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## Introduction

The scope given in the Rel-18 NR Evolved MIMO WID pertaining to CSI enhancement is as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Study, and if justified, specify CSI reporting enhancement for high/medium UE velocities by exploiting time-domain correlation/Doppler-domain information to assist DL precoding, targeting FR1, as follows:    * Rel-16/17 Type-II codebook refinement, without modification to the spatial and frequency domain basis    * UE reporting of time-domain channel properties measured via CSI-RS for tracking 2. Study, and if justified, specify enhancements of CSI acquisition for Coherent-JT targeting FR1 and up to 4 TRPs, assuming ideal backhaul and synchronization as well as the same number of antenna ports across TRPs, as follows:    1. Rel-16/17 Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP targeting FDD and its associated CSI reporting, taking into account throughput-overhead trade-off |

## Summary of companies’ views

### Issue 1: Type-II codebook refinement for CJT

Table 1A Summary: issue 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 1.5 | [110bis-e] **Agreement**  On the SD basis selection for Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, support the following on the *L* parameter:   * Per-CSI-RS-resource *Ln* parameter   + TBD: Whether {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are higher-layer configured by gNB, or the total is higher-layer configured by gNB while {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are reported by the UE, one L configured and {*Ln*} determined from configured L   + FFS: The value of *Ln* is taken from a pre-defined set   **Proposal 1.E.3**: On the SD basis selection for Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, on the *L* parameter, down select from the following alternatives (by RAN1#111):   * Alt1. Each of the {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} is gNB-configured via higher-layer (RRC) signaling   + The candidate values for follow the legacy specification * Alt2. where *Ltot* is gNB-configured via higher-layer (RRC) signaling and the relative value(s) of {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are reported by the UE   + TBD: Whether for a given configured value of *Ltot*, the possible combinations of {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are fixed/pre-determined or gNB-configured via higher-layer (RRC) signaling   + TBD: Whether the value(s) of {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are reported implicitly or explicitly, and whether some value(s) don’t need to be reported * Alt3. An *L* parameter is gNB-configured via higher-layer (RRC) signaling and {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are determined from the value of *L*   + TBD: How to determine {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} from *L*, e.g. depending on RI value   **FL Notes**: We list alternatives for further down selection. For an early temp check, please feel free to share your initial preference ☺ | **Proposal 1.E.3:**   * **Support/fine:** * **Not support:**   **Alt1:**  **Alt2:**  **Alt3:AT&T** |
| 1.7 | [110bis-e] **Agreement**  For the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, the constraint on the maximum number of non-zero coefficients (NZCs) per-layer (K0) is defined jointly across all N CSI-RS resources   * TBD: the constraint on the total number of NZCs across all layers   **Question 1**: Is a constraint on the total number of NZCs summed across all layers needed?  **Question 2**: If so, should we use the legacy constraint of 2K0?  **FL Notes**: Please share your views on the answers to Q1 and Q2 | **Question 1:**   * **Yes:AT&T** * **No:**   **Question 2:**   * **Yes:** * **No:** |
|  |  |  |

Table 2 Additional inputs: issue 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **PLEASE READ THE FL NOTES**   1. **Check and, if needed, update your view in Table 1A especially on the moderator proposals.** 2. **Share additional inputs here, if needed**   **More moderator proposals may be added in the next revision** |
|  | **Isuue1.5: Proposal 1.E.3**  we support Alt3 because the SD basis encountered by the UE is not uniformly distributed across the TRPs (see below). We think Alt3 gives the UE more flexibility to decide the SD to improve the performance and may be better fit for the agreement on Proposal 1.A since N is decided by the UE i.e. N{1,..., NTRP}    We would like change **Proposal 1.E.3** to the following:  **Proposal 1.E.3**: On the SD basis selection for Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, on the *L* parameter, down select from the following alternatives (by RAN1#111):   * Alt1. Each of the {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} is gNB-configured via higher-layer (RRC) signaling   + The candidate values for follow the legacy specification * Alt2. where *Ltot* is gNB-configured via higher-layer (RRC) signaling and the relative value(s) of {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are reported by the UE   + TBD: Whether for a given configured value of *Ltot*, the possible combinations of {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are fixed/pre-determined or gNB-configured via higher-layer (RRC) signaling   + TBD: Whether the value(s) of {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are reported implicitly or explicitly, and whether some value(s) don’t need to be reported * Alt3. An *L* parameter is gNB-configured via higher-layer (RRC) signaling and {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are determined from the value of *L*   + The UE decides the associated SD for the CSI-RS resources {Ln, n=1, ..., N} such that   + TBD: How to determine {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} from *L*, e.g. depending on RI value   **Isuue1.7**  **Question 1: Yes** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Issue 2: Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium UE velocities (with time/Doppler-domain compression)

Table 3A Summary: issue 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 2.1 | [109-e] **Agreement**  The work scope of Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities includes refinement of the following codebooks, based on a common design framework:   * Rel-16 eType-II regular codebook * Rel-17 FeType-II port selection (PS) codebook   FFS: Whether to prioritize/down-select from the two  **Proposal 2.A**: The Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities comprises refinement of the following codebooks:   * Refinement of the Rel-16 eType-II regular codebook * Refinement of the Rel-17 FeType-II port selection (PS) codebook, based on the same design details as the Refinement of the Rel-16 eType-II regular codebook, except for the supported set of parameter combinations   + Time-/Doppler-domain reciprocity is not assumed   **FL Note**: This proposal has been discussed in RAN1#110 | **Support (equal priority for) both Rel-16 eType-II and Rel-17 FeType-II:** Huawei/HiSi, ZTE (Rel-16 first), Fraunhofer IIS/HHI   * **Concern:** vivo, Lenovo, LG, Apple, DOCOMO, Spreadtrum   **Down-select to only Rel-16 eType-II:** Apple, DOCOMO, MediaTek, NEC, Xiaomi, Samsung, Lenovo, Intel (if Rel-17, no DD reciprocity), Xiaomi. Qualcomm, Apple, DOCOMO, Ericsson, Nokia/NSB, LG, Spreadtrum, CMCC, vivo, OPPO, Google, Sharp  **Proposal 2.A:**   * **Support/fine:** IDC, ZTE, Huawei/HiSi, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI * **Not support (Rel-16 only):** vivo, Lenovo, LG, Apple, DOCOMO, Spreadtrum |
| 2.7 | [109-e] **Agreement**  On the CSI reporting and measurement for the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, support the following CSI-RS resource types/structures for CMR:   * Time-domain behaviour for NZP CSI-RS resource: periodic (P), semi-persistent (SP), aperiodic (AP)   + FFS: Whether to introduce constraints on allowed configuration * Down select from the following:   + Alt1. Support K>1 NZP CSI-RS resources, received via a single triggering instance, for aperiodic (AP) -CSI-RS-based channel measurement in a same CSI-RS resource set where the separation between 2 consecutive AP-CSI-RS resources is m slot(s):   + Alt2. Support one NZP CSI-RS resource in a CSI-RS resource set, where K>1 occasions are received via a single triggering instance, for aperiodic (AP)-CSI-RS-based channel measurement where the separation between 2 consecutive AP-CSI-RS resources is m slot(s).   + For any of the alternatives:     - No CRI is reported     - FFS: Details, e.g., supported value(s) of K, m, other use cases for the AP-CSI-RS resources (e.g., for training filter coefficients, prediction or performance monitoring) * Support only one NZP CSI-RS resource for P or SP-CSI-RS-based channel measurement   **Proposal 2.G.2**: On the CSI reporting and measurement for the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, support the following CSI-RS resource types/structures for CMR … {add later}  **FL Note**: Please share your preference Alt1 vs Alt2 | **Alt1:** ZTE, LG, Xiaomi, CMCC, Qualcomm, Huawei/HiSi  **Alt2:** MediaTek |
| 2.9 | [110bis-e] **Agreement**  For the Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, down-select from the following alternatives:   * Alt1. *Q* different 2-dimensional bitmaps are introduced for indicating the location of the NZCs, where the qth (q=1,…., *Q*) 2-dimensional bitmap corresponds to qth selected DD basis vector   + The number of selected DD basis vectors is denoted as *Q*   + This implies that for each layer, the location of NZCs in SD-FD can be different for different selected DD basis vectors. * Alt2. A DD-basis-common per-layer 2-dimensional bitmap for indicating the location of NZCs used in Rel-16/17 Type-II is used   + This implies that for each layer, the location of NZCs in SD-FD is common across all the Q selected DD basis vectors   FFS: Further overhead reduction on bitmap(s)  FFS: Whether the number of NZCs is upper bounded across all DD basis vectors or per DD basis vector  **Proposal 2.I.2**: For the Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, ……. {add later}  **FL Notes:** Please share your preference for Alt1 vs Alt2 | **Alt1:** Intel, ZTE**,** Xiaomi, Ericsson, CMCC  **Alt2:** Samsung, IDC |
|  |  |  |

Table 4 Additional inputs: issue 2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **PLEASE READ THE FL NOTES**   1. **Check and, if needed, update your view in Table 3A especially on the moderator proposals.** 2. **Share additional inputs here, if needed**   **More moderator proposals may be added in the next revision** |
| Fraunhofer IIS/Fraunhofer HHI | **Issue 2.9**:  We think that ALT1 is superior to Alt2, however with high feedback overhead. Therefore, we want to propose some optimization of the bitmaps of ALT1 that further reduces the feedback overhead. According to the agreement, the FD and DD components are commonly selected across all SD components. Therefore, for M FD components and Q DD components, there are MQ FD/DD component pairs which are common across all SD components (see the Figure below). Note that the figure is a representation of the 2D-bitmap of Alt1. Each column of the bitmap is associated with an FD/DD component pair. From our observations, the energy of each SD component/beamformed channel is only associated with either one or two FD-TD component pairs and not with all MQ FD-DD component pairs. Therefore, we think that the feedback overhead can be greatly reduced when reporting only M FD/DD pairs instead of reporting all MQ FD/DD component pairs. The TD component associated with each of the M FD/DD component pairs is reported by a Q-sized bitmap. By doing so, the size of the 2D-bitmap of ALT1 reduces from **2LMQ**to **2LM+MQ** bits.  A screenshot of a computer  Description automatically generated with low confidenceSupport of ALT1 with the following refinement (optimization of the bitmaps):  **Alt 1A: Single 2-dimensional bitmap of size 2LM (similar as in R16) for indicating the location of the NZCs, and a single bitmap of size MQ to report the association of each DD component to each FD component.**  **Issue 2.7**: Will be commented later. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Issue 3: TRS-based reporting of time-domain channel properties (TDCP)

Table 5A Summary: issue 3

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 3.1 | [110bis-e] **Agreement**  For the Rel-18 TRS-based TDCP reporting, down select one of the following alternatives by RAN1#110bis-e:   * AltA. Based on Doppler profile   + E.g., Doppler spread derived from the 2nd moment of Doppler power spectrum, average Doppler shifts, Doppler shift per resource, maximum Doppler shift, relative Doppler shift, etc * AltB. Based on *quantized amplitude of* time-domain correlation profile   + E.g. Correlation within one TRS resource, correlation across multiple TRS resources   + Note: The correlation over one or more lags of TRS resource may be considered.  The lags may be within one TRS burst or different TRS bursts   Note: Different alternatives may or may not apply to different use cases  FFS: The need for a measure of confidence level in the TDCP report, and/or UE behaviour when the quality of TDCP measurement is not sufficiently high  FFS: TDCP parameter(s) signalled with respect to each alternative  **(I will add a proposal later based on the outcome of the ongoing email thread for Proposal 3.A)**  **FL Note**: Please check the revised proposal 3.A taking into account Ericsson’s input in breaking AltA into multiple proposals  This is the current situation.   * AltA: ZTE, vivo, Google, LG, OPPO, Huawei/HiSi, Xiaomi, Mavenir, Apple (1st pref), CATT, IDC, Spreadtrum, NEC (2nd pref), Nokia/NSB * AltB: Samsung, Ericsson, MediaTek, vivo, Qualcomm, DOCOMO, OPPO, Sharp, Lenovo, Apple (2nd pref), IDC, NEC (1st pref), CEWiT, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, | |
|  |  |  |

Table 6 Additional inputs: issue 3

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **PLEASE READ THE FL NOTES**   1. **Check and, if needed, update your view in Table 5A especially on the moderator proposals.** 2. **Share additional inputs here, if needed**   **More moderator proposals may be added in the next revision** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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