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Introduction

This document provides discussion on issues in the first email discussion on V2X Mode-2 during RAN1#100bis-e.

[100b-e-NR-5G\_V2X\_NRSL-Mode-2-01] Email discussion/approval w.r.t. re-evaluation including aspects:

* Whether/how to ensure the timing restrictions in re-evaluation, including potential change of pre-selected resources
* Whether to mandate every slot re-evaluation

till 4/27, with potential TPs till 4/30 (Intel, Sergey)

|  |
| --- |
| Agreements:* Resource (re-)selection procedure supports re-evaluation of Step 1 and Step 2 before transmission of SCI with reservation
	+ The re-evaluation of the (re-)selection procedure for a resource reservation signalled in a moment ‘m’ is not required to be triggered at moment > ‘m – T3’ (i.e. resource reselection processing time needs to be ensured)
	+ FFS condition to change resource(s) from previous iteration to resource(s) from current iteration
	+ FFS relationship of T1 and T3, if any
	+ FFS whether to handle it differently for blind and feedback-based retransmission resources

Agreements:* For re-evaluation of a pre-selected resource contained in a slot ‘k’ to be first time signaled in a slot ‘m’, where k ≥ m,
	+ Step 1 of the resource (re-)selection procedure is performed at least at the moment ‘m-T3’, and if the pre-selected resource is not in the identified candidate resource set, Step 2 is triggered for reselection of the resource
		- Re-evaluations before the moment ‘m-T3’ or after ‘m-T3’ but before ‘m’ are not precluded and are up to UE implementation
			* FFS whether to mandate a UE to perform Step 1 checking every slot before ‘m-T3’
		- FFS whether evaluation of Step 2 has to ensure any introduced timing restrictions between pre-selected and re-selected resources when re-evaluation is triggered, and whether it is allowed to change the pre-selected but not reserved resources which are still in the candidate resource set in order to ensure the timing restrictions
* FFS whether for the case of enabled periodic reservation, already reserved resources in upcoming periods can be re-evaluated
 |

Discussion

The first aspect relates to the issue of ensuring the timing restrictions between selected but not reserved resources during re-evaluation. Since the re-evaluation can trigger resource reselection of the resource which is not in the candidate set after Step 1, there could be situations of violation of the timing restrictions between selected resources. The following timing restrictions are considered:

* HARQ RTT minimum gap Z = a + b
* If introduced, a maximum gap between two consecutive resources in order to reserve resources for HARQ retransmissions

These timing restrictions are integral parts of sensing and resource selection and better to be ensured. If those need to be ensured, there are several options to do that:

* Allow change of other pre-selected resources. Companies argue that there is no issue to do that since these resources are not yet reserved and are part of the internal UE procedures.
* Do not change the re-evaluated resource if no candidate found that fulfils the timing restrictions together with the pre-selected resources. This option can lead to RSRP larger than a threshold, but such events are anyway considered to be rare.
* Do not change the pre-selected resources but drop the re-evaluated resource.

Based on above context, the following options considered:

**Q1: Which of the following options is preferred?**

* Option 1
	+ A UE shall ensure timing restrictions between pre-selected and re-selected resources when re-evaluation is triggered
		- Option 1a: it is allowed to change the pre-selected but not reserved resources which are still in the candidate resource set in order to ensure the timing restrictions
		- Option 1b: the re-evaluated resource is left unchanged if the change can violate the timing restrictions
		- Option 1c: the re-evaluated resource is dropped if the change can violate the timing restrictions
* Option 2
	+ A UE is not required to ensure the timing restrictions during reselection of a resource which is not in the candidate set after Step 1 during re-evaluation

Please provide the supported option and technical justification:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Source | Option | Comment |  |
| Ericsson | 1a | Pre-selected but not reserved resources are only known by the UE itself.  |  |
| Intel | Option 1a is preferred | Ensuring timing restrictions is important for stable system performance and better KPIs.  |  |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Option 1a | When HARQ feedback is expected, HARQ RTT should be guaranteed between two selected resources of a TB. Therefore, we support Option 1.Due to its flexibility, we prefer Option 1a. |  |
| Futurewei | Option 1 | We agree that something (option 1 or option 2) needs to be specified in order to make sure that UEs have the same behavior when sensing/selection resources. While option 1a appears to be the best option, it is unclear to us how to test for the suboptions of option 1. Thus, we are not sure we need to specify more than option 1.  |  |
| NTT DOCOMO | 1a | Agree with ZTE |  |

The second aspect is related to the FFS whether to mandate a UE to perform Step 1 checking every slot before ‘m-T3’. Based on companies’ contributions, there are several pros and cons views:

* No need to trigger every slot, the UE complexity may be high, while the performance gain may be uncertain
* Every slot re-evaluation enhances latency, as shown in [13] evaluation results
* Every slot re-evaluation enhances PRR, as shown in [27] evaluation results

**Q2: Whether the FFS to mandate a UE to perform Step 1 checking every slot before ‘m-T3’ can be positively confirmed or not?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Source | Support or not | Comment |  |
| Ericsson | Do not support | We do not see in point in evaluating multiple times it the last evaluation overwrites all previous evaluations. Leaving it up to UE implementation (as per current agreements) is enough. |  |
| Intel | Support | With proper step-2 implementation, it is beneficial to reduce latency, improve reliability, and overall system performance in case of pre-emption  |  |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Do not support by spec | It can be up to UE implementation. Mandating step-1 checking in every slot before m-T3 requires higher implementation complexity. |  |
| Futurewei | Do not support | No need. The current agreement (without the FFS) leaves it up to the UE implementation. That is enough |  |
| NTT DOCOMO | Do not support | No need to mandate. Although Step 1 checking every slot before ‘m-T3’ is beneficial to reduce latency, not all UEs require such low latency. Leaving it up to UE implementation is sufficient. |  |

Summary of proposals on the relevant issues

Finalization of re-evaluation and pre-emption requires closure of the following items, where some issues have contribution sources listed:

1. Ensure the timing restrictions or not
	* Supported: [5][7][13][16]
	* Not supported: [11][18]

Change of pre-selected resources

* + Supported: [1][5][6][7][13][17][19][24]
	+ Not supported: [3][18]
1. Every slot re-evaluation
	* Up to UE implementation: [3][4][8][9][11][16][17][18][19][21][24]
	* Mandatory: [1][5][13][15][27]
		+ [13] and [27] show results in support if it
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