3GPP TSG RAN WG1 Meeting #102-e R1-200xxxx

eMeeting, August 17 – 28, 2020

Agenda Item: 7.2.4.2.1

Source: Moderator (Ericsson)

Title: Feature lead summary#1 on Resource allocation for NR sidelink Mode 1

Document for: Discussion, Decision

# 1 List of critical issues

## 1.1 Remaining issues for configured grant

1. Clarifications for the formula determining the granted slots

## 1.2 DCI aspects

1. Alignment of DCI format 3\_0 with other DCI formats
2. Cells on which the UE monitors DCI formats 3\_0 and 3\_1.

## 1.3 HARQ reporting to gNB

1. Details in the WA from RAN#100-e for the case of reaching the maximum number of HARQ re-transmissions for a TB.
2. Other exceptional reports to the gNB (e.g., nothing to transmit for DG, etc.)
3. Corrections/clarifications for codebook configuration
4. Corrections for Type-1 codebook
5. Clarifications on reporting for PSSCH with multiple associated PSFCH

## 1.4 Processing times

1. Processing time for SL CG type-2
2. Whether the gNB needs to be aware of SL HARQ RTT (Z = a + b)

## 1.5 Miscellaneous

1. TS 38.213
	* Clause 10.1
		+ Capture missing agreements
	* Clause 16.4
		+ How to set time and frequency resource assignment in DCI/SCI
			- Note: there is a similar proposal for modifying 38.214 for CGs
	* Clause 16.5
		+ Alignment of names of RRC parameters
		+ Clarifications
		+ Editorial
2. TS 38.214
	* Clause 8.1.2.1
		+ Clarification that the pool is indicated by DCI format 3\_0
		+ Editorial
3. Use of reservations in Mode 1

## Initial proposal by the feature lead

The FL proposes to discuss the following topics for each of the two threads. In addition, to reduce the backlog of issues, the FL proposes to discuss minor corrections (e.g., editorial) and clarifications for each of the topics listed below.

Thread #1:

* 1.1 Remaining issues for configured grant
	1. Whether clarifications for the formula determining the granted slots are necessary and whether the issue should be left to RAN2.
	2. Editorial corrections and clarifications for configured grant (if any).
* 1.2 DCI aspects
	1. Alignment of DCI format 3\_0 with other DCI formats.
	2. Cells on which the UE monitors DCI formats 3\_0 and 3\_1.
	3. Editorial corrections and clarifications for DCI (if any).

Thread #2:

* 1.3 HARQ reporting to gNB
	1. Details in the WA from RAN#100-e for the case of reaching the maximum number of HARQ re-transmissions for a TB.
	2. Whether there are other exceptional reports to the gNB (e.g., nothing to transmit for DG, etc.) and, if so, how to address them.
	3. Editorial corrections and clarifications for HARQ reporting to gNB (if any).
* 1.4 Processing times
	1. Processing time for SL CG type-2.
	2. Whether the gNB needs to be aware of SL HARQ RTT (Z = a + b).
	3. Editorial corrections and clarifications for processing times (if any).

# Company views

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **View** |
| FUTUREWEI | Agree with FL’s proposal. From our perspective, at least some of the ‘misc.’ issues do not need an email thread, but can be addressed during the TP drafting phase  |
| LGE | Regarding Issue#1.3, we are not sure whether the following sub-issue is really critical one that shall be resolved in supporting Mode 1 operation. To be specific, the example case marked with yellow seems to be an optimization issue because a UE will perform at least one transmission in the resources of Mode 1 DG. In this sense, we prefer to remove this sub-issue.* 1.3   HARQ reporting to gNB

2. Whether there are other exceptional reports to the gNB (e.g., nothing to transmit for DG, etc.) and, if so, how to address them.In addition, we are wondering whether FL’s initial proposal covers an issue on how to handle the case when a PUCCH reporting also needs to convey SL HARQ information not satisfying the minimum PSFCH-to-PUCCH processing time (e.g., asynchronous timing between Uu and SL). Details can be found in R1-2005741. If it’s not the case, the issue needs to be included in Issue#1-3. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Agree with FL’s summary.Regarding issue#1.3 (yellow part in LGE’s comment), we think it is not optimization. According to RAN2 spec., SL skip is possible; in other words, even if a UE receives a SL grant, the transmission might be skipped. This is our understanding. HARQ-ACK report to gNB for this case needs to be clarified as CG.[LGE] Different from CG case, DG resource is requested based on SR/BSR from UE when UE has data to transmit. If it’s caused by dropping due to prioritization, we already have RAN1 agreement. Can you elaborate more in which case DG transmission can be skipped except prioritization?For issue#1.2, ‘Cells on which the UE monitors DCI formats 3\_0 and 3\_1’ is included. We believe that PUCCH-cell should be clarified at the same time. When NR-CA with PUCCH SCell or NR-DC, PUCCH-cell for SL HARQ-ACK report to gNB is unclear as well as PDCCH-cell. |
| Intel | Agree with FL summary. Potentially the editorial corrections may be further postponed if the scope reduction is needed. |
| ETRI | Generally agree with FL summary. In addition to those, we are wondering that if resource pool index is not indicated via SCI, is it possible for RX UE to obtain the information for resource assignment without ambiguity? If I misunderstand anything, please correct me. |
| CATT | Agree with FL’s proposal on the threads.For Thread #1, in 1.1 issues for CG, some other issues need to be addressed for discussion and clarification:* For a TB in CG, multiple resources (e.g. 9 slots) can be configured in one CG period. CG Type-1 can only configured ‘N\_max’=1/2/3 transmissions for a TB, and how to indicate the rest resources in the period?
* For a TB in CG, the HARQ based re-transmission of this TB is scheduled by DG. Whether the DG scheduled re-Tx resources can use the CG resources in other periods? Or DG can only use DG-specific resources?
* How to avoid HPN collision? We had agreements that HPN collision issue can be handled in RAN2. However, based on the current design in RAN2, this issue can still happen in some cases, e.g. in CG case, DG schedules re-tx for a TB (HPN#2) collides with initial Tx of another TB (HPN#2) in the following CG period.
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