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# Introduction

In this document, we will provide a summary for the email discussion on [93e-26-6GHz-NR-U] at RAN#92-e.

# Topic #1: Consideration of Aspects beyond ECC Decision (20)01

## Proposed objectives

Topic #1 will capture the outcome of the discussions related to aspects beyond ECC Decision (20)01 in the set of reference documents [1] to [6].

## Initial round

### Open issues

Issue 1.2-1: RAN needs to consider if the unlicensed operation in the frequency range 5945 MHz to 6425 MHz in Europe shall be based on available ECC Decision (20)01 or RAN4 shall consider other aspects as well.

The other aspects could consist of Radio Equipment Directive 2014/53/EU, receiver blocking requirements that will be necessary for coexistence with 6GHz IMT systems after WRC 2023, the technical requirements for the 6GHz band established through ETSI EN 303 687, and/or potential future regulations.

The following summarizes the options to consider for Issue 1.2-1.

* **Option 1: RAN4 to consider the unlicensed operation in the frequency range 5945 MHz to 6425 MHz in Europe shall be based on available ECC Decision (20)01.**
* **Option 2: RAN4 to consider other aspects in addition to ECC Decision (20)01 which could consist of Radio Equipment Directive 2014/53/EU, receiver blocking requirements that will be necessary for coexistence with 6GHz IMT systems after WRC 2023, the technical requirements for the 6GHz band established through ETSI EN 303 687, and/or potential future regulations.**
* **Option 3: Put** **WI (NR\_6GHz\_unlic\_EU) on hold pending potential future regulations for the upper 6 GHz range (6425 MHz to 7125 MHz).**

### Collection of company views

Issue 1.2-1: Indicate which option is preferred concerning aspects beyond ECC Decision (20)01 and impact to WI (NR\_6GHz\_unlic\_EU).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Option 2 is preferred |
| Huawei | All the applicable regulatory decision needs to be followed by default, including RED directive for EU. Therefore Option 2 to be considered as baseline.  For option 3: it would be good to clarify the timeline of the possible decision to put the WI on hold, so that it would be clear when to re-open technical discussion ,e.g. WRC 2023 outcomes? |
|  |  |

### Summary and recommendation for further discussion

In this section, the summary of comments on Topic#1 and the corresponding moderator recommendations are provided.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Summary and recommendation** |
| **Moderator (RAN Vice-Chair, AT&T)** | **Moderator Recommendation:** |

## Intermediate round

### Open issues

### Collection of company views

### Summary and recommendation for further discussion

## Final round

### Open issues

### Collection of company views

### Summary and recommendation for further discussion

## Final comments

# Topic #2: Band Definition for Lower 6GHz NR Unlicensed Operation for Europe

## Proposed objectives

Topic #2 will capture the outcome of the discussions related to band definition for lower 6GHz NR unlicensed operation for Europe in the set of reference documents [1] to [4] and [6].

## Initial round

### Open issues

Issue 2.2-1: RAN needs to decide which options RAN4 shall follow to introduce unlicensed operation in the frequency range 5945 MHz to 6425 MHz in Europe.

The following summarizes the options to consider for Issue 2.2-1.

* **Option 1: Re-using already defined band n96, for the frequency range 5945 MHz to 6425 MHz.**
* **Option 2: Defining a new band n[xx], for the frequency range 5945 MHz to 6425 MHz.**
* **Option 3: Proceed with both option 1 and option 2 by specifying a new band for lower 6GHz NR unlicensed operation for Europe and amending the n96 specification with the NS values relevant for operation in Europe.**

### Collection of company views

Issue 2.2-1: Indicate which option is preferred to introduce unlicensed operation in the frequency range 5945 MHz to 6425 MHz in Europe.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Option 2 is preferred |
| Huawei | Option 2.  Regarding option 3: Option 3 was proposed during last RAN4 discussion due to lack of progress on option 1 vs 2. Considering further inputs during this RAN meeting, the option 3 is discouraged. |
|  |  |

### Summary and recommendation for further discussion

In this section, the summary of comments on Topic#2 and the corresponding recommendations are provided.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Summary and recommendation** |
| **Moderator (RAN Vice-Chair, AT&T)** | **Moderator Recommendation:** |

## Intermediate round

### Open issues

### Collection of company views

### Summary and recommendation for further discussion

## Final round

### Open issues

### Collection of company views

### Summary and recommendation for further discussion

## Final comments

# Final Conclusions

**Moderator Recommendations:**

# References

[1] RP-211823: On Introduction of lower 6GHz NR unlicensed operation for Europe; Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell

[2] RP-211906: Requirements for 6GHz NR-U band plan in Europe; BT plc, Telecom Italia, Telefonica, Vodafone, Deutsche Telekom, Orange, Telia Company, Telenor, Bouygues Telecom

[3] RP-212050: On progress for WI on introduction of lower 6GHz NR unlicensed operation for Europe; Qualcomm Incorporated

[4] RP-212125: On the introduction of lower 6GHz NR unlicensed operation for Europe; Ericsson

[5] RP-212300: Summary of the regulatory requirements for in- and out-of-band blocking in the 6GHz EU/CEPT band; Apple Inc.

[6] RP-212409: Discussion on band plan for Europe unlicensed 6GHz; ZTE, Sanechips