

Agenda item :
Source : Ad-hoc 11 chairman¹
Title : Ad-hoc 11 Physical Layer capabilities report
Document for : Approval

1. Rationale

During the WG1 meeting #2 in Yokohama, concerns were raised by several operators that if parts of the physical layer specification are not mandatory (for example, items like downlink transmit diversity and site selection diversity for the UE) it could harm network performance and operation.

As an outcome of the discussion, the group identified the need to provide indications in the specifications documents whether a physical layer capability is mandatory or not (both in the UE and in the network side).

Within ad-hoc 11, several parties have issued different requirements. In Section 2, this document gives a summary of the requirements presented during the ad-hoc 11 discussion. Section 3 is dedicated to the collection of tables related to the items in the S1.xx specification documents, in order to identify if their implementation is service dependent or not and to indicate whether they are optional or mandatory.

2. Requirements

From Tdoc. TSGW1#2(99)040 "UE PHYSICAL LAYER CAPABILITIES FOR UTRA (UMTS TERRESTRIAL RADIO ACCESS)" by TIM/CSELT, Vodafone, France Telecom, T-Mobil, Telia, Omnitel, Mannesmann Mobilfunk

- 1) It is critical for operators to be able to plan and dimension a system with a clear view of what physical layer capabilities or features will be standard in the initial phase. It would be highly inefficient or even impossible to plan for a vast pool of UE optional physical layer capabilities. In a similar way, performance and roaming could be severely compromised if a terminal not supporting some features enters a network whose planning is based on "optional" features (for example, Tx antenna diversity and site selection diversity). In fact system planning and dimensioning have to be based on the 'worse case' MS and if the set of available options is too large the impact on the complexity of the planning phase as well as on the cost of the overall system would be unacceptable.
- 2) Features affecting layer 1 must be supported by ALL UE.

From document "Principles for UE Capabilities" by NTT Docomo

- 1) IMT-2000 terminals will be required same size and cost as that of the second-generation terminals.
- 2) The requirement of very small speech-service terminals that is highly competitive with the second generation is quite important.
- 3) Due to the short time scales for commercial service launch in Japan, only the technical proposals and descriptions that are defined in detail in the present can be specified.
- 4) Various improved techniques will be specified and commercialised additionally in the future, e.g. half-rate speech codec in the 2nd generation systems, thus it is essential to define classification of the terminals with phased approach.

From document TSG T#2 (99) 057 "Draft Proposed WI for Terminal capabilities" by NEC Technologies

- 1) It should be possible to produce UE's with different service capabilities, for example voice only UE's should be allowed as well as multimedia terminals.
- 2) When UE's provide compatible service capabilities (for example two UE's support voice) they should be assured of successful interworking.

¹ Giovanni Romano, CSELT, Telecom Italia Group - tel: +39 011 228 7069; fax: +39 011 228 7078;
E-mail:Giovanni.Romano@cse.lt.it

3) We do not burden UE with the need to support mandatory implementation capabilities that are not needed to support its target service capability.

From Giovanni Romano's mail (March 9, 1999, subject: Re: Ad-hoc 11: physical layer capabilities - first draft")

More in general, I would state the following principle:

different implementation options of the same (optional) feature would lead to incompatibility between UE and network; for example, if the network is providing feedback Tx antenna diversity and the UE supports only open loop Tx antenna diversity, the two entities will not be able to interwork successfully and exploit the Tx antenna diversity gain. This shall be avoided.

3. Way forward

Different requirements have been indicated during the discussion. Mainly there are two positions that can be summarised as:

- it should be possible to have terminals with different service capabilities; in particular, it should be possible to have simple terminals (small size and small cost);
- features and capabilities affecting layer 1 must be supported by all UE.

To finalise the work within ad-hoc 11 it is proposed to focus the activities on service independent capabilities, in order to identify the implementation capabilities that all UE implementations support.

Concerning service dependent capabilities, at this stage the following conclusion could be indicated:

When UE's provide compatible service capabilities (for example two UE's support voice) they should be assured of successful interworking. Moreover, different implementation options of the same (optional) feature would lead to incompatibility between UE and network. Therefore, this shall be avoided.

4. Report

Two classes of physical layer capabilities have been identified:

- service independent capabilities
- service dependent capabilities

In case of service independent capabilities, this report provides a table (see annex), whose rows are items taken from the S1.xx documents.

The table is organised as follows:

| Capability FDD | Doc | Para | Proposal from ... | | Proposal from ... | | General Comments |
|----------------|-----|------|-------------------|----|-------------------|----|------------------|
| | | | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| | | | | | | | |

NE stands for Network Equipment and UE stands for User Equipment (terminal). Under each column the indication M stands for mandatory, O stands for optional.

In the table, proposals from different companies are compared.

Note that NTT Docomo presented a proposal where the column on network side was not modified from report version 1; this column has been indicated under NTT Docomo in this report.

Some parties indicated that capabilities affecting physical layer performance should be mandatory in the terminal or removed from the specification documents. Other parties disagree with this approach and indicate that it should be possible to introduce features in a second phase of network operation or to allow different features to coexist.

No proposals have been presented on document S1.31.

A question was raised by Mr. Kato on the scrambling codes to be used in case of multiuser detection for the FDD component.

Where different proposals have been presented, the discussion is to be finalised during WG1 meeting #3.

5. Conclusions

In the ad-hoc group it was not possible to achieve a complete agreement on physical layer capabilities.

It was decided to split the report between service independent and service dependent capabilities. A table providing indications for service independent capabilities is given in this report.

It was not possible to achieve a complete agreement on service independent capabilities. Major differences are related to the implementation of capabilities in the terminal side. Issue like DL Tx antenna diversity, DTX, support of paging channel, site selection diversity require further clarifications.

6. Recommendations

The group agreed the following recommendations to be forwarded to WG1 for approval:

Service independent capabilities

- add a new section to S1.02 "UE capabilities" in order to indicate what has to be mandatory in the terminal

- add a section (or an indication within each section) whether an item described in the S1.xx documents is mandatory or not (both network and terminal side)

The text to be inserted in the S1.xx specification should be taken from the table in this report, once agreed by the meeting.

Service dependent capabilities

It is recommended to include the following statement in S1.01 "General description":

When UE's provide compatible service capabilities (for example two UE's support voice) they should be assured of successful interworking. Moreover, different implementation options of the same (optional) feature would lead to incompatibility between UE and network. Therefore, this shall be avoided.

| | | | Proposal from NTT DoCoMo | | Proposal from Ericsson | | Proposal from CSELT | | Proposal from ... | | General Comments |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----|---------------------|----|-------------------|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| Capability FDD | Doc | Para | | | | | | | | | |
| Transport channels | S1.11 | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| FAUSCH | S1.11 | 4.1.2 | | | O | O | | | | | Not in release 99 Philips: service dependent Proposal: remove |
| DCH | S1.11 | 4.1.1 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| BCH | S1.11 | 4.2.1 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| FACH | S1.11 | 4.2.2 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| PCH | S1.11 | 4.2.3 | M | <u>OM</u> | | | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo and Philips indicate this item as service dependent If the paging channel is optional at the mobile, no mobile terminated calls are possible Proposal: M-M |
| RACH | S1.11 | 4.2.4 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| DSCH | S1.11 | 4.2.5 | | | | | | | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| DSCH control | S1.11 | 4.2.6 | | | | | | | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Physical traffic channels | S1.11 | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| Superframe format | S1.11 | 5.2.1, 5.3.1 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| Frame format | S1.11 | 5.2.1, 5.3.1 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| Slot format | S1.11 | 5.2.1, 5.3.1 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| DPDCH format | S1.11 | 5.2.1, 5.3.1 | | | | | | | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| DPCCH format | S1.11 | 5.2.1, 5.3.1 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| Pilot bit pattern | S1.11 | 5.2.1, 5.3.1 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| TPC bit pattern | S1.11 | 5.2.1, 5.3.1 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| DL Tx antenna diversity Feedback mode | S1.11 | 5.2.1, 5.3.1.1, 5.3.2.1.1 | O | <u>YO</u> M | O | ? | O | M | | | Samsung: service dependent – O Philips: mandatory for some classes of terminals |
| | S1.14 | 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| DL Tx antenna diversity Open loop | S1.11 | 5.3.1.2 | O | <u>YO</u> M | O | ? | O | M | | | Samsung: service dependent (proposal M in UE) |

| | | | Proposal from NTT DoCoMo | | Proposal from Ericsson | | Proposal from CSELT | | Proposal from ... | | General Comments |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----|---------------------|----|-------------------|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| Capability FDD | Doc | Para | | | | | | | | | |
| Physical common channels | S1.11 | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| RACH format | S1.11 | 5.2.2.1 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| Primary Common Control Physical Channel (CCPCH) format | S1.11 | 5.3.2.1 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| Secondary Common Control Physical Channel format | S1.11 | 5.3.2.2 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| Synchronisation Channel format | S1.11 | 5.3.2.3 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| Acquisition Indication Channel (AICH) | S1.11 | 5.3.2.6 | M | <u>OM</u> | | | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent From ad-hoc#3 discussion it seems that AICH is part of the random access mechanism Philips and Samsung: part of RACH - M Proposal: M-M |
| Physical Downlink Shared Channel format | S1.11 | 5.3.2.5 | | | | | | | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Acquisition Indication Channel (AICH) | S1.11 | 5.3.2.6 | | | | | | | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Mapping of transport channels to physical channels | S1.11 | 6 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| Timing relationship between physical channels | S1.11 | 7 | | | | | | | | | no input available |

| | Doc | Para | Proposal from NTT DoCoMo | | Proposal from Ericsson | | Proposal from CSELT | | Proposal from ... | | General Comments |
|---------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----|---------------------|----|-------------------|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| Capability FDD | S1.12 | 7.2 | M | M (for registration) O(other services)(?) —question: do single service UEs have to support the complete scheme? | M | O | M | ? | | | Service dependent Philips: support of basic scheme: M Proposal: remove from table |
| Transport channel coding/multiplexing | S1.12 | 7.2 | M | M (for registration) O(other services)(?) —question: do single service UEs have to support the complete scheme? | | | M | ? | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| CRC calculation | S1.12 | 7.2.1 | M | M (for registration) O(other services) | | | M | M | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Channel coding Convolutional cod | S1.12 | 7.2.2 | M | M (for registration) O(other services) | M | M | M | M | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Channel coding Turbo coding | S1.12 | 7.2.2 | M | M (for registration) O(other services) | M | O | M | | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| 1 st interleaving | S1.12 | 7.2.3 | M | M | | | M | M | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Rate matching | S1.12 | 7.2.4 | M | M (for registration) O(other services)(?) —question: do single service UEs have to support the complete scheme? | | | M | ? | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Rate matching algorithm | S1.12 | 7.2.4.1 | M | M (for registration) O(other services) | | | M | M | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Downlink DTX | S1.12 | 7.2.5 | M | QM | | | M | M | | | Service dependent It has impact on network capacity (voice activity factor) Proposal: M-M |
| Transport channel multiplexing | S1.12 | 7.2.6 | M | M (for registration) O(other services) | | | M | M | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| 2 nd interleaver | S1.12 | 7.2.7 | M | M (for registration) O(other services) | | | M | M | | | Ad-hoc 4: always present |
| Multirate transmission | S1.12 | 7.2.8 | | Q? | | | | | | | Service dependent No proposals for network side Proposal: remove from table |
| Rate detection | S1.12 | 7.2.9 | | M(for registration) O(other services)? | | | | | | | Service dependent No proposals for network side Proposal: remove from table |
| Coding procedure | S1.12 | 7.2.10 | | M(for registration) O(other services)? | | | | | | | Service dependent No proposals for network side Proposal: remove from table |
| Bit transmission sequence | S1.12 | 7.2.11 | M | M | | | M | M | | | |
| Coding of TFCI | S1.12 | 7.3.1 | M | M(for registration) O(other services) | | | M | M | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Coding of slotted mode | S1.12 | 7.4 | | Q? | | | | | | | Service dependent No proposals for network side Proposal: remove from table |

| | | | Proposal from NTT DoCoMo | | Proposal from CSELT | | Proposal from ... | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----|-------------------|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| Capability FDD | Doc | Para | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | General Comments |
| Uplink spreading and modulation | S1.13 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Spreading | S1.13 | 6.2 | M | M(for registration) O(other services) for the spreading factors to be supported by all UE O for multicode | M | M | | | S1.13 provides two figures basically illustrating two things: The way the spreading function is performed How to perform multicode transmission (this is service dependent) |
| Code generation and allocation | S1.13 | 6.3 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Channelization codes | S1.13 | 6.3.1 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Table 1: correspondence between symbol rate and spreading code types | S1.13 | 6.3.1 | | 4.096 Mcps: M other chip rates: ? SF values in square brackets: ffs | | | | | M for 4.096 Mchip/s |
| Scrambling codes | S1.13 | 6.3.2 | M | M(for registration) O(other services) | M | M | | | not clear: does it mean that there are no scrambling codes for "other service" terminals? I think scrambling codes are service independent (the same scheme is always adopted) Suggestion: M-M |
| Random access codes | S1.13 | 6.3.3 | M | M(for registration) O(other services) | M | M | | | not clear: if RACH has to be supported by all terminals, then RACH codes are mandatory in the terminal. Suggestion: M-M |
| Modulation chip rate | S1.13 | 6.4.1 | | 4.096 Mcps: M other chip rate: O? | | | | | M for 4.096 Mchip/s |
| Pulse shaping | S1.13 | 6.4.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Modulation | S1.13 | 6.4.3 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Downlink spreading and modulation | S1.13 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| Spreading | S1.13 | 7.1 | M | M(for registration) O(other services) for the spreading factors to be supported by all UE O for multicode | M | M | | | S1.13 provides two figures basically illustrating two things: The way the spreading function is performed How to perform multicode transmission |
| Code generation and allocation | S1.13 | 7.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Channelization codes | S1.13 | 7.2.1 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Scrambling codes | S1.13 | 7.2.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Synchronisation codes | S1.13 | 7.2.3 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Code allocation | S1.13 | 7.2.3.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Modulation chip rate | S1.13 | 7.3.1 | | | | | | | M for 4.096 Mchip/s |
| Pulse shaping | S1.13 | 7.3.2 | M | | M | M | | | |
| Modulation | S1.13 | 7.3.3 | M | | M | M | | | |

General comment: are chip rates other than 4.096 to be included in release 99?

| | | | Proposal from NTT DoCoMo | | Proposal from CSELT | | Proposal from ... | | General Comments |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------|----|-------------------|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| Capability FDD | Doc | Para | | | | | | | |
| Cell search | S1.14 | 4.1 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Primary CCPCH synchronisation | S1.14 | 4.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Secondary CCPCH synchronisation | S1.14 | 4.3 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| PRACH synchronisation | S1.14 | 4.4 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| DPCCH/DPDCH synchronisation | S1.14 | 4.5 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Power control | S1.14 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| PRACH | S1.14 | 5.1.1 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Uplink DPCCH/DPDCH | S1.14 | 5.1.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Downlink primary CCPCH | S1.14 | 5.2.1 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Downlink secondary CCPCH | S1.14 | 5.2.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Downlink DPCCH/DPDCH | S.14 | 5.2.3 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Site selection diversity transmit power control | S.14 | 5.2.3.4 | O | OM | O | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent |
| Random Access procedure | S.14 | 6 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Transmission stop and resumption control | S1.14 | 7 | M | OM | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent |
| Feedback mode transmit diversity | S.14 | 8 | O | OM | O | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent |
| Reverse link synchronous transmission | S1.14 | 9 | ? | O? | ? | ? | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent No proposal for the network side |

| | Doc | Para | Proposal from NTT DoCoMo | | Proposal from CSELT | | Proposal from ... | | General Comments |
|--------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------------------------|-----|---------------------|--------|-------------------|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| Capability TDD | S1.21 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Transport channels | S1.21 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| DCH | S1.21 | 6.1.1 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| ODCH | S1.21 | 6.1.1 | O | O | O | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| BCH | S1.21 | 6.1.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| PCH | S1.21 | 6.1.2 | M | O | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent If the paging channel is optional at the mobile, no mobile terminated calls are possible Proposal: M-M |
| FACH | S1.21 | 6.1.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| RACH | S1.21 | 6.1.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| ORACH | S1.21 | 6.1.2 | O | O | O | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| SCH | S1.21 | 6.1.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Physical traffic channels | S1.21 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| Superframe format | S1.21 | 7 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Frame structure | S1.21 | 7.1 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Burst types | S1.21 | 7.2.2 | M | M/O | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent and proposes in the UE side: M(for registration) O(other services) |
| Transmission of TFCI | S1.21 | 7.2.2.1 | f.f.s. | O | f.f.s. | f.f.s. | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent No proposal for network side Remove form table? |
| Burst structure when using DTX | S1.21 | 7.2.2.3 | f.f.s. | O | f.f.s. | f.f.s. | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent No text in S1.21 |
| Transmission of TPC | S1.21 | 7.2.2.4 | f.f.s. | O | f.f.s. | f.f.s. | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent No text in S1.21 |
| Training sequences for spread bursts | S1.21 | 7.2.3 | M | M/O | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent (if there is a difference between registration and other services) and proposes for the UE M(for registration) O(other services) Training sequences are used to perform coherent demodulation, independently of the service Proposal: M-M |
| Midamble transmit power | S1.21 | 7.2.3.3 | M | M/O | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent (if there is a difference between registration and other services) and proposes for the UE M(for registration) O(other services) |

| | | | Proposal from NTT DoCoMo | | Proposal from CSELT | | Proposal from ... | | General Comments |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------------------------|----|---------------------|----|-------------------|----|------------------|
| | | | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| Capability TDD | Doc | Para | | | | | | | |
| Common control physical channel (CCPCH) | S1.21 | 7.3 | | | | | | | |
| Downlink CCPCH spreading codes | S1.21 | 7.3.1.1 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Downlink CCPCH burst types | S1.21 | 7.3.1.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Downlink CCPCH training sequences for spread bursts | S1.21 | 7.3.1.3 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Physical random access (PRACH) | S1.21 | 7.3.2 | | | | | | | |
| Spreading codes | S1.21 | 7.3.2.1 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Burst types | S1.21 | 7.3.2.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Training sequences for access bursts | S1.21 | 7.3.2.3 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| The physical synchronisation channel | S1.21 | 7.4 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Mapping of transport channels to physical channels | S1.21 | 8 | M | M | M | M | | | |

| Capability TDD | Doc | Para | Proposal from NTT DoCoMo | | Proposal from CSELT | | Proposal from ... | | General Comments |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------------------------|-----|---------------------|----|-------------------|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| Transport channel coding/multiplexing | S1.22 | 7.2 | M | M/O | M | | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent (if there is a difference between registration and other services) and proposes for the UE M(for registration) O(other services) Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| CRC calculation | S1.22 | 7.2.1 | M | M/O | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent (if there is a difference between registration and other services) and proposes for the UE M(for registration) O(other services) Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Channel coding | S1.22 | 7.2.2 | M | M/O | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent (if there is a difference between registration and other services) and proposes for the UE M(for registration) O(other services) Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| 1 st interleaving | S1.22 | 7.2.3 | M | M | M | M | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Rate matching | S1.22 | 7.2.4 | M | M/O | M | | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent and proposes for the UE M(for registration) O(other services) Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Rate matching algorithm | S1.22 | 7.2.4.1 | M | M/O | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent and proposes for the UE M(for registration) O(other services) Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Transport channel multiplexing | S1.22 | 7.2.5 | M | M/O | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent and proposes for the UE M(for registration) O(other services) Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| 2 nd interleaver | S1.22 | 7.2.6 | M | M/O | M | M | | | NTT DoCoMo indicates this item as service dependent (if there is a difference between registration and other services) and proposes for the UE M(for registration) O(other services) Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Downlink discontinuous transmission | S1.22 | 7.2.7 | M | O | M | M | | | Service dependent It has impact on network capacity (voice activity factor) Proposal: M-M |
| Multirate transmission | S1.22 | 7.2.8 | ? | O | ? | ? | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Rate detection | S1.22 | 7.2.9 | ? | O | ? | ? | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ) | S1.22 | 7.3 | ? | O | ? | ? | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Coding of TFCI | S1.22 | 7.4.1 | M | O | M | M | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Slotted mode | S1.22 | 7.5 | ? | O | ? | ? | | | Service dependent Proposal: remove from table Is it applicable to TDD? |

| | | | Proposal from NTT DoCoMo | | Proposal from CSELT | | Proposal from ... | | General Comments |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------|--------------------------|-----|---------------------|----|-------------------|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| Capability TDD | Doc | Para | | | | | | | |
| General | S1.23 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| Table 1: basic modulation parameters | S1.23 | 4 | | | | | | | M for 4.096 Mchip/s |
| Data modulation | S1.23 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Symbol rate | S1.23 | 5.1 | M | M | M | M | | | M for 4.096 Mchip/s |
| Mapping of bits onto signal point constellation | S1.23 | 5.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Pulse shape filtering | S1.23 | 5.3 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Spreading modulation | S1.23 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Basic spreading parameters | S1.23 | 6.1 | M | M/O | | | | | Service dependent NTT Docomo proposes: M(for registration) O(other services) Proposal remove from table |
| Spreading codes | S1.23 | 6.2 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Scrambling codes | S1.23 | 6.3 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Spread and scrambled signal of data symbols and data blocks | S1.23 | 6.4 | M | M | M | M | | | |

General comment: are chip rates other than 4.096 to be included in release 99?
Are other chip rates applicable to TDD?

| | | | Proposal from NTT DoCoMo | | Proposal from CSELT | | Proposal from ... | | General Comments |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-----|---------------------|--------|-------------------|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| Capability TDD | Doc | Para | NE | UE | NE | UE | NE | UE | |
| Synchronisation of TDD node Bs | S1.24 | 6.2.1 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| Synchronisation of ODMA relays | S1.24 | 6.2.2 | ? | O | ? | ? | | | NTT Docomo indicates this as service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Channel allocation | S1.24 | 6.3 | M | O | M | M | | | NTT Docomo indicates this as service dependent This is related to resource management |
| Power control | S1.24 | 6.4 | M | M/O | M | M | | | NTT Docomo indicates this as service dependent and proposes for UE M(for registration) O(other services) If power control in TDD has a similar function as in FDD, it impacts on system performance Proposal: M-M |
| Timing advance | S1.24 | 6.5 | M | O | M | M | | | NTT Docomo indicates this as service dependent |
| Synchronisation and cell search procedures | S1.24 | 6.6 | M | M | M | M | | | |
| ODMA relay probing | S1.24 | 6.7 | | O | | | | | NTT Docomo indicates this as service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Idling operation | S1.24 | 6.8 | f.f.s. | O | f.f.s. | f.f.s. | | | NTT Docomo indicates this as service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Discontinuous transmission (DTX) of radio frames | S1.24 | 6.10 | f.f.s. | O | f.f.s. | f.f.s. | | | NTT Docomo indicates this as service dependent Proposal: remove from table |
| Forward link transmit diversity | S1.24 | 6.11 | O | O | O | M | | | NTT Docomo indicates this as service dependent |