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# Introduction

This discussion includes RP-212108 [1], RP-212109 [2], RP-212206 [3], RP-212207 [4].

# Contacts

Please provide a company contact that the email discussion moderator can contact if required.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contact name and email** |
| Moderator | Johan.Johansson@mediatek.com |
| Qualcomm | pgaal@qti.qualcomm.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# NR URLLC UE categories/profiles

RP-212109 [2] and RP-212207 [4] (with CR in RP-212206 [3]), discusses NR URLLC UE categories/profiles or improved description of features for NR URLLC.

The topic was discussed also at previous TSG RAN meeting.

Status, TSG RAN 92e:

*There is no consensus at current meeting. Accurate status of discussion:*

*a) There seems to be full agreement that defining URLLC profiles / UE categories will consume a lot of time and is not easy, e.g. it is commented that there are different diverse URLLC applications with different requirements.*

*b) The proponents seems to be in agreement that the purpose of defining URLLC profiles / UE categories is to bring clarity to the market as to which features are relevant for URLLC, and to avoid market fragmentation. Also some companies opposing to do this in 3GPP acknowledges that there would be value to have this.*

*c) It is questioned that 3GPP is not the right place to address issues such as market fragmentation. To do this work, involvement of industry players not in 3GPP may be needed. It is pointed out that in 3GPP it may be particularly difficult to converge as companies are likely to push for their own solutions.*

*d) The need is questioned by some companies. From technical perspective UE capabilities are unambiguous, now also the TR38.822 has been updated for easier navigation.*

*e) A majority of companies think such effort is not worthwhile in 3GPP, while several major operators support this.*

*f) There is some support among proponents to attempt to simplify the work by having limitations, e.g. limiting to Rel-15 only in a first step, and treating features for latency and reliability separately. It is also commented that it would be valuable to define a basic feature set that meets certain requirements in basic scenario, to be used as baseline.*

RP-212109 [2] suggests that mainly c) above need to be further discussed and addressed in addition to more exactly decide what to specify, in order to have NR URLLC UE categories/profiles.

RP-212109 [2] further suggests that in order to make the work feasible, not full NR URLLC UE categories/profiles can be specified, e.g. according to examples below (Alt 1, Alt 2) or other way.

* **(Alt. 1)** Even though so many kind of categories (e.g. reliability only, low latency only, reliability + latency) may be needed to cover the potential URLLC use cases, RAN can focus on defining only one category/profile for now.
* **(Alt. 2)** Do not define any categories/profiles, but introduce new categorization for URLLC related UE features in TS38.822 based on their characteristics to give more detailed technical analyses to outside 3GPP, for example:
  + Features related to achieve 99.9999% reliability:
    - 5-34b, 5-34a …
  + Features related to achieve 1ms latency in radio interface:
    - 5-5a, 5-5b, 5-5c …
  + Features related to capacity enhancement for URLLC
    - Xxx
  + [Other dimensions can be discussed]
  + [Target release (i.e. Rel-15 only or also include Rel-16) needs to be clarified]

RP-212207 [4] (with CR in RP-212206 [3]) Observes that which Rel-15 features are relevant to URLLC type of services is not currently visible externally, as all Rel-15 features are listed together in [TR38.822](https://www.3gpp.org/DynaReport/38822.htm) and suggest to capture the Rel-15 UE capabilities relevant for URLLC operation as an informative annex in TS38.306 (e.g. as illustrated in [RP-212206](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/TSG_RAN/TSGR_93e/Docs/RP-212206.zip)) **(Alt. 3)**

## Initial Round

Q: Moderator asks companies to provide initial feedback on the observations and proposals in RP-212109 [2] and RP-212207 [4], e.g. on Alt 1 Alt 2 Alt 3, to what extent they are acceptable, and whether other details need to be considered.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Acceptable Alt** | **Comment / Justification / Explanation** |
| Qualcomm | Alt 3 | Alt 1 and Alt 2 are already precluded as per the previous conclusions. None of the alternatives are strictly speaking necessary but we can accept Alt 3 in order to close this issue. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# UL MIMO coherence capabilities

RP-212108 [1] proposes to address LS from RAN4 to RAN1 and RAN2 in R4-2107765 (R1-2106431) due to lack of progress in WGs. Moderator observes that in RAN2 the topic was postponed awaiting outcome from RAN1. The following proposals are made:

Proposal 1: A new UE capability indicating UL MIMO coherence per band per band combination is introduced (regardless of UL Tx switching)

Proposal 2: Solve this issue at the RAN#93-emeeting.

## Initial Round

Q: Moderator asks companies to provide initial feedback on the proposals and discussion in RP-212108 [1], i.e. whether to have the discussion at TSG RAN 93e, and/or whether the proposed technical way forward or other way forward can be acceptable.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Acceptable?** | **Comment / Justification / Explanation** |
| Qualcomm | Yes | We support discussing and solving this issue in RAN#93e.  The UE MIMO coherence capability is strongly coupled with the number of Tx chains / layers. For example, the UE may be coherent capable with 2 Tx but not coherent (not even partial coherent) with 4 Tx. Since the number of layers is already signaled per band per band combination, the coherence capability needs to be signaled in the same structure per band per band combination to maintain consistency and avoid under reporting by the UE. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Other Rounds

Etc.
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