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# 1 Introduction

This document provides summary of email discussion [102-e-NR-MRDC-CA-Dormancy-01]on following issues discussed during preparation phase of RAN1#102-eMeeting

Below are the topics identified in [R1-2006995](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_102\Docs\R1-2006995.zip) [16]

[102-e-NR-MRDC-CA-Dormancy-01] Email discussion/approval of the following from [R1-2006995](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_102\Docs\R1-2006995.zip) until 8/20; if necessary, endorse remaining TPs by 8/26 – Ravi (Ericsson)

* Topic 1-1: Processing time and HARQ timing for Case 2 dormancy indication – [3],[9],[11],[13],[14]
* Topic 1-2: Whether to have restriction that DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 with dormancy indication is only in first 3 symbols of a slot – [2], [3], [4], [8], [11], [13], [14]
* Topic 1-3: Spec clarification TPs in [9], [13] (TP1 and TP3 in [9]; TP2 and TP3 in [13])

# 2. Discussion

### 2.1 Topic 1-1

Please provide your input to below question Q1 on this topic, preferably by 08/18 (evening PST).

#### Question 1

Q1. Regarding processing time and HARQ timing for Case 2 dormancy indication, what is your preference among Options 1a,1b, 2a,2b,2c below?

* Option 1: Reuse SPS PDCCH release values (i.e., keep current text in section 10.3 of 38.213)

10.3 PDCCH monitoring indication and dormancy/non-dormancy behaviour for SCells

< text not relevant for the discussion omitted>

A UE is expected to provide HARQ-ACK information in response to a detection of a DCI format 1\_1 indicating SCell dormancy after  symbols from the last symbol of a PDCCH providing the DCI format 1\_1. If *processingType2Enabled* of *PDSCH-ServingCellConfig* is set to *enable* for the serving cell with the PDCCH providing the DCI format 1\_1, for ,  for , and  for ; otherwise, for , for , for , and for , where  is the smallest SCS configuration between the SCS configuration of the PDCCH providing the DCI format 1\_1 and the SCS configuration of a PUCCH with the HARQ-ACK information in response to the detection of the DCI format 1\_1.

* Option 2: Relax the processing time by 4 symbols compared to SPS PDCCH release values (Agree to TP below from [R1-2006663](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006663.zip))

10.3 PDCCH monitoring indication and dormancy/non-dormancy behaviour for SCells

< unchanged text omitted>

A UE is expected to provide HARQ-ACK information in response to a detection of a DCI format 1\_1 indicating SCell dormancy after  symbols from the last symbol of a PDCCH providing the DCI format 1\_1. If *processingType2Enabled* of *PDSCH-ServingCellConfig* is set to *enable* for the serving cell with the PDCCH providing the DCI format 1\_1, for ,  for , and  for ; otherwise, for , for , for , and for , where  is the smallest SCS configuration between the SCS configuration of the PDCCH providing the DCI format 1\_1 and the SCS configuration of a PUCCH with the HARQ-ACK information in response to the detection of the DCI format 1\_1.

* Option 3 : Below proposal from section 3.1 of [R1-2005626](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2005626.zip)
  + If the UE detects a non-scheduling DCI format 1\_1 dormancy indication through a PDCCH reception ending in slot , the UE provides corresponding HARQ-ACK information in a PUCCH transmission within slot , where  is a number of slots and is indicated by the PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback timing indicator field in the DCI format, if present, or provided by dl-DataToUL-ACK, or by dl-DataToUL-ACKForDCIFormat1\_2 for DCI format 1\_2.  corresponds to the last slot of the PUCCH transmission that overlaps with the non-scheduling dormancy indication DCI format 1\_1. UE is not expected to have the HARQ-ACK feedback for non-scheduling dormancy indication DCI format 1\_1 before the allowed interruption time duration.
  + Discuss further TP (if any) to clarify this
* Option 4: Below proposal from section 2.1 of [R1-2006430](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006430.zip)
  + For the cases when interruptions on Pcell due to BWP change on Scell(s) are not allowed, if any, minimum HARQ-ACK processing requirement follows HARQ-ACK timeline (i.e. Nth symbol after last symbol of PDCCH).
  + For the case when interruptions on Pcell due to BWP change on Scell(s) are allowed, minimum HARQ-ACK processing requirement is the later among HARQ-ACK timeline (i.e. Nth symbol after last symbol of PDCCH) and first symbol of a slot where new BWP is activated.
  + Discuss further TP (if any) to clarify this
* Option 5: Below proposal from [R1-2006786](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006786.zip)
  + The UE is not expected to be scheduled with that requires the UE to transmit the HARQ-ACK for Case 2 PDCCH within the switch delay between dormancy and non-dormancy on SCells triggered by the Case 2 PDCCH. Adopt the proposed text proposal.

|  |
| --- |
| ---------------------------------------- Start of text proposal to Section 10.3 in TS 38.213 ---------------------------------------  >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> unchanged text omitted <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<  10.3 PDCCH monitoring indication and dormancy/non-dormancy behaviour for SCells  >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> unchanged text omitted <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<  A UE is not expected to be scheduled with that requires the UE to provide HARQ-ACK information in response to a detection of a DCI format 1\_1 indicating SCell dormancy within the transition time between dormancy and non-dormancy behaviors on SCells. .  >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> unchanged text omitted <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<  ---------------------------------------------------------- End of text proposal ---------------------------------------------------------- |

Companies are requested to indicate their view about the above question in the Table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Preferred Option(s)**  **If multiple, list most preferred first** | **Comments (Topic 1-1, Q1)** |
| Samsung | Option 1 |  |
| Intel | Option 1 or 2 |  |
| ZTE | Option 1, Option 2 | As discussed in the UE feature session, it seems Option 2 can be the compromised solution. |
| Nokia, NSB | Option 1 or 4 |  |

### 2.2 Topic 1-2

Please provide your input to below question Q1 on this topic, preferably by 08/18 (evening PST).

#### Question 1

Q1. Regarding restricting DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 with dormancy indication to be only in first 3 symbols of a slot, what is your preference between Option 1,2,3, below?

* Option 1
  + DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 on primary cell with dormancy indication that indicates a BWP change between dormant and non-dormant BWPs of SCell(s) is restricted to be only in first 3 symbols of a slot
    - Discuss further TP (if any) to clarify this
* Option 2
  + For DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 on primary cell with dormancy indication that indicates a BWP change between dormant and non-dormant BWPs of SCell(s), there is no additional restriction that it should be only in first 3 symbols of a slot
    - Discuss further TP (if any) to clarify this
* Option 3
  + Restriction is introduced via UE capability signaling.
    - UE indicating the capability expects to receive DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 on primary cell with dormancy indication that indicates a BWP change between dormant and non-dormant BWPs of SCell(s) only in first 3 symbols of a slot
    - UE not indicating the capability can receive the DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 on primary cell with dormancy indication that indicates a BWP change between dormant and non-dormant BWPs of SCell(s) at any location in the slot where PDCCH reception is allowed.
    - Discuss further TP (if any) to clarify this

Companies are requested to indicate their view about the above question in the Table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Preferred Option**  **If multiple, list most preferred first** | **Comments (Topic 1-2, Q1)** |
| Samsung | Option 1 |  |
| Intel | Option 2 |  |
| ZTE | Option 1, Option 2 | Both Option 1 and Option 2 are acceptable to us. Option 1 is consistent with Rel-15 implementation design, and Option 2 offers some more flexibility. |
| Nokia, NSB | Option 3 | Existing restriction should be removed from RAN1 specification also for regular BWP switching. Separate capability could be defined for regular BWP switching and dormancy BWP switching. |

### 2.5 Topic 1-3

Please provide your input to below questions Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4 on this topic, preferably by 08/18 (evening PST).

#### Question 1

Q1. Is it OK to agree to the TP1 for 38.213 Section 9.1.3.1 in section 2.1.1 of [R1-2006123](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006123.zip)?

Companies are requested to indicate their view about the above question in the Table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (Topic 1-3, Q1)** |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
| Intel | No | We prefer there is only one DCI in a PDCCH MO if the DCI indicating BWP switching. |
| ZTE | No | The first change and the third change seem to be ok.  But for the second change, it seems that MRDC cross-carrier scheduling is discussing the same issue, i.e.,  *Issue #2: Take the issue #2 (Proposal 1 of ‘6297 and proposal 3 of ‘6123 up in the RAN1#102e.*  As the previous related agreements was made in cross-carrier scheduling discussion, our preference is to move this issue to cross-carrier scheduling thread. So that we can have a common design for both SCell dormancy and SPS release if necessary. |
| Nokia, NSB |  | This is discussed in Karri’s thread, so no need to discuss in two places. |

#### Question 2

Q2. Is it OK to agree to the TP3 for 38.214 Section 6.2.1.3 in section 2.3.1 of [R1-2006123](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006123.zip)?

Companies are requested to indicate their view about the above question in the Table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (Topic 1-3, Q2)** |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
| Intel | Yes |  |
| ZTE | No | We are a little concerned about this TP. With the current spec, the order of the serving cells is configured by RRC. With this TP, the order of the serving cells (where the current active DL BWP is not the dormant DL BWP) is dynamically updated. It increases the implementation complexity for both the UE and network to track the BWP status of each SCell. |
| Nokia, NSB | No | We also prefer keep order based on RRC. Is anything broken if TP is not agreed? |

#### Question 3

Q1. Is it OK to agree to the TP2 for 38.213 sub-clause 12 in section 2.3 of [R1-2006663](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006663.zip)?

Companies are requested to indicate their view about the above question in the Table below including views on any impact from Topic 1-2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (Topic 1-3, Q3)** |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
| Intel | Yes |  |
| Nokia, NSB | No | We are not willing to agree on this TP until topic 1-2 is resolved |

#### Question 4

Q1. Is it OK to agree to the TP3 for 38.213 sub-clause 12 in section 2.3 of [R1-2006663](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006663.zip)?

Companies are requested to indicate their view about the above question in the Table below including views on any impact from Topic 1-2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (Topic 1-3, Q4)** |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
| Intel | Yes |  |
| ZTE |  | It seems this issue should be discussed after finalization of Topic 1-2 first because the conclusion of Topic 1-2 may impact the timeline of this TP here. |
| Nokia, NSB | No | We are not willing to agree on this TP until topic 1-2 is resolved |

# 3 Conclusions

TBU
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