Companies are to share their inputs on the excel spreadsheet in /tsg\_ran/WG1\_RL1/TSGR1\_107-e/Inbox/drafts/8.1.2.4/RRC/.

## Inputs on version 00

Please share your inputs, if any, in the following table

Table 1 Inputs: Initial version

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Moderator | 1. I have updated RRC parameter for configuration of TRP-based pre-compensation scheme by removing FR1 only restrcition.2. I have marked row 5 for RRC parameter ‘twoQclTypeDPdcchSfn’ in red. It has ‘unstable’ status from last RAN1 meeting. Would be great to decide on necessity of this parameter during this meeting. |
| Ericsson | On the row 5, we haven’t yet discussed this during this meeting. The question is weather we need an additional RRC parameter for this QCL assumption. Is there a need for gNB to configure the behaviour or is UE going to support the combination of different configurations? Would it be equavilent to sfnSchemeA or sfnSchemeB is configured for PDCCH? |
| vivo | Regarding row 5, we think this RRC parameter is unnecessary. UE can know how many TCI states are activated for the two overlapping CORESETs by MAC CE, then whether UE identifies one or two QCL-TypeD properties depends on the following agreed prioritization rule.**Agreement**When a CORESET is activated with two TCI states which overlaps with another CORESET, support PDCCH monitoring of PDCCH candidates in overlapping monitoring occasions with QCL-TypeD properties identified according to prioritization rule* Reuse Rel-15 prioritization to identify the first CORESET, i.e., SS type > serving cell index > SS set ID
	+ If the CORESET has two TCI states with QCL-typeD, both QCL-typeD are identified**.**
	+ If the CORESET has one TCI state with QCL-typeD, the second QCL-typeD is not identified
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## Inputs on version xx

Please share your inputs, if any, in the following table
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