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# 1 Introduction

In this document, some suggestions for discussion in RAN1#102-e are provided by considering contributions [1-14] related to efficient and low latency serving cell configuration/activation/setup submitted under agenda item 7.2.10.

# 2. Possible topics for discussion

## 2.1 Possible topics for discussion

Topic 1-1: Processing time and HARQ timing for Case 2 dormancy indication – [3],[9],[11],[13],[14]

* Related to text in square brackets for TP2 in [15] from RAN1#100-e. Was discussed in RAN1#100bis-e and RAN1#101-e. Also consider discussing Topic 1-6 based on the conclusion.

Topic 1-2: Whether to have restriction that DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 with dormancy indication is only in first 3 symbols of a slot – [2], [3], [4], [8], [11],[13],[14]

* Was discussed in RAN1#100bis-e and RAN1#101-e.

Topic 2-5 ~~1-3~~: RRC parameter name alignment – [2], [7], [10], [12]

* Align the RRC parameter names with 38.331. Also, include other TPs in [7] in this discussion.

Topic 1-3 ~~1-4~~: Spec clarification TPs in [9], [13]

* TP1 and TP3 in [9].
* TP2 and TP3 in [13]

Topic 2-4 ~~1-5~~: Clarifications related to “BWP indicator field” not allowed to indicate a dormant BWP when detected in SCell DCI formats (including 0\_1, 0\_2) – [5],[6],[14]

Topic 1-6: TDRA restriction for Case 1 dormancy indication for cases where there is interruption on SCell due to BWP switch – [3]

* Can be considered after concluding Topic 1-1

Topic 2-1: Starting point for bwpInactivityTimer for an SCell when DCI format 2\_6 indicates dormant to non-dormant BWP switch for that SCell– [1]

Topic 2-2: Handling SCell dormancy indication bits in DCI format 2\_6 when wake-up bit=0 – [1], [6]

Topic 2-3: UE ignores dormancy indication in DCI format 2\_6 if it is too close to on duration – [5]

## 2.2 Moderator proposal

**Moderator Proposal**

Discuss following topics related to maintenance of efficient and low latency serving cell configuration/activation/setup in RAN1#102-e as part of A.I. 7.2.10

* First email thread
  + Topic 1-1: Processing time and HARQ timing for Case 2 dormancy indication – [3],[9],[11],[13],[14]
  + Topic 1-2: Whether to have restriction that DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 with dormancy indication is only in first 3 symbols of a slot – [2], [3], [4], [8], [11], [13], [14]
  + Topic 1-3: RRC parameter name alignment – [2], [7], [10], [12]
  + Topic 1-4: Spec clarification TPs in [9], [13] (TP1 and TP3 in [9]; TP2 and TP3 in [13])
  + Topic 1-5: Clarifications related to “BWP indicator field” not allowed to indicate a dormant BWP when detected in SCell DCI formats (including 0\_1, 0\_2) – [5],[6],[14]
* Second email thread
  + Topic 2-1: Starting point for bwpInactivityTimer for an SCell when DCI format 2\_6 indicates dormant to non-dormant BWP switch for that SCell– [1]
  + Topic 2-2: Handling SCell dormancy indication bits in DCI format 2\_6 when wake-up bit=0 – [1], [6]
  + Topic 2-3: UE ignores dormancy indication in DCI format 2\_6 if it is too close to on duration – [5]

Please provide comments (if any) for above proposal.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company Name | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Our understanding is 1-3 and 1-4 can be handled later as the main function is stable while 2-1 and 2-3 can be with higher priority thus in the first round of discussion (2-1, 2-3 seems to discuss a same issue caused by BWP switching w.r.t. ON duration). |
| MTK | Thanks for the detailed summary. We are fine with the Moderator Proposal about the discussed topics corresponding to email threads.. |
| Spreadtrum | We are fine with the proposal of email discussions from Moderator. |
| Nokia, NSB | Whole second email thread are non-essential single company proposals. If we have two threads available, we suggest splitting  Thread 1: 1-1,1-2  Thread 2: 1-3,1-4,1-5 |
| ZTE | We are generally fine with the FL proposal. If the email budget is limited, the topics under second email thread can be deferred to next meeting. |
| vivo | If we are allowed to have two email thread, then the FL proposal above is fine.  Otherwise, if we only have one email thread, we think Topic 2-1 has to be treated since the specification will be broken if starting time of bwpInactivityTimer is ambiguous. |
| CATT | High priority 1-1 and 1-2. For Topic 2-1 and 2-2, the same question of multiple PDCCH monitoring occasions up to 3 CORESETs exists in Rel-15. There is no discussion on which DCI should be considered as the starting time of BWP switching. We are OK to discuss 2-1 and 2-2 if there is a room in the email thread. All other issues could be discussed later. |
| Nokia, NSB | With respect to essentiality of Topic 2-1   * If UEs support BWP switching they will support 2BWP capability only, one dormant, other non-dormant, there is not really room for default BWP, since RAN2 said dormant cannot be default. * Inactivity timer would be typically long, 50,100ms, and would be restarted again in On Duration immediately after PDCCH with C-RNTI is received by UE. Any small misunderstanding gets resolved quickly. * Start and restart conditions are RAN2 business. RAN1 says, “if the restarting conditions in [11, TS 38.321] …” |
|  |  |

**Moderator Proposal v2**

Discuss following topics related to maintenance of efficient and low latency serving cell configuration/activation/setup in RAN1#102-e as part of A.I. 7.2.10

* First email thread
  + Topic 1-1: Processing time and HARQ timing for Case 2 dormancy indication – [3],[9],[11],[13],[14]
  + Topic 1-2: Whether to have restriction that DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 with dormancy indication is only in first 3 symbols of a slot – [2], [3], [4], [8], [11], [13], [14]
  + Topic 1-3 ~~1-4~~: Spec clarification TPs in [9], [13] (TP1 and TP3 in [9]; TP2 and TP3 in [13])
* Second email thread
  + Topic 2-1: Starting point for bwpInactivityTimer for an SCell when DCI format 2\_6 indicates dormant to non-dormant BWP switch for that SCell– [1]
  + Topic 2-2: Handling SCell dormancy indication bits in DCI format 2\_6 when wake-up bit=0 – [1], [6]
  + Topic 2-3: UE ignores dormancy indication in DCI format 2\_6 if it is too close to on duration – [5]
  + Topic 2-4 ~~1-5~~: Clarifications related to “BWP indicator field” not allowed to indicate a dormant BWP when detected in SCell DCI formats (including 0\_1, 0\_2) – [5],[6],[14]
  + Topic 2-5 ~~1-3~~: RRC parameter name alignment – [2], [7], [10], [12]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company Name | Comments |
| vivo | Regarding the TP1 of [9] in Topic 1-3, i.e. the DAI issue for case-2 dormancy DCI for cross-carrier scheduling with different numerologies, our understanding is that the due to the following agreement, in the concerned scenario a case-2 dormancy DCI shall include CIF=0 thus the DCI belongs to the Pcell for DAI accumulation and there is only one DCI expected per MO so the issue does not exist.  Agreements:   * + When UE is configured with CIF, DCI format 1-1 on primary cell with CIF≠0‘is not used for Case 2 SCell dormancy indication     - Discuss TP in next phase |

# 3 Conclusion

Following is proposed based on preparation phase email discussion [102-e-Prep-LTE\_NR\_DC\_CA\_enh-Core]

**Moderator Proposal v2**

Discuss following topics related to maintenance of efficient and low latency serving cell configuration/activation/setup in RAN1#102-e as part of A.I. 7.2.10

* First email thread
  + Topic 1-1: Processing time and HARQ timing for Case 2 dormancy indication – [3],[9],[11],[13],[14]
  + Topic 1-2: Whether to have restriction that DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 with dormancy indication is only in first 3 symbols of a slot – [2], [3], [4], [8], [11], [13], [14]
  + Topic 1-3 ~~1-4~~: Spec clarification TPs in [9], [13] (TP1 and TP3 in [9]; TP2 and TP3 in [13])
* Second email thread
  + Topic 2-1: Starting point for bwpInactivityTimer for an SCell when DCI format 2\_6 indicates dormant to non-dormant BWP switch for that SCell– [1]
  + Topic 2-2: Handling SCell dormancy indication bits in DCI format 2\_6 when wake-up bit=0 – [1], [6]
  + Topic 2-3: UE ignores dormancy indication in DCI format 2\_6 if it is too close to on duration – [5]
  + Topic 2-4 ~~1-5~~: Clarifications related to “BWP indicator field” not allowed to indicate a dormant BWP when detected in SCell DCI formats (including 0\_1, 0\_2) – [5],[6],[14]
  + Topic 2-5 ~~1-3~~: RRC parameter name alignment – [2], [7], [10], [12]
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