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# Introduction

This contribution is a summary of the discussion to identify maintenance issues for Rel-17 NR coverage enhancements in RAN1#109-e.

# Discussion

## AI 8.8.1

#### Enhancements on PUSCH repetition type A

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issues** | **Related contributions** | **Initial assessment** |
| **Issue#1**: Frequency hopping for PUSCH scheduled by RAR UL grant or DCI format 0\_0 | R1-2203095, R1-2203191, R1-2203439, R1-2203610, R1-2203994, R1-2204212, R1-2204278, R1-2204664, R1-2204871 | **[Medium Priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e.This issue has been discussed for a couple of meetings without achieving consensus on any particular TP. Recommend firstly checking if FL’s proposed conclusion from the last meeting is acceptable to everyone. |
| **Issue#2**: DL throughput degradation for PUSCH repetitions due to limited range of RRC *dL-DataToUL-ACK* and UCI multiplexing restriction | R1-2203095 | [Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e.]The issue is valid. Companies are invited their views on whether this issue should be discussed in RAN1#109-e or not. |
| **Issue#3**: Available slot counting for Inter-cell multi-TRPs | R1-2203191, R1-2203521, R1-2203869, R1-2204089, R1-2204278, R1-2204527, R1-2204548, R1-2204664, R1-2204775, R1-2204871 | **[High Priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e.This discussion has been deferred, and now is the time to re-open since there was some related progress in MIMO session in the last meeting. |
| **Issue#4**: SSB for Available slot counting in CA | R1-2203521 | Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e.The current spec seems clear enough. |
| **Issue#5**: Restriction on K2 offset for DG-PUSCH with K>1 and Out-of-order handling when AvailableSlotCounting is enabled | R1-2203610, R1-2203994, R1-2204657, R1-2204664 | **[High Priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. At least, it is important to confirm the common understanding on this issue in order to avoid different assumptions between UE and gNB. |
| **Issue#6**: Editorial change on TB repetition across N\*K slots | R1-2203791 | Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e.The current spec seems clear enough. |
| **Issue#7**: Editorial correction on available slot counting for RedCap HD UE | R1-2204664, R1-2204775, R1-2204871, R1-2204990 | **[Low Priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. This correction does not require much discussion/effort. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Regarding issue#2, it is surely in scope because the Rel-17 feature PUSCH repetition with up to 32 repetitions in current spec has severe degradation of DL throughput which is unacceptable to practical network. For example, as shown in figure 2 of R1-2203095, **11 DL slots** cannot be scheduled if the UE is scheduled for PUSCH repetitions with **only** **6 available UL slots** (equivalently scheduled 22 physical slots). The larger repetition number, the more degradation of DL throughput. It is a severe issue to Rel-17 PUSCH repetition feature. Therefore, a discussion is necessary. Since larger range of RRC *dL-DataToUL-ACK* is not a complete solution, nor the only potential solution, we suggest to rename the issue as “DL throughput degradation for PUSCH repetitions due to limited range of RRC *dL-DataToUL-ACK* and UCI multiplexing restriction”Additionally, since TBoMS relies on slot counting based on available slots, the same issue also impacts on TBoMS severely. We suggest to discuss it for PUSCH repetition first, then determine whether it can fully be reused to TBoMS or any additional impact is necessary. |
| FL(Sharp) | @Huawei: Thanks for the explanation. The issue you raised seems valid. So, I updated the initial assessment on Issue#2, accordingly. At the same time, I’m still wondering if the extension of *dL-DataToUL-ACK* can mitigate DL throughput degradation, because the number for DL HARQ processes is anyway limited. Let’s see other’s views. |
| ZTE | Except for the recommended issues, we are also open to discuss Issue#2 to address the practical deployment issue, and Issue#4 for better clarity for CA case.  |
| InterDigital | OK to discuss Issue#2. Fine with proposal. |
| Nokia/NSB | The initial assessment looks good to us. We are also fine to discuss Issue#2. |

#### TB processing over multi-slot PUSCH

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issues** | **Related contributions** | **Initial assessment** |
| **Issue #1**: Removing the condition that AvailableSlotCounting is enabled for TBoMS | R1-2203191, R1-2203610, R1-2203791, R1-2204871, R1-2203095, R1-2204775 | **[High priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e.In Rel-17, the number of slots for TBoMS is always counted based on the available slots without any dependency on a RRC parameter. TP may be needed for capturing this correctly. |
| **Issue #2**: MAC layer may not generate MAC PDU for CG PUSCH with TBoMS when there is overlapping with DG PUSCH.  | R1-2203191 | Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e.The agreements in RAN1 are clear. This should be part of normative works in RAN2. |
| **Issue #3**: Multiplexing of HARQ-ACK on TBoMS following UL T-DAI in case without overlapping PUCCH since DL DCI is missed. | R1-2203191 | **[Low priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. |
| **Issue #4:** Support of Rel-17 coverage enhancement techniques in unlicensed band. | R1-2203837, R1-2203869 | Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e.Whether CovEnh techniques are applicable for unlisenced band or not should not be discussed in the maintenance of CovEnh itself. In addition, TBoMS is a PUSCH transmission with a single TB and single HARQ process number, the use of this NR-U feature for TBoMS seems to be irrelevant. |
| **Issue #5**: TP for available slot determination for TBoMS in CG-PUSCH | R1-2204664, R1-2204775 | **[High priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. |
| **Issue #6**: Out-of-order handling for TBoMS | R1-2204775 | Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e.The same issue, if any, seems to exist in Rel-15/16 as well. Therefore, it should be discussed in Rel-15/16 maintenance. Rel-17 can simply follow the existing handling. |
| **Issue #7:** CSI report on TBoMS | R1-2204775 | **[High priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| ZTE | For Issue#2, we don’t think previous RAN1 agreement/conclusion is clear enough for collision handling between DG PUSCH and CG TBoMS. Take the following two collision cases for example, it is much appreciated if FL or any other companies could share your views on the expected UE behavior. Figure 1 Overlapping between DG PUSCH and CG TBoMSFrom our understanding, RAN1 needs to first clarify the intended behavior for such collision and then inform RAN2 if any RAN2 impact is identified.  |
| InterDigital | Fine with proposal. @ZTE: Agree with moderator that this is RAN2 issue. RAN2 can discuss it without receiving LS from RAN1. |
| FL (Nokia) | @ZTE: Thank you for bringing up the scenario! From FL perspective, the following RAN1 agreement should be enough to clarify the behavior from RAN1 perspective. RAN2 should consider this in their normative work and ask RAN1 if further clarification is needed. I would like to encourage companies to provide your views on Issue#2 raised by ZTE. Agreement (RAN1#106-e)The UE determines whether or not to drop a slot determined as available for TBoMS transmission according to Rel-15/16 PUSCH dropping rules, where the dropped slot is still counted in the N allocated slots for the single TBoMS transmission.FFS: Rel-17 PUSCH dropping rules are also applied if introduced in other WI(s) |

#### Type A PUSCH repetitions for Msg3

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issues** | **Related contributions** | **Initial assessment** |
| **Issue#1**: Handling of the working assumption on CFRA PUSCH repetition  | R1-2203610, R1-2203791, R1-2204089, R1-2204278, R1-2204349, R1-2204775, R1-2204871 | **[High Priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. |
| **Issue#2**: TP for Msg3 PUSCH repetition in section 8.3 of TS38.213 | R1-2203791 | Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. The current specification texts are technically correct, and the proposed TP is not essential.  |
| **Issue#3**: Collision handling between SSB and Msg3 PUSCH transmission for HD-FDD UE | R1-2204278  | Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e.RAN1 has agreed to reuse the decision from RedCap WI, i.e., SSB is prioritized in case of the collision. No specification impact is expected.  |
| **Issue#4**: Available slot counting for Msg3 repetition with K=1  | R1-2204990  | Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. If a UE requests Msg3 repetition, it means it can support Msg3 repetition and gNB can indicate a repetition factor equal to or larger than 1 subject to RRC configuration. If a UE does not request Msg3 repetition, gNB can only schedule Msg3 without repetition. There is no ambiguity on whether a Msg3 transmission would be transmitted or not. The proposed TP is not needed.  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| InterDigital | Fine with proposal. |
| Nokia/NSB | We are fine with the initial assessment. |

## AI 8.8.2

#### Joint channel estimation for PUSCH

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issues** | **Related contributions** | **Initial assessment** |
| **Issue#1**: Group common TPC commands handling for DMRS bundling for PUSCH and PUCCH. | R1-2203192, R1-2203611, R1-2204350, R1-2204991, R1-2203652, R1-2204090, R1-2204213, R1-2204279, R1-2204513, R1-2204872, R1-2203096, R1-2203309, R1-2203402, R1-2203440, R1-2203522, R1-2203870, R1-2204776 | **[High priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. |
| **Issue#2**: Clarification on UE behavior of restarting DMRS bundling with respect to multiple semi-static and dynamic events within one nominal TDW. | R1-2203611, R1-2203652, R1-2204090, R1-2204279, R1-2204872, R1-2203402, R1-2203522 | **[High priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. |
| **Issue#3**: Clarification on the description of maximum duration in RAN1 and RAN2 specifications. | R1-2203611 | **[Low priority]** Suggest to discuss related issue only in RAN1 specifications in RAN1#109-e. |
| **Issue#4**: Clarification on candidate values for maximum duration. | R1-2203611, R1-2203612 | Suggest to be discussed in UE feature session AI 8.16.8. |
| **Issue#5**: Clarification on DMRS bundling in unlicensed band. | R1-2203870 | Suggest to be discussed in UE feature session AI 8.2.5. |
| **Issue#6**: Clarification on the interpretation of $K\_{PUSCH}(i)$$K\_{PUSCH}(i)$ for DG-PUSCH repetitions for accumulated TPC command and clarification on the timeline $K\_{PUSCH}(i)$ for absolute TPC mode. | R1-2204513 | Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. This issue was extensively discussed in Rel-15 maintenance in RAN1 #108-e, no consensus has been reached and no further discussion is expected. |
| **Issue#7**: Clarification on UE behaviour about performing the same precoder of precoding cycling within an actual TDW. | R1-2203402 | Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. This issues was proposed and discussed during the past meetings, it seems to be a common understanding that precoder cannot be changed within one actual TDW. |
| **Issue#8**: Other minor clarification/correction issues.**Issue#8-1**: Editorial issue on “power control parameters” in TS 38.214.**Issue#8-2**: Alignment on RRC parameter ‘[maxDMRS-BundlingDuration]’ in TS 38.214 with RAN2 spec. | R1-2203096, R1-2203192 | **[Low priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| InterDigital | Suggest to not discuss #8-1 since specification is already clear. #8-2 seems dependent on the outcome of issue #1. |
| Nokia/NSB | We are fine with the initial assessment. |

#### PUCCH enhancements

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issues** | **Related contributions** | **Initial assessment** |
| **Issue#1**: Correction/Clarification on frequency hopping for DMRS bundling for PUCCH and PUSCH. | R1-2204991, R1-2204872, R1-2203096, R1-2203522, R1-2204776, R1-2203440, R1-2203193 | **[High priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. |
| **Issue#2**: Clarification on inter-slot frequency hopping for Msg3 when DMRS bundling is enabled. | R1-2204513 | **[Low priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. |
| **Issue#3**: Clarification on Rel-17 inter-slot frequency hopping when frequency hopping interval is configured but DMRS bundling is not enabled. | R1-2204872, R1-2204873 | **[Low priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. |
| **Issue#4**: Clarification on the interrelationship between the length of hopping interval and that of nominal TDW. | R1-2204549 | Suggest **NOT** to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. Based on the agreement in RAN1 #107, the hopping interval and nominal TDW are separately configured, while the nominal TDW was determined followed by the determination of hopping interval. It seems this issue is clear. |
| **Issue#5**: Clarification on dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication for HARQ-ACK of first SPS PDSCH associated with the activation DCI and SPS release DCI. | R1-2203309, R1-2204957, R1-2203193 | **[Medium priority]** Suggest to be discussed in RAN1#109-e. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| InterDigital | Fine with proposal. |
| Nokia/NSB | We are fine with the initial assessment. |

# Conclusion
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