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## Introduction

The scope given in the Rel-18 NR Evolved MIMO WID pertaining to CSI enhancement is as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Study, and if justified, specify CSI reporting enhancement for high/medium UE velocities by exploiting time-domain correlation/Doppler-domain information to assist DL precoding, targeting FR1, as follows:    * Rel-16/17 Type-II codebook refinement, without modification to the spatial and frequency domain basis    * UE reporting of time-domain channel properties measured via CSI-RS for tracking 2. Study, and if justified, specify enhancements of CSI acquisition for Coherent-JT targeting FR1 and up to 4 TRPs, assuming ideal backhaul and synchronization as well as the same number of antenna ports across TRPs, as follows:    1. Rel-16/17 Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP targeting FDD and its associated CSI reporting, taking into account throughput-overhead trade-off |

## Summary of companies’ views

### Issue 1: Type-II codebook refinement for CJT

Table 1A Summary: issue 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 1.1 | [110] **Agreement**  On the Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, down-select from the following TRP selection/determination schemes (where N is the number of cooperating TRPs assumed in PMI reporting) by RAN1#110bis-e:   * Alt1. N is gNB-configured via higher-layer (RRC) signalling   + The N configured TRPs are gNB-configured via higher-layer (RRC) signalling   + Note: only one transmission hypothesis is reported * Alt2. N is UE-selected and reported as a part of CSI report where N{1,..., NTRP}   + N is the number of cooperating TRPs, while NTRP is the maximum number of cooperating TRPs configured by gNB   + In this case, the selection of N out of NTRP TRPs is also reported (FFS: exact reporting scheme)   + FFS: Configuration of NTRP TRPs and the value of NTRP, whether explicit or implicit   + Note: only one transmission hypothesis is reported. UE is not mandated to calculate CSI for multiple transmission hypotheses.   FFS: Whether S-TRP transmission hypothesis is also reported  **FL Note**: Companies have correctly pointed out that ***Alt2 (dynamic TRP selection by UE) can already be implemented in Alt1 using NZC selection (bitmap)* – hence there is no W2 overhead reduction from Alt2 compared to Alt1.** | **Alt1**: Huawei/HiSi, Google, CMCC, MediaTek, Samsung, AT&T, DOCOMO, Nokia/NSB,  **Alt2**: IDC, ZTE, Spreadtrum, vivo, Lenovo, OPPO, LG, CATT, Sony, NEC, Xiaomi, Apple, Ericsson, Qualcomm, CEWiT |
| 1.2 | [110] **Agreement**  On the Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, regarding W2 quantization group and Strongest Coefficient Indicator (SCI) design, for each layer, down-select one from the following alternatives by RAN1#110bis-e:   * Alt1. One group comprises one polarization across all TRPs/TRP-groups (*C*group,phase=1, *C*group,amp=2), one (common) SCI across all TRPs/TRP groups * Alt2. One group comprises one polarization for one TRP/TRP-group (*C*group,phase=N, *C*group,amp=2N), per-TRP/TRP-group SCI   + FFS: Quantization of N strongest coefficients * Alt3. One group comprises one polarization for one TRP/TRP-group with a common phase reference across TRPs/TRP-groups (*C*group,phase=1, *C*group,amp=2N)   + FFS: SCI, per-TRP/TRP-group vs. one (common) SCI across all TRPs/TRP groups   + FFS: Quantization of N strongest coefficients * Alt4. For a selected TRP/TRP-group, one group comprises one polarization, and for remaining N-1 TRPs/TRP-groups, one group comprises one polarization across remaining N-1 TRPs/TRP-groups (*C*group,amp=2+2=4), with a common phase reference across all of N TRPs/TRP-groups (*C*group,phase=1)   + FFS: The selected TRP/TRP-group   FFS: The need for “strongest” TRP/TRP-group indicator in addition to SCI(s)  **Proposal 1.B**: On the Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, regarding W2 quantization group and Strongest Coefficient Indicator (SCI) design, for each layer, further down-select one from the following alternatives by RAN1#110bis-e:   * Alt1. One group comprises one polarization across all TRPs/TRP-groups (*C*group,phase=1, *C*group,amp=2), one (common) SCI across all N CSI-RS resources * Alt3. One group comprises one polarization for one TRP/TRP-group with a common phase reference across TRPs/TRP-groups (*C*group,phase=1, *C*group,amp=2N), one (common) SCI across all N CSI-RS resources   FFS: The need for “strongest” TRP/TRP-group indicator in addition to the SCI  **FL Note**: Out of the 4 candidates, 2 candidates are supported by most companies. It is proposed to better focus our difficult discussion by comparing the 2 most supported candidates. The need for strongest TRP indicator (issue 1.3) will be decided after this is finalized. | **Alt1:** IDC, vivo, MediaTek, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, Apple, Samsung (2nd pref), DOCOMO (mode-2)  **Alt2:** ZTE, LG, CATT, DOCOMO (mode-1)  **Alt3:**   * **1 SCI**: Huawei/HiSi, Ericsson, Lenovo, Intel, Xiaomi, NEC, CMCC, AT&T, Qualcomm, Nokia/NSB, * **N SCIs**: ZTE, Spreadtrum, LG   **Alt4:** Samsung, AT&T |
| 1.3 | **Question**: Is “strongest CSI-RS resource indicator” needed given your preference on issue 1.2 (please also state your preference on issue 1.2)? | **The need for “strongest CSI-RS resource indicator (along with preference on issue 1.2):**   * **Yes:** ZTE, LG, CATT, Samsung, NEC, Xiaomi, CMCC * **No:** Huawei/HiSi, Ericsson, Nokia/NSB, |
| 1.4 | **Proposal 1.D**: On the Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, following legacy (Rel-16 regular eType-II and Rel-17 PS FeType-II), for a given CSI-RS resource:   * SD basis selection is layer-common and polarization-common, with *L*, *N*1, *N*2, *O*1, *O*2 defined per Rel-16 specification for refinement based on Rel-16 regular eType-II, and per Rel-17 specification for refinement based on Rel-17 PS FeType-II * FD basis selection is   + For refinement based on Rel-16 regular eType-II: per-layer with *M*v, *p*v, *N*3, and *R* defined per Rel-16 specification   + For refinement based on Rel-17 PS FeType-II: layer-common with *M*, *N*3, and *R* defined per Rel-17 specification   + FFS: Details on FD basis selection window   Note: The supported value(s) for each of the defined parameters are to be discussed separately (e.g. possibilities of adding new or removing existing value(s) in addition to those supported by legacy specification).  **FL Note**: This issue/proposal has been discussed OFFLINE [1] as offline proposal 1.1 | **Support/fine:** ZTE, Ericsson, MediaTek, vivo, Qualcomm, DOCOMO, Apple, Google, LG, OPPO, Xiaomi, Intel, Spreadtrum, NEC, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, Lenovo, Sharp, Samsung, IDC, Sony, CMCC, AT&T, Nokia/NSB  **Not support:** |
| 1.5 | **Proposal 1.E**: On the SD basis selection for Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, following legacy (Rel-16 regular eType-II and Rel-17 PS FeType-II), SD basis selection is per CSI-RS-resource.   * Down select from the following alternatives (RAN1#110bis-e):   + Alt1. Per-CSI-RS-resource *Ln* parameter     - TBD: Whether {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are higher-layer configured by gNB, or the total is higher-layer configured by gNB while {*Ln*, *n*=1, ..., *N*} are reported by the UE   + Alt2. Common *L* parameter for all *N* CSI-RS resources   **FL Note**: This issue/proposal has been discussed OFFLINE [1] as offline proposal 1.2 | **Support/fine:** ZTE, Ericsson, Samsung, MediaTek, vivo, DOCOMO, LG, OPPO, Huawei/HiSi, Intel, Spreadtrum, Apple, NEC, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, Lenovo, Sharp, Xiaomi, IDC, Sony, vivo, Google, Intel, NEC, Apple, CMCC, AT&T, Nokia/NSB  **Not support:** |
| 1.6 | **Proposal 1.F**: On the Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, following legacy (Rel-16 regular eType-II and Rel-17 PS FeType-II), regarding the location of non-zero coefficients (NZCs) indicated by bitmap (following legacy mechanism), for each layer, support separate bitmaps for all *N* CSI-RS resources   * Total size = where is the bitmap size for CSI-RS resource *n*   + TBD: Whether ( for mode 2) analogous to legacy, or further reduction of bitmap size is supported.   + FFS: Depending on the outcome of other issues, whether or * FFS: Per-CSI-RS-resource NNZC (number of NZCs) constraint vs. joint NNZC constraint across *N* CSI-RS-resources   **FL Note**: This issue/proposal has been discussed OFFLINE [1] as offline proposal 1.3 | **Support/fine:** ZTE, Ericsson, MediaTek, Samsung, vivo, Qualcomm, DOCOMO, Apple, Google, LG, OPPO, Huawei/HiSi, Xiaomi, Intel, Spreadtrum, NEC, CATT, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, IDC, Lenovo, Sharp, IDC, Sony, CMCC, AT&T, Nokia/NSB  **Not support:** |
| 1.7 | Constraint on the (maximum) number of NZCs (K0) **for each layer**:   * Alt1. K0 is defined per-CSI-RS-resource * Alt2. K0 is defined jointly across all N CSI-RS resources | **Alt1 (per resource):**  **Alt2 (joint):** vivo, Intel, Samsung, MediaTek, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, Qualcomm |
| 1.8 | **Proposal 1.H**: For the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP,   * Only aperiodic CSI reporting is supported (following legacy Rel-16 and Rel-17 spec) * An associated Resource Setting includes a CMR comprising *K*>1 NZP CSI-RS resources from one CSI-RS resource set   + Periodic, semi-persistent, and aperiodic NZP CSI-RS are supported   + The supported CSI-RS resource parameter settings follow the legacy specification (without additional enhancement)   + FFS: Whether or not the K NZP CSI-RS resources are constrained to be in the same slot   **FL Note**: This basically follows the legacy spec re Type-II codebook (only A-CSI is supported) and reuses the legacy CSI-RS.  The use of K>1 NZP CSI-RS resources has been agreed in RAN1#110 | **Support/fine:** Google, LG,  **Not support:** |
| 1.9 | [110] **Agreement**  For the Rel-18 Type-II codebook for CJT mTRP, support the following two modes:   * Mode 1: Per-TRP/TRP-group SD/FD basis selection which allows independent FD basis selection across N TRPs / TRP groups. Example formulation (*N* = number of TRPs or TRP groups): * Mode 2: Per-TRP/TRP group (port-group or resource) SD basis selection and joint/common (across *N* TRPs) FD basis selection. Example formulation (*N* = number of TRPs or TRP groups): * Striving for the two modes to share commonality in detailed designs such as parameter combinations, basis selection, TRP (group) selection, reference amplitude, W2 quantization schemes. * FFS: Depending on the decision on SCI design, whether additional per-TRP/TRP-group amplitude scaling and/or co-phase is needed or not, and whether they are a part of W2s   [109-e] **Agreement**  For the Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, further study the following issues:   * The need for the following additional parameters:   + …   + Indication of relative offset of reference FD basis per TRP with respect to a reference TRP   + …   Some companies suggest to use per-CSI-RS-resource FD basis offset (relative to a reference CSI-RS resource) for “per-TRP/TRP-group” FD basis selection in mode 1. | **Per-CSI-RS-resource FD basis offset (relative to a reference CSI-RS resource) for “per-TRP/TRP-group” FD basis selection in mode-1:**   * **Support/fine**: Huawei/HiSi, ZTE, Xiaomi, Ericsson, Samsung, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, [Qualcomm], Nokia/NSB * **Not support**:   **For mode-1, the number of FD basis vectors (Mv relared to pv for Rel-16, M for Rel-17) is:**   * **TRP-common**: Huawei/HiSi, Samsung * **TRP-specific**:   **Switching between mode-1 and mode-2 is gNB-configured via higher-layer signalling:**   * **Support/fine**: Xiaomi, Samsung * **Not support**: |
| 1.10 | The need for new UCI/PMI-related parameters:  [109-e] **Agreement**  For the Type-II codebook refinement for CJT mTRP, further study the following issues:   * The need for the following additional parameters:   + Receiver side information by per RX reporting or per layer, e.g. information related to the left singular matrix U of the channel   + …   + Information related to the windows for FD basis   + Delay/frequency difference(s) across TRPs   … | **RX side info:** Huawei/HiSi, ZTE, Sony  **FD basis window info:** ZTE, Xiaomi, LG  **Per-TRP delay/frequency offset:** Fraunhofer IIS/HHI (N-1 relative delay offsets), Ericsson (in a phase form) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Table 1B Type II CJT: summary of observation from SLS

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **SLS results** | | |
| **Issue #** | **Metric** | **Observation** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Summary**: | | | |

Table 2 Additional inputs: issue 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | 1. **Check and, if needed, update your view in Table 1A especially on the moderator proposals** 2. **Share additional inputs here, if needed** 3. **More moderator proposals may be added in the next revision** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Issue 2: Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium UE velocities (with time/Doppler-domain compression)

Table 3A Summary: issue 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 2.1 | [109-e] **Agreement**  The work scope of Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities includes refinement of the following codebooks, based on a common design framework:   * Rel-16 eType-II regular codebook * Rel-17 FeType-II port selection (PS) codebook   FFS: Whether to prioritize/down-select from the two  **Proposal 2.A**: The Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities comprises refinement of the following codebooks:   * Refinement of the Rel-16 eType-II regular codebook * Refinement of the Rel-17 FeType-II port selection (PS) codebook, based on the same design details as the Refinement of the Rel-16 eType-II regular codebook, except for the supported set of parameter combinations   + Time-/Doppler-domain reciprocity is not assumed   **FL Note**: This proposal has been discussed in RAN1#110 | **Support (equal priority for) both Rel-16 eType-II and Rel-17 FeType-II:** Huawei/HiSi, ZTE (Rel-16 first), Fraunhofer IIS/HHI  **Down-select to only Rel-16 eType-II:** Apple, DOCOMO, MediaTek, NEC, Xiaomi, Samsung, Lenovo, Intel (if Rel-17, no DD reciprocity), Xiaomi. Qualcomm, Apple, DOCOMO, Ericsson, Nokia/NSB, LG, Spreadtrum, CMCC  **Proposal 2.A:**   * **Support/fine:** * **Not support (Rel-16 only):** |
| 2.2 | Supported RI values  **Proposal 2.B**: For the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, support RI={1,2,3,4}. | **Support/fine:** Xiaomi, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, Apple, Samsung,  **Not support (1,2 first – 3,4 FFS):** Lenovo, Intel |
| 2.3 | **Proposal 2.C**: For the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, down-select at least one from the following codebooks structures (by RAN1#110bis-e):   * Alt1: Doppler-domain orthogonal DFT basis commonly selected for all SD/FD bases reusing the legacyand *,* e.g.   + TBD (by RAN1#110bis): whether rotation is used or not   + FFS: identical or different rotation factors for different SD components * Alt3. Doppler-domain basis is the identity (no Doppler-domain compression) reusing the legacy *, ,* and *, e.g.*   In addition:   * Note: Detailed designs for SD/FD bases including the associated UCI parameters follow the legacy specification * FFS: Whether one CSI reporting instance includes multiple and a single and report. * FFS: Whether Doppler-/time-domain (DD/TD) basis vector length (N4) is RRC-configured or reported by the UE * FFS: Whether the number of selected DD/TD basis vectors (for Alt1) is RRC-configured or reported by the UE   **FL Note**: This issue/proposal has been discussed OFFLINE [1] as offline proposal 2.1 | **Support/fine:** Samsung, ZTE, MediaTek, vivo, Qualcomm, Apple, LG, OPPO, Huawei/HiSi, Xiaomi, Intel, Spreadtrum, DOCOMO, NEC, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, Lenovo, Sharp, Ericsson, Google, MediaTek, vivo, CATT, IDC, Sony, CMCC, Nokia/NSB, CEWiT  **Not support:** |
| 2.4 | **Proposal 2.D**: For the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, support the following codebook structure where N4 is gNB-configured via higher-layer signaling:   * For N4=[1], Doppler-domain basis is the identity (no Doppler-domain compression) reusing the legacy *, ,* and *, e.g.* * For N4>[1], Doppler-domain orthogonal DFT basis commonly selected for all SD/FD bases reusing the legacyand *,* e.g.   + TBD (by RAN1#110bis): whether rotation is used or not   + FFS: identical or different rotation factors for different SD components   + FFS: Whether the number of selected DD/TD basis vectors (denoted as *Q* at least for discussion purposes)) is RRC-configured or reported by the UE   Note: Detailed designs for SD/FD bases including the associated UCI parameters follow the legacy specification  FFS: Whether one CSI reporting instance includes multiple and a single and report.  **FL Note**: This issue/proposal has been discussed OFFLINE [1] as offline proposal 2.2 | **Proposal 1.D:**   * **Support/fine:** Samsung, ZTE, Ericsson, Qualcomm, Apple, Google, OPPO, Huawei/HiSi, Intel, Spreadtrum, CATT, DOCOMO, NEC, [Fraunhofer IIS/HHI], Sharp, IDC, vivo, Sony, MediaTek, Nokia/NSB, CEWiT * **Not support:**   **Rotation factor for DFT basis:**   * **No:** Huawei/HiSi, Xiaomi, Ericsson, Qualcomm * **Yes (details FFS):** Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, ZTE, Samsung |
| 2.5 | **Proposal 2.E**: On the CSI reporting and measurement for the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, when UE-side prediction is assumed, support UE “predicting” channel/CSI after the slot with a reference resource (*l* ≥ *n*ref) where the location of CSI reference resource is configured (from multiple candidate values) by gNB via higher-layer signalling   * Candidates of CSI reference resource location include the legacy slot location (*n* – *nCSI,ref* ) and slot *n* * FFS: Possible value(s) of WCSI   **FL Note**: This issue/proposal has been discussed OFFLINE [1] as offline proposal 2.3 | **Support/fine:** Samsung, vivo, Qualcomm, DOCOMO, Lenovo, IDC, ZTE, Spreadtrum, vivo, [LG], CATT, Intel, NEC, Xiaomi, CMCC, MediaTek, [Ericsson], [Nokia/NSB]  **Not support:** Fraunhofer IIS/HHI (only legacy slot) |
| 2.6 | **Question**: In addition to the already agreed assumption of UE-side prediction, can the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities be used with the following assumption?   1. Legacy UE procedure for CSI measurement/calculation (the only spec impact would be to enable this as an option for CSI measurement/calculation. If proposal 2.E is agreed, the answer to this question is “yes” at least for W\_CSI=1) 2. gNB-side prediction (to be incorporated in the spec, assumed by the UE in CSI measurement/calculation)   **FL Note**: This proposal has been discussed in RAN1#110. | **Legacy:**   * **Yes:** * **No:**   **gNB-side prediction (to be specified, assumed by the UE in CSI measurement/calculation):**   * **Yes**: Google, CATT, Xiaomi, * **No**: Samsung |
| 2.7 | CSI-RS resource types/structures **supported** for measurement (discussion on whether/how the legacy Resource setting needs enhancement will take place in later rounds)  **Proposal 2.G**: On the CSI reporting and measurement for the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, support the following CSI-RS resource types/structures for CMR:   * Time-domain behaviour for NZP CSI-RS resource: periodic, semi-persistent, aperiodic * The use of K≥1 NZP CSI-RS resources:   + FFS: details   [109-e] **Agreement**  On potential refinement of Resource setting configuration associated with Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, study the following options to assess whether/how the legacy Resource setting configuration needs to be enhanced for “burst” measurement:   * Periodic (P) CSI-RS: periodicity and offset * Semi-persistent (SP) CSI-RS: activation/deactivation, periodicity, and offset * Aperiodic (AP) CSI-RS: triggering, offset of a group of AP CSI-RS resources   FFS: Support for K>1 NZP CSI-RS resources association with Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities  FFS: Whether specification support for jointly utilizing two types of CSI-RS time-domain behaviors is needed  **FL Note**: This proposal has been discussed in RAN1#110 | **Proposal 2.G:**   * **Support:** Google, Samsung, Nokia/NSB, Lenovo, DOCOMO, MediaTek, Qualcomm, LG, Spreadtrum, ZTE, Xiaomi, NEC, OPPO, CATT, CMCC, Sharp, Apple, Huawei/HiSi, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI * **Not support:** vivo (concern on AP) |
| 2.8 | **Proposal 2.H**: For the Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, only aperiodic CSI reporting is supported (following legacy Rel-16 and Rel-17 spec)  **FL Note**: This basically follows the legacy Rel-16/17 spec re Type-II codebook and reuses the legacy CSI-RS | **Support/fine:**  **Not support:** |
| 2.9 | **Proposal 2.I:** For the Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, the per-layer 2-dimensional bitmap for indicating the location of NZCs used in Rel-16/17 Type-II is extended to a per-layer 3-dimensional bitmap   * The third dimension is associated with the number of selected DD basis vectors (denoted as *Q* at least for discussion purposes) | **Support/fine:**  **Not support:** |
| 2.10 | DD basis selection:   * Alt1. Per layer,   + The number of selected DD basis vector (denoted as *Q*) is layer-common * Alt2. Layer-common   **FL Note**: The above alternatives are analogous to legacy principle for SD/FD compression. | **Alt1:** Intel  **Alt2:** |
| 2.11 | For one CSI reporting instance associated with the Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, for a given CQI sub-band and a given layer, how many CQIs (sampled across time-/Doppler-domain) are included? | **Only 1:** Google, Qualcomm  **≥1 (configurable):** ZTE, NEC, Samsung, Ericsson, Nokia/NSB, Huawei/HiSi |
| 2.12 | [110] **Agreement**  For the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium velocities, support DD/TD (compression) unit (analogous to PMI sub-band for Rel-16 codebook) as a codebook parameter.   * FFS: whether this parameter is defined as a function of another parameter * FFS: whether this is used for PMI only, or PMI/CQI | **PMI only:** Huawei/HiSi, ZTE, MediaTek,  **PMI and CQI (common vs independent FFS):** Samsung |
|  |  |  |

Table 3B Type II Doppler: summary of observation from SLS

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **SLS results** | | |
| **Issue #** | **Metric** | **Observation** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Summary**: | | | |

Table 4 Additional inputs: issue 2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | 1. **Check and, if needed, update your view in Table 3A, especially on the moderator proposals** 2. **Share additional inputs here, if needed** 3. **More moderator proposals may be added in the next revision** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Issue 3: TRS-based reporting of time-domain channel properties (TDCP)

Table 5A Summary: issue 3

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 3.1 | [110] **Agreement**  For the Rel-18 TRS-based TDCP reporting, down select one of the following alternatives by RAN1#110bis-e:   * AltA. Based on Doppler profile   + E.g., Doppler spread derived from the 2nd moment of Doppler power spectrum, average Doppler shifts, Doppler shift per resource, maximum Doppler shift, relative Doppler shift, etc * AltB. Based on time-domain correlation profile   + E.g. Correlation within one TRS resource, correlation across multiple TRS resources   + Note: The correlation over one or more lags of TRS resource may be considered. The lags may be within one TRS burst or different TRS bursts * AltC: CSI-RS resource and/or CSI reporting setting configuration parameter(s) to assist network   + E.g. gNB configures UE with multiple choices on what to assist (e.g. two or more CSI-RS/report periodicities, or precoding schemes depending mainly on UE velocity), then UE report according to configuration; parameters correspond to CSI reporting periodicity, codebook type, etc.   Note: Different alternatives may or may not apply to different use cases  **Proposal 3.A**: For the Rel-18 TRS-based TDCP reporting, down select one of the following alternatives by RAN1#110bis-e:   * AltA. Based on Doppler profile   + E.g., Doppler spread derived from the 2nd moment of Doppler power spectrum, average Doppler shifts, Doppler shift per resource, maximum Doppler shift, relative Doppler shift, etc * AltB. Based on *quantized amplitude of* time-domain correlation profile   + E.g. Correlation within one TRS resource, correlation across multiple TRS resources   + Note: The correlation over one or more lags of TRS resource may be considered. The lags may be within one TRS burst or different TRS bursts   Note: Different alternatives may or may not apply to different use cases  **FL Note**: This issue/proposal has been discussed OFFLINE [1] as offline proposal 3.1  This is the current situation.   * AltA: Samsung (2nd pref), ZTE, vivo, Google, LG, OPPO, Huawei/HiSi, Xiaomi, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, Mavenir, Apple (1st pref), CATT, IDC, Spreadtrum, NEC (2nd pref), Nokia/NSB * AltB: Samsung (1st pref), Ericsson, MediaTek, vivo, Qualcomm, DOCOMO, LG, OPPO, Sharp, Lenovo, Apple (2nd pref), IDC, NEC (1st pref), CEWiT | **Proposal 3.A:**   * **Support/fine**: Samsung, ZTE, vivo, Google, LG, OPPO, Huawei/HiSi, Xiaomi, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, Mavenir, Apple, CATT, Ericsson, MediaTek, vivo, Qualcomm, DOCOMO, OPPO, Sharp, Lenovo, Sony, Nokia/NSB * **Not support**: |
| 3.2 | Whether the following time-domain behaviour of TDCP reporting is supported:   * Periodic * Semi-persistent * Event-triggered (UE-initiated)   Note: Aperiodic TDCP reporting has been agreed in RAN1#110  **FL Note**: This can be decided after 3.1 is finalized. | **Periodic:**   * **Yes:** * **No:** Spreadtrum, Samsung, MediaTek   **Semi-persistent:**   * **Yes:** Lenovo, * **No:** Spreadtrum, Samsung, MediaTek   **Event-triggered/UE-initiated via UL MAC CE:**   * **Yes:** Samsung, MediaTek * **No:** |
| 3.3 | Whether using >1 TRS resources for TDCP measurement is supported in addition to only 1 TRS resource  **FL Note**: This can be decided after 3.1 is finalized. | **Yes:**  **No:** |
|  |  |  |

Table 5B TDCP: summary of observation from LLS/SLS

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **LLS/SLS results** | | |
| **Issue #** | **Metric** | **Observation** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Summary**: | | | |

Table 6 Additional inputs: issue 3

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | 1. **Check and update your view in Table 5A, especially on the moderator proposals** 2. **Share additional inputs here if needed** 3. **More moderator proposals may be added in the next revision** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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