**3GPP TSG RAN WG1 Meeting #102-e R1-200xxxx**

**e-Meeting, August 17th – 28th, 2020**

**Agenda Item:** 5

**Source:** Samsung

**Title:** [102-e-LS-AI5-03] Email discussion/approval of reply LS for R1-2005208, including its necessity (i.e., whether to have the LS or not)

**Document for:** Discussion and Decision

# **Introduction**

[102-e-LS-AI5-03] Email discussion/approval of reply LS for R1-2005208, including its necessity (i.e., whether to have the LS or not), by 08/20 (TBD, Samsung)

# **Discussion**

* Question1: Do you think the RAN1 reply LS in RAN1 #102-e is necessary?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Source** | **Yes or no** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | * In the LS action, RAN1 is clearly asked by RAN2 to response. * The concerned per-UE capabilities in the RAN2 LS are all sourced from RAN1, as listed below. Companies can easily confirm RAN1 as a source by TR 38.822 * All of the concerned per-UE capabilities are baseband capabilities, otherwise they would have been per-band or other types of UE capabilities. Such baseband capabilities in the list does not require any RF or RAN4 expertise. |
| ZTE | No | Reply LS in RAN1#102-e is NOT necessary.  Based on our understanding, whether the SUL/SDL should be considered as FDD/TDD or new duplex mode needs to be determined by RAN4 first.  The RAN1 discussion may greatly impacted by the RAN4 conclusion. For example, if RAN4 confirms that SUL/SDL can be considered as FDD, then it seems reasonable for SUL/SDL to share the FDD capability bits; but if RAN4 confirms that SUL/SDL is a new duplex mode different from TDD/FDD, then RAN1 may need to further discuss how to handle the SDL/SUL capability issue.  Once we get reply LS from RAN4, RAN1 can start to discuss/clarify the concerned RAN1 UE capabilities. For the concerned RAN1 UE capabilities, a case-by-case discussion is preferred in the late stage in Rel-15 in order to avoid potential unnecessary NBC issue. |
| Nokia, NSB | Yes (but maybe better wait) | In our understanding RAN1 has the expertise on the issue and should be in the best position to respond. Still, we do agree with ZTE that it maybe better to wait till the second week of RAN1#102 to have a better idea of RAN4 status. |
| Samsung | No | No strong preference. But, as ZTE and Nokia commented, we can wait RAN4 confirmation first about whether the SUL/SDL band corresponds to TDD or FDD band. And then we can further discuss potential RAN1 impacts if necessary. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Observation:** [TBD]

**Possible conclusion:** [TBD]

* Question2: If the RAN1 reply LS in RAN1 #102-e is necessary, what is your view about the following first question from RAN2 LS [1]?

Question:“Could per-UE capabilities for SUL/SDL bands be differentiated on the duplex mode(s) for Rel-15 and Rel-16?”

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Source** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes. Taking dynamicSFI as example, its functionality specified in 38.211 and 38.213 differentiate SUL from TDD. |
| Nokia, NSB | The SDL could be understood as FDD downlink when interpreting per-UE capabilities or capabilities that have a different default or minimum value for FDD and TDD bands, as the RAN1 specs do not differentiate SDL SCell from a DL-only SCell on FDD.  The SUL may not be directly understood as either FDD or TDD uplink when interpreting the per UE-capabilities or capabilities that have a different default or minimum value for FDD and TDD bands, but the capability list would need to be checked to see for possible fall-in-between cases as RAN1 specs differentiate SUL carrier from uplink FDD carrier. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Observation:** [TBD]

**Possible conclusion:** [TBD]

* Question3: If the RAN1 reply LS in RAN1 #102-e is necessary, what is your view about the following second question from RAN2 LS [1]?

Question: “Which duplex mode(s) (i.e. FDD or TDD) for the per-UE capabilities which are differentiated by FDD and TDD are applied for SUL/SDL in both Rel-15 and Rel-16?”

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Source** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | As analysis in R1-2006935, with respect to IoDT and functionality for those per-UE UE capabilities in the list, the capability value reported by a Rel-15 UE for FDD is also applied to SUL bands if it is applicable to uplink, otherwise, the capability value is also applied to SDL if it is applicable to downlink.  For Rel-16 UE, the same new mechanism in R1-2005212 is applied for SUL/SDL because all the concerned per-UE capabilities differentiating FDD/TDD have been changed to per-band in Rel-16 by RAN2. In short, those concerned capabilities are reported in a basis of per-band but required to be the same values among SUL bands per frequency range, so does among SDL bands per frequency range.  R1-2005212:  “*For release-16 UE capabilities for which both xDD and FRx differentiations are allowed, RAN2 intends to use “per band” capability signalling. This way, the problem above no longer exists for release-16 capabilities.*”  “ |
| Nokia, NSB | We agree with the general principle Huawei suggests. However, that alone may not suffice as 3GPP has specified some other cases that rely on all bands and carriers being either FDD or TDD and it is not clear how these capabilities should be understood in the presence of SUL/SDL (examples below).  Further, RAN1 specifications refer to paired and unpaired spectrum, where SDL and SUL would logically be “unpaired”, but functionally they should typically fall under “paired”, leaving some parts of RAN1 specification open to interpretation.  ***simultaneousRxTxInterBandCA***  *Indicates whether the UE supports simultaneous transmission and reception in TDD-TDD and TDD-FDD inter-band NR CA. It is mandatory for certain TDD-FDD and TDD-TDD band combinations defined in TS 38.101-1 [2], TS 38.101-2 [3] and TS 38.101-3 [4].*  ***simultaneousRxTxInterBandENDC***  *Indicates whether the UE supports simultaneous transmission and reception in TDD-TDD and TDD-FDD inter-band EN-DC. It is mandatory for certain TDD-FDD and TDD-TDD band combinations defined in TS 38.101-3 [4].* |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Observation:** [TBD]

**Possible conclusion:** [TBD]

# **Conclusion**

[TBD]
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