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# 1 Introduction

RAN1 received an LS from RAN2 on TEI16-introduced half-duplex operation
[R1-2100023](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2100023.zip) *LS on half-duplex operation*, RAN2 (Nokia), with the following

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Overall Description:**RAN2 noticed that while the capability *half-DuplexTDD-CA-SameSCS-r16* (corresponding to RAN1 feature group 14-5) was introduced in RAN2#111-e, the corresponding configuration parameter (which was included in the RAN1 feature list in R1-2003190) has mistakenly not been introduced in the September 2020 version of the RRC specification (V16.2.0).RAN2 would like to inform RAN1 that the configuration parameter *directionalCollisionHandling-r16* has now been introduced as part of *ServingCellConfig*, i.e. as a per-serving cell parameter. RAN2 also thought that the parameter should only apply within the same frequency range and cell group: That is, only the cells configured with *directionalCollisionHandling-r16* within the same cell group and frequency range are considered for determining the corresponding R16 half-duplex behavior for each case. RAN2 would like to ask whether there should be additional network restrictions: currently the RAN2 field description assumes this would only apply to TDD CA for cells with the same SCS, but it was not clear if it would always apply to all TDD CA cells with the same SCS or whether it's up to network it is configured only for some cells? **2. Actions:****To RAN WG1 group.****ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully asks RAN1 to take the configuration parameter details into account in their specifications. RAN2 would also like to ask if the half-duplex operation should always apply to all TDD cells in the same cell group, or whether network can choose for which cells it applies within a cell group? |

6 contributions related to the LS were submitted to AI5:

* [R1-2100085](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2100085.zip) [DRAFT] Reply LS on half-duplex operation ZTE
* [R1-2100319](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2100319.zip) Draft reply LS on half-duplex operation CATT
* [R1-2100504](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2100504.zip) On half-duplex operation Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell
* [R1-2101158](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2101158.zip) Discussion on Configuration for CA Half duplex operation vivo
* [R1-2101163](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2101163.zip) Draft reply LS on half-duplex operation Samsung
* [R1-2101745](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_104-e/Docs/R1-2101745.zip) Discussion on LS on half-duplex operation Huawei, HiSilicon

# 2 Proposals submitted to AI5 related to the LS

## 2.1 R1-2100085

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Overall Description:**RAN1 would like to thank RAN2 for the LS R1-210023 (R2-2010809) on half-duplex operation. RAN1 confirms that only the cells configured with *directionalCollisionHandling-r16* within the same cell group and frequency range are considered for determining the corresponding R16 half-duplex behavior for each case. From RAN1 perspective, network can choose for which cell(s) the half-duplex operation applies within a cell group.**2. Actions:****To RAN2 group.****ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully asks RAN2 to take the above information into account. |

## 2.2 R1-2100319

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Overall Description:**RAN1 thanks RAN2 for the LS on Rel-16 half-duplex operation in R1-2100023 (R2-2010809), in which RAN1 is asked if the half-duplex operation should always apply to all TDD cells in the same cell group, or whether network can choose for which cells it applies within a cell group.RAN1 would like to provide our understanding as follows for different cases:* For TDD intra-band CA, half-duplex operation should be applied to all TDD cells in the same frequency band.
* For TDD inter-band CA,
	+ If a UE supports simultaneous transmission and reception in TDD-TDD inter-band CA (*simultaneousRxTxInterBandCA*), half-duplex operation should be applied to all TDD cells in each frequency band.
	+ If a UE does not support simultaneous transmission and reception in TDD-TDD inter-band CA (*simultaneousRxTxInterBandCA*), half-duplex operation should be applied to all TDD cells in the same and different frequency bands.

**2. Actions:****To RAN2 group.****ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully asks RAN2 to take the above information into account in their work. |

## 2.3 R1-2100504

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1. Overall Description:**RAN1 would like to than RAN2 on the LS on half-duplex operation in R1-2100023/R2-2010809. RAN1 discussed the LS and has agreed to a CR to 38.213 with the following modifications to the conditions when the half-duplex operation applies:

|  |
| --- |
| If a UE - is configured with multiple serving cells and is provided with ~~half-duplex-behavior~~ directionalCollisionHandling-r16 = '~~enable~~enabled' for at least one serving cell, and- the subcarrier spacing of the active bandwidth part in all the multiple serving cells is the same, and- is not capable of simultaneous transmission and reception on any of the multiple serving cells as indicated with *simultaneousRxTxInterBandCA* capability, and- indicates support of capability for half-duplex operation in CA with unpaired spectrum with *half-DuplexTDD-CA-SameSCS-r16* capability, and - is not configured to monitor PDCCH for detection of DCI format 2\_0 on any of the multiple serving cells,… |

The changes are summarized as follows:* In response to the RAN2 question on whether the configuration should apply to all cells, RAN1 has clarified in the 1st bullet that if the configuration is provided to any serving cell, then it is applied to all serving cells
* In response to RAN2 pointing out the RRC parameter name used to configure the operation, the parameter name has been corrected in the 1st bullet
* In response to RAN2 asking if additional network restrictions should be defined, RAN1 has introduced a new 2nd bullet restricting all the configured cells to be using the same SCS
* In addition to the above, the (now) 3rd and 4th bullet specify the UE capability that is being referred to in these bullets

With the above changed agreed to TS38.213, the RAN1 and RAN2 specifications are aligned and RAN1 sees no further actions needed from RAN2.**2. Actions:****To RAN2 group.****ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully asks RAN2 to take the above into account when concluding their work on half-duplex operation and does not request any further actions from RAN2.  |

## 2.4 R1-2101158

|  |
| --- |
| **Observation 1:** If half duplex behavior is expected to be enabled across all serving cells in the cell group in a frequency range, RAN2 specification should ensure directionalCollisionHandling-r16 is configured for all serving cells in the cell group in a frequency range.**Observation 2:** If directionalCollisionHandling-r16 is not required to be provided to all serving cells in the cell group in a frequency range* UE should not expect half duplex collision among the subset of serving cells not provided with directionalCollisionHandling-r16, and
* UE should not expect half duplex collision between any serving cell in the subset and the reference cell determined from the cells provided with directionalCollisionHandling-r16.

**Proposal 1:** Option 1, i.e., Half-duplex operation always apply to all TDD cells in the same cell group in a frequency range, is preferred in Rel-16. |

## 2.5 R1-2101163

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1. Overall Description:**RAN1 would like to thank RAN2 for the question on half-duplex operation. RAN1 would like to provide response as below.Regarding the RAN2 question on half-duplex operation whether the half-duplex operation should always apply to all TDD cells in the same cell group, or it can be chosen by network for which cells it applies within a cell group, RAN1 would like to confirm that the half-duplex operation should always apply to all TDD cells as described in 38.213.

|  |
| --- |
| If a UE - is configured with multiple serving cells and is provided *half-duplex-behavior-r16* = 'enable', and - is not capable of simultaneous transmission and reception on any of the multiple serving cells, and - indicates support of capability for half-duplex operation in CA with unpaired spectrum, and - is not configured to monitor PDCCH for detection of DCI format 2\_0 on any of the multiple serving cells, |

**2. Actions:****To RAN2 group.****ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully requests RAN2 to take the above into account.  |

## 2.6 R1-2101745

|  |
| --- |
| **Proposal 1:** DL/UL directional collision handling behavior is applicable to the cells configured with the corresponding higher-layer parameter per the current cell-based configuration. The network can choose particular cells that it applies within a cell group, while it needs to guarantee the same direction among cells in a cell group. If the configuration can be cell-group based, no issues arise from the UE capability point of view, and the network benefits from more scheduling flexibility.  |

# 3 Discussion – per cell, or per cell group?

Application of the half-duplex operation, when configured see two different alternatives.

1. Applicable to either all cell in the cell group, or none of the cells in the cell group
	1. Moderator question to the proponents: Is a specification change needed to reflect this?
2. Can be configured independently for each cell in the cell group
	1. Moderator question to the proponents: How a behaviour that is determining a behaviour when there is a directional collision between two cells applied when it is configured for one of the two cells only? Is a specification change needed to reflect this?
	2. Moderator question to the proponents: What is the use case for configuring the directional collision behaviour on for one cell, but not for another?

**Moderator proposal**:

**Please provide company views on the proposal**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | Alt1: all cells in a cell group, or no cells in the cell group. There is no use case, or no obvious behavior if the operation would be configured per cell.The spec change is not strictly required, but given the discussion and the obvious need to update the RRC parameter name, it would be useful to clarify this and also add the UE capability names that are conditional to this behavior as suggested in R1-2100504 |
| vivo | As discussed in our contribution (R1-2101158), both alt1 and alt2 can work.In Alt1: Network can either configure all or none cells with *directionalCollisionHandling-r16* within the cell group having the same SCS. However, there could be cases where only a subset of cells in the cell group can actually be operated with different DL/UL directions due to the co-existence issues with adjacent channels. In this case, network has to configure all cells with *directionalCollisionHandling-r16* in order to enable the half duplex handling for the UE in some cells. This requires UE to performs duplex collision handling symbol-by-symbol in all cells even though there can never be duplex collision in the subset of cells. Alt 1 does not require RAN1 spec change (maybe some wording improvement for clarification) but requires RAN2 spec change to disallow the configuration for the subset of cells.In Alt2: The network will be able to configure a subset of cells in the cell group with *directionalCollisionHandling-r16* for the above-mentioned use cases, and in this case UE is only required to perform symbol-by-symbol duplex collision handling in the subset of cells with the configuration enabled. In the cells that are not configured with *directionalCollisionHandling-r16,* UE shall assume no duplex conflict, i.e. Rel-15 behavior. To be more specific, * UE should not expect half duplex collision among the subset of serving cells not provided with *directionalCollisionHandling-r16*, and
* UE should not expect half duplex collision between any serving cell in the subset and the reference cell determined from the cells provided with *directionalCollisionHandling-r16*.

Based on the above observation, we slightly prefer alt 1 given its minor spec impact (mostly clarification) although it seems to impose some configuration restrictions.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 4 Conclusions

To be written