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# Introduction

In [1], RAN4 asked RAN1 several questions on using CSI-RS without QCL indication for beam management purposes. This summary is to collect views from companies and facilitate a reply LS to RAN4.

# Views from companies

This section summarizes companies’ views on questions from RAN4.

***Question 1:*** Does RAN1 consider it valid scenario(s) that P1 CSI-RS has no QCL relation for Rel-16? If valid, what are the corresponding usage scenarios? Has RAN1 analysed impact on UE mobility, scheduling restriction and overhead, UE power consumption, etc., with respect to number of active TCI states?

***Views:***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | In our view, using P1 CSI-RS without QCL indication is valid starting from Rel-15. Based on previous RAN1 agreements and current RAN2 specifications (listed in [R1-2006939](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006939.zip)), it is clear that CSI-RS reception without beam-related indication is supported, CSI-RS based P1 procedure is supported, by default CSI-RS may be not QCLed to an SSB, and QCL indication for periodic CSI-RS is strictly optional. As for usage scenarios, P1 CSI-RS without QCL indication can be used for beam measurement targeting at lower latency and for multi-TRP transmission where CSI-RS may come from a TRP which is not sending SSB. To our knowledge, RAN1 did not discuss much about the impacts on UE mobility, scheduling restriction and overhead, UE power consumption, with respect to number of active TCI states, but indeed introduced UE capability reporting on number of active TCI states (e.g., UE feature 2-4 in 38.822 for Rel-15, UE feature 16-2a-7 in R1-2004970 for Rel-16). |
| Apple | In our view, there is no usage scenario for CSI-RS without QCL relation. RAN1 has not defined any UE behaviour for CSI-RS without QCL relation.RAN1 has not analysed much about the impact on UE mobility, scheduling restriction and overhead, UE power consumption with respect to number of active TCI states. |
| FUTUREWEI | First, based on the LS text, the QCL relation in this question is only about QCL-TypeD. Let us know if this is not the correct understanding. For QCL-TypeD, our understanding is that this scenario is valid from Rel-15 and hence also still valid in Rel-16. The scenarios may include the case where a TRP is not configured with SSB. Other cases are not excluded and up to gNB implementation. The impact on mobility, scheduling restriction and overhead, power consumption were not specifically analysis in RAN1 before, not just for this case but generally not for most of the BM cases. |
| vivo | We have got one question and one comment:1. Regarding the QCL, is it specifically referring to QCL-D? Or it also includes other QCL?
2. There are similar discussion in Rel-16 multi-TRP on whether it is valid operation for UE to track a signal/channel (other than SSB) without QCL source. There is no common understanding regarding this issue. The results of the discussion is that Rel-17 further enhance the TCI state with inter-cell QCL source. Similarly, for RAN4 Rel-16 discussion, it may also be very challenging to conclude that UE is able to receive a signal without QCL source.
 |

***Question 2:*** Whether there is UE behaviour definition/expectation when P1 CSI-RS QCL relation is configured as ‘none’ and to SSB? For example: are resource prioritization rules or default QCL assumption rules when overlapped with other resources (e.g. PDCCH, DMRS) established for both scenarios?

***Views:***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Based on previous agreements (as listed in [R1-2006939](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006939.zip)), in our understanding, for P1 CSI-RS without QCL indication, and for SSB without QCL indication, UE is expected to try different Rx beams without assuming that gNB is transmitting with the same Tx beam (which is the definition of P1 procedure). |
| Apple | No |
| FUTUREWEI | Agree with Huawei’s understanding |
| vivo | We would like to understand the question better: 1. What does it mean by “QCL configured as ‘none’ and to SSB”? Are these two cases, one without QCL, one with QCL to SSB?
2. For cases when the QCL sources is not configured, our understanding is that there is no behaviour established in RAN1 related to resource prioritization rules or default QCL assumption rules when overlapped with other resources (e.g. PDCCH, DMRS).
 |

***Question 3:*** Whether CSI-RS for beam management as the first level of beam measurement/indication in connected mode is specified if it has no QCL relation to SSB?

***Views:***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | In our understanding, in CONNECTED mode, CSI-RS for beam management without QCL relation to SSB being used as first level of beam measurement/indication are supported (related RAN1 specifications are listed in [R1-2006939](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006939.zip) for easier checking). |
| Apple | No |
| FUTUREWEI | Our view is that this is supported. |
| vivo | We are confused by the terminology “first level of beam measurement/indication”.If it means whether UE could be configured with RS without QCL, our understanding is that this is not well defined in RAN1 spec. We prefer not to say this is supported in Rel-15/16. |

# Proposed answers to RAN4

[To be updated]
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