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**1. Overall Description:**

RAN1 discussed the LS R1-2005209 / R2-2006362, where RAN2 requested feedback on whether the following two cases are allowed:

|  |
| --- |
| […] for typeA RAN2 didn’t achieve consensus on whether the following 2 cases on NR SRS carrier switching are allowed:   * Case 1: SRS carrier switching to a SUL without PUCCH/PUSCH while PUCCH/PUSCH is configured in NUL; * Case 2: SRS carrier switching to both NUL and SUL, neither of which are configured with PUCCH/PUSCH. |

RAN1 concluded that, for type A, carrier switching to SUL is not supported in Case 1 and Case 2.

For the case of type A carrier switching to NUL in Case 2: while in RAN1 there are multiple companies that understand that this case is not allowed from RAN1 specification point of view, there are two companies which have a different view. Consequently, RAN1 can’t reach a conclusion on this issue.

**2. Actions:**

**To RAN2:**

**ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully requests RAN2 to take the above into account.

**3. Date of Next TSG-RAN WG1 Meetings:**
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