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# Introduction

This document provides a summary of the following RAN1 email discussion.

|  |
| --- |
| * [102-e-LTE-eMTC5-05] Resource reservation field in SPS release DCI – Johan (Ericsson)   + Resource reservation field in SPS release DCI ([R1-2005503](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=7f84c4d0-2257985f-7f854f9f-0cc47a31ce52-792e6c310bc6508c&q=1&e=35232f27-e789-49d1-b99b-5a16147b2dfd&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2FTSG_RAN%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_102-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2005503.zip) proposal 1)   + Common email discussion for LTE-MTC ([R1-2005503](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=9e9e784e-c34d24c1-9e9ff301-0cc47a31ce52-b271fcedb11a8aad&q=1&e=35232f27-e789-49d1-b99b-5a16147b2dfd&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2FTSG_RAN%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_102-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2005503.zip)) and NB-IoT ([R1-2005504](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=9e7620df-c3a57c50-9e77ab90-0cc47a31ce52-738fc6e3a4f8f024&q=1&e=35232f27-e789-49d1-b99b-5a16147b2dfd&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2FTSG_RAN%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_102-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2005504.zip))   + Discussions/Agreements by 8/19, TPs by 8/24 |

# Issue #1: LTE-MTC case

Contribution [1] proposes that if the Rel-16 LTE-MTC resource reservation feature is configured, then the ‘Resource reservation’ field in a DCI for SPS release is set to a default value for SPS release MPDCCH validation. The following TP for 36.213 is provided.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 9.2 PDCCH/EPDCCH/MPDCCH/SPDCCH validation for semi-persistent scheduling **<Unchanged parts are omitted>**  **Table 9.2-1C: Special fields for Semi-Persistent Scheduling Release MPDCCH Validation**   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | |  | **DCI format 6-0A** | **DCI format 6-1A** | | **HARQ process number** | set to '000' | FDD: set to '000'  TDD: set to '0000 | | **Redundancy version** | set to '00' | set to '00' | | **Repetition number** | set to '00' | set to '00' | | **Modulation and coding scheme** | set to '1111' | set to '1111' | | **TPC command for scheduled PUSCH** | set to '00' | N/A | | **Resource block assignment** | Set to all '1's | Set to all '1's | | **Resource reservation** | Set to ‘1’ if present | Set to ‘1’ if present |   **<Unchanged parts are omitted>** |

Companies are invited to provide their comments on the text proposal.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | We do not think this is essential – there are many other fields (including optional fields) in 6-0A and 6-1A that are just ignored for validation. Actually, this would be the first optional field in DCI used for validation. Just checking 6-0A, the following fields are ignored:  - SRS request  - Modulation order override.  -DAI  - UL index  Given that this is a single bit, the gain is very small in false alarm.  Having said this, if there is a clear majority that want to add this bit to validation, we will not object. |
| Lenovo&MotoM | Not an essential issue. Hope to keep the legacy validation |
| Nokia | We do not see this as being essential, but we will not oppose if there is a clear majority. |
| Ericsson | It does not seem like an essential correction. |
| ZTE | We respect majority view if companies think it is not an essential issue. Then, the Resource reservation field in DCI for SPS release is ignored by the UE. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Issue #2: NB-IoT case

Contribution [2] proposes that if the Rel-16 NB-IoT resource reservation feature is configured, then the ‘Resource reservation’ field in a DCI for SPS release is set to a default value for SPS release NPDCCH validation. The following TP for 36.213 is provided.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 16.6.3 NPDCCH validation for semi-persistent scheduling  **<Unchanged parts are omitted>**  A UE shall validate a Semi-Persistent Scheduling assignment NPDCCH only if all the following conditions are met:  - the CRC parity bits obtained for the NPDCCH payload are scrambled with the Semi-Persistent Scheduling C-RNTI  - the new data indicator field is set to '0'.  Validation is achieved if all the fields for the used DCI format N0 are set according to Table 16.6.3-1 or Table 16.6.3-2.  If validation is achieved, the UE shall consider the received DCI information accordingly as a valid semi-persistent activation or release.  If validation is not achieved, the received DCI format shall be considered by the UE as having been received with a non-matching CRC.  **Table 16.6.3-1: Special fields for Semi-Persistent Scheduling Activation NPDCCH Validation**   |  |  | | --- | --- | |  | **DCI format N0** | | **HARQ process number (present if UE is configured with 2 uplink HARQ processes)** | set to '0' | | **Redundancy version** | set to '0' | | **Modulation and coding scheme** | set to '0000' | | **Resource assignment** | set to '000' |   **Table 16.6.3-2: Special fields for Semi-Persistent Scheduling Release NPDCCH Validation**   |  |  | | --- | --- | |  | **DCI format N0** | | **HARQ process number (present if UE is configured with 2 uplink HARQ processes)** | set to '0' | | **Redundancy version** | set to '0' | | **Repetition number** | set to '000' | | **Modulation and coding scheme** | set to '1111' | | **Subcarrier indication** | Set to all '1's | | **Resource reservation (present if UE is configured with higher layer parameter *ul-ResourceReservationConfig)*** | Set to ‘1’ |   **<Unchanged parts are omitted>** |

Companies are invited to provide their comments on the text proposal.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Similar view as issue #1. |
| Nokia | We do not see this as being essential. |
| Ericsson | It does not seem like an essential correction. |
| ZTE | We respect majority view if companies think it is not an essential issue. Then, the Resource reservation field in DCI for SPS release is ignored by the UE. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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