |
| TDL-A, 20ns |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 20ns |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-B, 50ns |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-D, 20ns |  |  |  |  |
| CDL-D, 30ns |  |  |  |  |
| Additional report/notes:  1. PRACH format  2. values of  3. antenna configuration for CDL model  4. any optional or other assumption/parameters used not as in the baseline | | | | |

## B.2 System level evaluation results

Table B.2-1: System level evaluation results for scenario

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc /  Source | Cases | | Case 1 | | | Case 2 | | |
| R1-xxxxxxx / Source 1 | Traffic load  Metrics | | Low load  10%~25% BO | Medium load  35%~50% BO | High load  above 55% BO | Low load  10%~25% BO | Medium load  35%~50% BO | High load  above 55% BO |
| DL UPT (Mbps) | 5%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL delay (s) | 5%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UL UPT (Mbps) | 5%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UL delay (s) | 5%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95%ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arrival rate (files/s) | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 𝜌DL | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 𝜌UL | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BO | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional report/notes:  1. LBT procedure and parameters  2. any assumptions/parameters used not as in the agreed baseline  3. Details of case: e.g., single or two operators; no-LBT, omni-directional LBT, directional LBT schemes etc.  4. Other metric(s) and definition if reported  5. Details of COT sharing if used in evaluation | | | | | | | |

Note: companies are encouraged to also submit RSRP distribution (e.g. serving BS to UE links, BS-to-BS links, UE-to-UE links) for the evaluated scenario in SLS.