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# Introduction

In RAN#86 meeting the work item on enhanced MIMO support was agreed for Rel-17 [1]. The objectives of WID include enhancements to multi-TRP transmission scheme in HST-SFN scenario.

|  |
| --- |
| 2. Enhancement on the support for multi-TRP deployment, targeting both FR1 and FR2:…d. Enhancement to support HST-SFN deployment scenario:i. Identify and specify solution(s) on QCL assumption for DMRS, e.g. multiple QCL assumptions for the same DMRS port(s), targeting DL-only transmissionii. Evaluate and, if the benefit over Rel.16 HST enhancement baseline is demonstrated, specify QCL/QCL-like relation (including applicable type(s) and the associated requirement) between DL and UL signal by reusing the unified TCI framework |

The document contains summary of the company’s proposal and FL proposals.

# Proposal on evaluations assumptions

## Evaluation assumptions for endorsement

During email discussion before RAN1#102-e meeting ([Rel.17 NR FeMIMO] Offline discussion on EVM - Phase 2 ITEM 2d) evaluation assumptions for HST-SFN deployment were discussed. Based on the discussion several aspects seem agreeable to all companies. It, therefore, proposed to agree on them as part of the below proposal.

**Proposal:**

* LLS to be used for Rel-17 HST evaluations
* Use bi-directional as mandatory and uni-directional as optional gNB antenna orientation
* Rel-15 SFN is used as the baseline for comparison. Performance comparison with other schemes (e.g., Rel-16 URLLC, DPS, etc.) can be also provided
* Adopt Table 1 for evaluation of HST-SFN deployment, except components highlighted in yellow
* Adopt CDL based channel model in Table 2 for HST-SFN evaluation

Table 1 LLS simulation assumption for HST-SFN deployment

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **FR1** | **FR2** |
| Duplexing  | FDD | TDD | TDD |
| TRP layout (Ds, Dmin, etc) | Ds=700m, Dmin=150mFor CDL based model – RRH height: 35m, UE height: 1.5m  | Alt 2-3: Ds=200-300m, Dmin=30-50mAlt 2-4: Ds=580m, Dmin=5mRRH height: [5/10/15/20/35]m, UE height: 1.5m |
| gNB antenna configuration including number of antennas, pattern, ports, orientation, etc | 2 ports: [Mg, Ng, M, N, P]=[1, 1, 1, 1, 2],4 ports: [Mg, Ng, M, N, P]=[1, 1, 1, 2, 2],[8 ports: Mg, Ng, M, N, P]=[1, 1, 2, 2, 2]]one-to-one mapping between antenna elements and TXRUsomni-directional antennaNote: The results for other antenna configurations can be also provided  | 2 ports: [Mg, Ng, M, N, P]=[1, 1, 4, 8, 2],directional antennaNote: The results for other antenna configurations can be also provided |
| UE antenna configuration including number of antennas, pattern, ports, orientation, etc | 2 ports: [Mg, Ng, M, N, P]=[ 1, 1, 1, 1, 2] or4 ports: [Mg, Ng, M, N, P]=[1, 1, 1, 2, 2], one-to-one mapping between antenna elements and TXRUsomni-directional antenna | 2 ports: [Mg, Ng, M, N, P]=[1, 1, 2, 4, 2],directional antenna |
| DMRS type | Mandatory: DM-RS type 1Optional: DM-RS type 2 |
| Number of DMRS symb. | 1+1+1 |
| TDD pattern | N/A | DDDDDDDSUU, S: 6D 4G 4U | DDDDDDDSUU, S: 6D 4G 4U |
| MCS | MCS 4/MCS 13/MCS 17 based on 64QAM tableNote: Companies can also provide results with MCS adaptation |
| Number of scheduled RBs | 10 or 50. Other values are optional. |
| Propagation condition | 4-tap channel model (TS 36.101 (Annex B.3A) / TR 36.878)Optional - CDL extension (CDL D/E, DS = 100ns) | CDL extension (CDL D/E, DS = 20ns/30ns) |
| TRS configuration, TRS periodicity | 10ms, 2-slot patternNote: results for 20ms periodicity can be also provided |
| PDSCH mapping | Type A, Start symbol 2, Duration 12 |
| Rank | Rank 1Optional: other ranks or rank adaptation |
| BW | 10 MHz or 20 MHz | 20MHz or 50MHz or 80MHz |
| Carrier frequency or maximum Doppler shift | 2GHz, 350kmph or 500kmph | 3.5GHz,350kmph or 500kmph | 30 GHz200 kmph or 350kmph  |
| Performance metric | Throughput; BLER |
| Other assumptions or simulation parameters, e.g., correlation | 1) SCS: 30kHz, 15kHz as optional2) Note: precoding method should be provided by each company | 1) SCS: 30kHz2) Note: precoding method should be provided by each company | 1) SCS: 120kHz2) Note: precoding method and analog beamforming details should be provided by each company |

Table 2 CDL based channel model for HST-SFN deployment

|  |
| --- |
| CDL based channel model proposal for HST: Combination of the CDL channel model in TR38.901 and the 4-tap channel model in TS36.101 Annex B.3A could be considered. As illustrated in figure below, 2-tap channel model for simplicity could be assumed which is similar to RAN4’s 4-tap assumption in order to reflect the characteristic of SFN-based transmission, and for each tap, CDL channel model in TR38.901 could be used to model the effect of the directional antenna of gNB.* + The delay for k’th TRP is modified as

where is the delay of k’th TRP, which can be derived aswhere is the delay of the n’th channel cluster as in Table 7.7.1-1~7.7.1-5 in 38.901 and assume the location of the k’th TRP is xk, and the UE’s location is y(t).The delay spread for different TRPs could be modelled as different.* + The normalized power for k’th TRP is modified as

FFS: Use of 3D distance for calculation of Pk* + To generate the modified angle parameters, the scaling method mentioned in subclause 7.7.5.1 in TS 38.901 is used

where could be assumed, and of the k’th TRP is the AOD, AOA, ZOD and ZOA of LOS direction derived based on the locations and antenna heights of UE and TRPs.* is the tabulated CDL ray angle
* is the rms angular spread of the tabulated CDL including the offset ray angles, calculated using the angular spread definition in Annex A in TS 38.901
* is the mean angle of the tabulated CDL, calculated using the definition in Annex A in TS 38.901
* is the desired mean angle
* is the desired rms angular spread
* is the resulting scaled ray angle.

of the k’th TRP is the AOD, AOA, ZOD and ZOA of LOS cluster derived by the locations and antenna heights of UE and TRPs. If is used to denote the distance between UE and TRP1. For AOD1 of TRP1, For AOA1 of TRP1, For AOD2 of TRP2, For AOA2 of TRP2, For ZOD1 of TRP1, For ZOD1 of TRP2, For ZOA2 of TRP1 ,  For ZOA2 of TRP2, Fig. 1. Simplified and updated HST-SFN channel model for evaluationThe gNB antenna boresight could direct to the middle point on the railway between two TRPs. CDL-D and CDL‑E channels models are recommended for evaluations. |

## Remaining issues related to evaluation assumptions

This section contains list of the aspects that were not resolved as part of email discussion. Companies are strongly encouraged to provide their views on the remaining issues.

## (Ds, Dmin) for TRP layout in FR2

Regarding (Ds, Dmin) for FR2, two alternatives were identified as more promising for the discussion. Companies are encouraged to provide their preference regarding proposal below.

**Proposal:**

* Down-select one of the options for HST evaluation in FR2
	+ Option 1: Alt 2-3 from Table 1
	+ Option 2: Alt 2-4 from Table 1
	+ Option 3: Alt 2-3 or Alt 2-4 from Table 1 is reported by each company

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## RRHs height for TRP layout in FR2

There are several candidate values that were identified for RRHs height for FR2 evaluations. So far, companies, have not provided their preference regarding the specific value that should be used for evaluations. It is, therefore, proposed to do down-selection as part of this email discussion.

**Proposal:**

* Down-select RRHs height for FR2 evaluation from the following set – 5, 10, 15, 20, 35 m

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Number of TRP antenna ports for FR1 evaluations

There was proposal from several companies to also include 8 ports at TRP as part of evaluation assumption for HST.

**Proposal:**

* Include 8 ports at TRP for FR1 evaluations as mandatory configuration

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Directional antenna pattern at TRP

There are two candidates for modelling of the directional antenna pattern at TRP. Companies are encouraged to provide their preference regarding the proposed options for both FR1 and FR2.

**Proposal:**

* Down-select one of the options for direction antenna modelling for FR1 and FR2
	+ Option 1: Table 3 and Table 4
	+ Option 2: Table 5
	+ Option 3: Directional antenna modelling is reported by each company between Option 1 and 2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Table 3 Antenna radiation pattern for TRP with 2Tx

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | Values |
| Antenna configuration | 2Tx: [Mg, Ng, M, N, P]=[1, 1, 1, 1, 2], one-to-one mapping between antenna elements and TXRUs |
| Vertical cut of the radiation power pattern (dB) for a single antenna element | with , and  |
| Horizontal cut of the radiation power pattern (dB) for a single antenna element | with ,  and  |
| 3D radiation power pattern (dB) for a single element |  |
| Maximum directional gain of an antenna element *GE,max* | 20.5 dBi |

Table 4 Antenna radiation pattern for TRP with 8Tx

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | Values |
| Antenna configuration | 8Tx: [Mg, Ng, M, N, P]=[1, 1, 1, 4, 2],one-to-one mapping between antenna elements and TXRUs |
| Vertical cut of the radiation power pattern (dB) for a single antenna element | with , and  |
| Horizontal cut of the radiation power pattern (dB) for a single antenna element |  |
| 3D radiation power pattern (dB) for a single element |  |
| Maximum directional gain of an antenna element *GE,max* | 17.5 dBi |

Table 5 Antenna radiation pattern for TRP

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Radiation power pattern of a single antenna element for RRH** | Vertical cut of the radiation power pattern (dB) |  |
| Horizontal cut of the radiation power pattern (dB) |  |
| 3D radiation power pattern (dB) |  |
| Maximum directional gain of an antenna element *GE,max* | 8 dBi |

## Directional antenna pattern at UE

Direction antenna pattern at the UE for FR2 is not defined. Although there was no specific proposal from companies regarding this issue, it is proposed to reuse already available model from TR 38.802.

**Proposal:**

* Adopt direction antenna model in Table 6 based on TR 38.802

Table 6 Antenna radiation pattern for UE

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | Values |
| **Antenna element radiation pattern in**  **dim (dB)** |  |
| **Antenna element radiation pattern in**  **dim (dB)** |  |
| **Combining method for 3D antenna element pattern (dB)** |  |
| **Maximum directional gain of an antenna element *GE,max*** | 5dBi |

## TRP antenna orientation

There are two candidates for TRP antenna orientation in HST-SFN deployment. Companies are encouraged to provide their preference regarding the proposed options.

**Proposal:**

* Down select one of the options for TRP antenna orientation:
	+ Option 1
		- Antenna horizontal half power beam direction points to the midpoint between the two TRPs
			* FFS which side of HPBW should be used as reference
		- Antenna vertical upper half power beam direction points to the midpoint between the two TRPs
	+ Option 2
		- Antenna downtilt and azimuth directions point to the midpoint between the two RRHs
	+ Option 3 TRP antenna orientation is reported by each company between Option 1 and Option 2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## TRP Synchronization

Several companies have mentioned the importance of synchronization impairments modelling especially for evaluation of the enhancements based on NW pre-compensation of the frequency offset at TRP. Some companies, however, expressed concerns on including impairments as part of assumptions due to lack of details. Based on the initial feedback received from companies, it seems difficult to agree on concrete impairment model as mandatory component, but it can be recommended for evaluations for verification of the scheme wrt to the corresponding impairments.

**Proposal:**

* It is recommended to use non-perfect time and frequency synchronization between the TRPs and UE, i.e., modelling of TPR CFO error (where CFO have temporal variation), UE receiver CFO, TRP timing errors should be considered
	+ Additional details are provided by each company
	+ Consider already available models in TR 38.101-1 and TR 38.104

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Other issues related to evaluations assumptions

During email discussion before RAN1#102-e meeting ([Rel.17 NR FeMIMO] Offline discussion on EVM - Phase 2 ITEM 2d) additional issues were identified by companies to be considered as part of evaluation assumptions.

## SNR for evaluations

To facilitate comparison of the results among companies, it is proposed to consider pre-determined SNR values for evaluations. Companies are encouraged to provide feedback regarding corresponding proposal.

**Proposal:**

* Agree specific set of SNR values for comparison
	+ SNR = 8, 12, 16, 20 dB

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Train positions for HST-SFN evaluation

To facilitate comparison of the results among companies, it is proposed to clarify representation of the performance results. Companies are encouraged to provide feedback regarding corresponding proposal.

**Proposal:**

* The results should be reported
	+ Option 1: Per track location (at specific SNR)
		- Segmentation of Ds into 20 positions.
	+ Option 2: Average throughput across all track locations vs SNR
	+ Option 3: Throughput vs SNR at specific location (e.g. mid track point).
	+ Option 4: Representation of the results are reported by company

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## UE types

It was mentioned by some companies that different types of UE can be considered in HST-SFN deployments – CPE and UE inside train. It was proposed to clarify the type of the UE used in the evaluations and possible impact on channel model due to considered type of the UE.

**Proposal:**

* Companies are encouraged to provide more view on this issue and how different types of the UEs can be accounted in the model

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Possible enhancements for HST-SFN deployment

The section summarizes company proposals regarding enhancements that can be considered for HST-SFN deployment. The proposals are based on the contributions [2]-[21] submitted to RAN1#102-e meeting.

## UE based solutions

To be added in Part 2 discussion

## NW based solution

To be added in Part 2 discussion

## Other enhancements

To be added in Part 2 discussion

# Other issues

This section contains other issues the companies want to highlight.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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