**3GPP TSG RAN WG1 Meeting #102-e R1-200xxxx**

**E-meeting, August 17-28, 2020**

**Agenda Item: 5**

**Source: Moderator (Huawei)**

**Title: Email discussion on CSI-RS only beam correspondence**

**Document for: Discussion**

# Introduction

In [1], RAN4 asked RAN1 several questions on using CSI-RS without QCL indication for beam management purposes. This summary is to collect views from companies and facilitate a reply LS to RAN4.

# Views from companies

This section summarizes companies’ views on questions from RAN4.

***Question 1:*** Does RAN1 consider it valid scenario(s) that P1 CSI-RS has no QCL relation for Rel-16? If valid, what are the corresponding usage scenarios? Has RAN1 analysed impact on UE mobility, scheduling restriction and overhead, UE power consumption, etc., with respect to number of active TCI states?

***Views:***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | In our view, using P1 CSI-RS without QCL indication is valid starting from Rel-15. Based on previous RAN1 agreements and current RAN2 specifications (listed in [R1-2006939](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006939.zip)), it is clear that CSI-RS reception without beam-related indication is supported, CSI-RS based P1 procedure is supported, by default CSI-RS may be not QCLed to an SSB, and QCL indication for periodic CSI-RS is strictly optional. As for usage scenarios, P1 CSI-RS without QCL indication can be used for beam measurement targeting at lower latency and for multi-TRP transmission where CSI-RS may come from a TRP which is not sending SSB. To our knowledge, RAN1 did not discuss much about the impacts on UE mobility, scheduling restriction and overhead, UE power consumption, with respect to number of active TCI states, but indeed introduced UE capability reporting on number of active TCI states (e.g., UE feature 2-4 in 38.822 for Rel-15, UE feature 16-2a-7 in R1-2004970 for Rel-16). |
| Apple | In our view, there is no usage scenario for CSI-RS without QCL relation. RAN1 has not defined any UE behaviour for CSI-RS without QCL relation.RAN1 has not analysed much about the impact on UE mobility, scheduling restriction and overhead, UE power consumption with respect to number of active TCI states. |
|  |  |

***Question 2:*** Whether there is UE behaviour definition/expectation when P1 CSI-RS QCL relation is configured as ‘none’ and to SSB? For example: are resource prioritization rules or default QCL assumption rules when overlapped with other resources (e.g. PDCCH, DMRS) established for both scenarios?

***Views:***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Based on previous agreements (as listed in [R1-2006939](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006939.zip)), in our understanding, for P1 CSI-RS without QCL indication, and for SSB without QCL indication, UE is expected to try different Rx beams without assuming that gNB is transmitting with the same Tx beam (which is the definition of P1 procedure). |
| Apple | No |
|  |  |

***Question 3:*** Whether CSI-RS for beam management as the first level of beam measurement/indication in connected mode is specified if it has no QCL relation to SSB?

***Views:***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | In our understanding, in CONNECTED mode, CSI-RS for beam management without QCL relation to SSB being used as first level of beam measurement/indication are supported (related RAN1 specifications are listed in [R1-2006939](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_102-e/Docs/R1-2006939.zip) for easier checking). |
| Apple | No |
|  |  |

# Proposed answers to RAN4

[To be updated]
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