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# 1 Introduction

This document captures the RAN1#109-e email discussion [109-e-R18-Duplex-01] for the TR skeleton for the study item “Study on evolution of NR duplex operation” with SID in RP-220633. Companies are invited to enter their comments on the TR skeleton below.

# 2 Draft TR skeleton

A draft TR skeleton has been provided by the rapporteur in R1-2205187 (it can also be found in the draft folder ‘Inbox/drafts/9.3/draftSkeleton’) and presented in an online (GTW) session in RAN1#109e. The structure of the draft TR skeleton is inspired by TR 36.828.

# 3 Discussion

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| QC | **Comment #1**: The description of section 6.1 should be updated to include the feasibility aspects of SBFD to reflect the SID description.

|  |
| --- |
| 6.1 SBFD feasibility and schemesEditor’s note: This section captures the general aspects of SBFD feasibility and schemes except the inter-gNB and inter-UE CLI handling schemes, which are captured in a separate section. |

**Comment #2**: Similar to the structure of section 6 of SBFD, Section 8 should have one more item on “Dynamic TDD schemes and feasibility”. This is needed to make sure we are aligned to the SID. Other schemes for dynamic TDD such as subband half-duplex (SBHD) as discussed in our tdoc R1-2205032 could be captured in that section.

|  |
| --- |
| The detailed objectives are as follows:* Identify applicable and relevant deployment scenarios (RAN1).
* Develop evaluation methodology for duplex enhancement (RAN1).
* Study the subband non-overlapping full duplex and potential enhancements on dynamic/flexible TDD (RAN1, RAN4).
* Identify possible schemes and evaluate their feasibility and performances (RAN1).
 |

**Comment #3**For section 7 and section 9, we think that FR1 and FR2 evaluation methodology and performance analysis should be captured independently in two different sections. **Comment #4**For section 6.2 and 8.1 on cross-link interference, it should be clarified how to handle the common and SBFD specific enhancement or dynamic-TDD specific enhancements.**Comment #5**It is preferred to have separate and dedicated section for new channel models of BS-BS channel model and UE-UE. And additional section capturing the model of the different components of self-interference and inter-SB modelling. These models are new to RAN1/RAN4 and should be captured in separate sections. **Comment #6**As commented online, there could be link-budget analysis and link-level analysis in addition to SLS. Based on the outcome of RAN1 discussion, these evaluation methods should be added in addition to the SLS. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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