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1. Introduction

RAN1 received an LS from RAN2 on details of MIMO MAC CE operations [1]. In [1], RAN2 asked three questions regarding TCI state activation and SRS spatial relation. As guided by the chairman, this summary is to collect companies’ inputs on the questions in the LS and draft the reply based on the inputs.

[102-e-LS-AI5-02] Email discussion/approval of reply LS for [R1-2005203](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_102\Docs\R1-2005203.zip) by 08/21 (TBD, Samsung)

2. Answer to Question 1

The first question from RAN2 is as follows.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 1:** Could RAN1 specify how the TCI state codepoints are mapped when the number of codepoints in the TCI field of DCI format 1\_2 is less than the maximum number of codepoints in the TCI field of DCI format 1\_1 taking into account that the MAC CE may signal TCI states corresponding to maximum amount of DCI codepoints.? |

From the draft replies submitted in RAN1#102-e, all the companies have the common understanding that the first *K* TCI states activated by MAC-CE are mapped to the *K* codepoints in DCI format 1\_2, and such mapping is specified in TS38.321. On the necessity of additionally specifying such mapping in RAN1, the views are summarized as:

* Yes (okay to specify): ZTE, Ericsson
* No (no need to specify): vivo, CATT, Samsung, LGE

Based on the majority inputs so far, moderator’s initial proposal for the answer is:

**Proposal 1. There is no need to specify the mapping for TCI codepoints in DCI format 1\_2 in RAN1.**

Please provide comments on the proposal below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | Supports the FL proposal. |
| Apple | Support the FL proposal |

3. Answer to Question 2

The second question from RAN2 is as follows.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 2:** Is RAN2 understanding correct that the intended functionality of the Serving cell set based SRS spatial relation indication MAC CE is to only indicate the SRS spatial relations for SRS resource(s) which are applied for all configured serving cell set? (i.e. no need to activate/deactivate transmission of SRS resources(s) for all configured cells in the serving cell set) |

From the draft replies submitted in RAN1#102-e, it is observed that all the companies have the same understanding with RAN2.

Based on the majority inputs so far, moderator’s initial proposal for the answer is:

**Proposal 2. RAN1 has the same understanding with RAN2.**

Please provide comments on the proposal below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | Supports the FL proposal |
| Apple | Support the FL proposal |

3. Answer to Question 3

The third question from RAN2 is as follows.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 3:** Is RAN2 understanding correct that the Serving cell set based SRS spatial relation indication MAC CE can be used to indicate the SRS spatial relations in SUL configuration? |

From the draft replies submitted in RAN1#102-e, the views on the question are summarized as follows.

* Yes (the MAC-CE can be used for SUL): CATT, Lenovo/MotM, Samsung, LGE, Ericsson, Huawei
* No (the MAC-CE cannot be used for SUL): vivo, ZTE, OPPO

The companies saying ‘yes’ claim that RAN1 haven’t excluded this MAC-CE for SUL. While the companies saying ‘no’ claim that gNB doesn’t need to configure SRS spatial relation for SUL which is FR1 carrier.

Based on the majority inputs so far, moderator’s initial proposal for the answer is:

**Proposal 3. RAN1 understands that the MAC-CE can be used with SUL.**

Please provide comments on the proposal below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| vivo | The behavior has not been discussed in RAN1 previously. The MAC CE is not applicable for cells with SUL configured. |
| Apple | Spatial relation is only applicable for FR2 band. So it cannot be used for SUL. |
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