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# Introduction

This document is the summary of email discussion [93e-25-CRSIntfHandling] on CRS interference handling for NR PDSCH in scenarios with overlapping spectrum for LTE and NR, and the discussion outcome (if any) will be reflected in the revised WID on “Rel-17 Further enhancement on NR demodulation performance”.

All the following 5 tdocs recommend to define NR PDSCH demodulation requirements for neighbouring cell LTE CRS-IM in Rel-17, and the main discussion point is whether network assistance signalling for CRS-IM is needed or not.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Title | Source |
| [RP‑211835](file:///D%3A%5C0_Work%5CStandardization%5CRAN%5CRAN%2093%20%28Sep%202021%29%5CTdoc%20list%5Cdocs%5CRP-211835.zip)  | Revised WID: Further enhancement on NR demodulation performance | China Telecom |
| [RP‑211950](file:///D%3A%5C0_Work%5CStandardization%5CRAN%5CRAN%2093%20%28Sep%202021%29%5CTdoc%20list%5Cdocs%5CRP-211950.zip)  | CRS interference handling in NR  | Apple, MediaTek |
| [RP‑212199](file:///D%3A%5C0_Work%5CStandardization%5CRAN%5CRAN%2093%20%28Sep%202021%29%5CTdoc%20list%5Cdocs%5CRP-212199.zip)  | Views on LTE CRS interference handling for NR UE  | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell  |
| [RP‑212226](file:///D%3A%5C0_Work%5CStandardization%5CRAN%5CRAN%2093%20%28Sep%202021%29%5CTdoc%20list%5Cdocs%5CRP-212226.zip)  | Views on Rel-17 CRS-IM requirements in scenarios with overlapping spectrum for LTE and NR | Intel Corporation  |
| [RP‑212490](file:///D%3A%5C0_Work%5CStandardization%5CRAN%5CRAN%2093%20%28Sep%202021%29%5CTdoc%20list%5Cdocs%5CRP-212490.zip)  | LS on RAN4 evaluation for LTE CRS interference handling for NR UE (R4-2115741; to: RAN; cc: -; contact: China Telecom)  | RAN4  |

# Initial round

## Open issues and companies views’ collection

**Issue #1**: Except the network assistance signalling part (which is discussed separately in Issue #2), any comments on the other parts of RAN4 recommendations in LS RP-212490?

*RAN4 recommends to define NR PDSCH demodulation requirements for neighbouring cell LTE CRS-IM in scenarios with overlapping spectrum for LTE and NR in Rel-17:*

*• Use LLR weighting as baseline reference receiver, and further discuss the feasibility of CRS-IC receiver taking into account the UE complexity and PDSCH processing time.*

*• Synchronous network scenario is prioritized. The asynchronous network scenario will be discussed after RAN #93e meeting.*

*• 15 kHz SCS for NR is prioritized. The 30 kHz SCS scenario will be discussed after RAN #93e meeting.*

*• RAN4 will further discuss the necessity of ~~network assistance signaling and~~ UE capability signaling during requirements definition phase.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Huawei | We are fine with the RAN4 recommendation part shown above. |
| OPPO | Fine with the recommendations as above. |
| MTK | The recommendation is fine to us. But we want to clarify that removing network assistance in the last bullet does not mean network assistance information is out of scope, even if we do not reach the conclusion in **Issue #2**. |
| ZTE | RAN4 recommendations are fine with us. |
| Apple | We would like to understand the reason for deleting network assistance signalling part. We think network assistance might still be in the scope pending the outcome of discussion on Issue #2.  |
| China Telecom | Support to add the RAN4 recommendations in the WID, unless any technical errors are found. For the network signalling part, if additional agreements can be achieved, it can be updated; otherwise, the original wording can be kept. |
| Samsung | We support RAN4 recommendations as a package in original LS  |
| Qualcomm | We agree to take the LLR weighting as baseline to define the requirements. We think that the scenarios which are deprioritized should be left out for now due to lack of time in RAN4. They could be further discussed after the requirements for the “baseline scenario” are finalized. Our understanding that assistance data is discussed separately and the fact that it was erased does not mean it is out. |
| CMCC | We are fine with the recommendation.  |
| Ericsson | The recommendations are OK for us in general. Regarding the receiver assumption, we would have some preference to ease the RAN4 workload to derive the requirements based on LLR weighting and deprioritize or take out of scope CRS-IC. Regarding network signalling, we add our view for the second issue; the objectives should be aligned with the end decision for that issue. |

**Issue #2:** Whether to assume network assistance information for PDSCH CRS-IM?

* Option 1: Yes (Apple, MediaTek)
* Option 2: No (Nokia - for Rel-17)
* Option 3: Task RAN4 to further discuss the necessity of network assistance signaling during requirements definition phase. (Intel, China Telecom)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Huawei | Option 2. From RAN4 discussion, companies have common understanding that it is feasible for UE to acquire the related CRS configuration information by blind detection and PBCH decoding. Also to speed up the feature application in the real network as early as possible and avoid network and UE upgrade to support any additional signalling, it is more valuable not to introduce any network assistance signalling. |
| OPPO | Prefer option 1. Considering UE complexity and realistic network deployment, we support to include network assistance information, along with other signalling/capabilities for Rel-17 UEs. We do not see that much of overhead. Besides, since this NW assistance will only be supported by Rel-17 UEs, there still exists enough time period for BSs to implement this before Rel-17 UEs will be launched in the market. |
| MTK | Support Option 1UE complexity needs to be considered. As we mentioned during GTW, UE complexity cannot be seen from the throughput simulation results. The observation of similar UE performance between with and without assistance information should not be used as an argument to preclude network assistance information. We also want to take this chance to discuss a bit about the definition of network assistance information. In our view, it is impossible for UE to do CRS-IM if network does not provide any piece of information (not even provide the LTE MO for UE to do cell search). Without MO, UE has no idea about the LTE center frequency and will need to blindly scan all possible LTE PSS/SSS frequency locations. The process is time-consuming, and the complexity is huge. With this understanding, we believe that the network assistance information is anyway needed. The discussion point is only about its content.  |
| ZTE | We support Option 2.RAN4 has confirmed that LLR weighting without network assistance can achieve better performance, thus for the time being RAN4 can focus on specifying enhanced PDSCH demodulation requirements based on LLR weighting receiver without network assistance for synchronous scenarios with 15kSCS. After that, RAN4 can work with asynchronous cases and other SCSs. In such a way, the performance improvement demands can be satisfied under the current stage. Further potential optimization with network assistance can be deferred to a later stage. |
| Apple | We support option 1.We would like to understand why it would be difficult for network to implement this assistance information in Rel-17, while UEs are expected to implement CRS-IM. The time frame this will be deployed would be when Rel-17 UEs and gNBs are available. To speed up the feature application in real network, rate matching schemes can be used without additional impact to UE processing.RAN4 has not confirmed that LLR weighting without network assistance can achieve better performance.During the GTW discussion, companies expressed their views that LLR weighting doesn’t need network assistance and that NR UE can get all the information from inter-RAT measurements. Firstly, we would like to understand if UE would always be configured with inter-RAT measurements for the LTE cells with overlapping NR spectrum. For inter-RAT measurements, the UE doesn’t need to do PBCH/MIB decoding which is necessary to know number of CRS ports and bandwidth for CRS-IM. Also, additional information like MBSFN subframe configuration, CRS muting information are not known unless this information is provided. In case UE is supposed to do CRS-IM on cell(s) other than those configured for inter-RAT measurements, there is an additional level of complexity as pointed out by MTK above and also in our paper RP-211950. Also, there is no way to assess the the UE processing or complexity and just comparing simulation results with and without network assistance doesn’t sufficiently reflect the additional UE complexity involved without network assistance.Given the above, we think it is necessary to have network assistance for CRS-IM and the content of such assistance information should be further discussed.  |
| China Telecom | Option 2 or Option 3.As several companies commented on Monday GTW, the network signalling aspect can be discussed separately for the two implementations of CRS-IM, i.e., LLR weighting and CRS-IC.1) For LLR weightingOnly the power level of interference CRS needs to be estimated and UE can estimate the power of all REs in the OFDM symbols containing CRS, assuming the same number of CRS ports in the serving and neighbouring cells. So, CRS location is not needed to be signalled. For the presence of the CRS interference, it can be known by the ON/OFF of serving cell CRS-RM in DSS scenario.If needed, we are also fine to limit the requirements to the typical scenarios, e.g., without CRS muting (i.e., network based CRS interference mitigation), and aligned MBSFN configuration among the serving and neighbouring cells, instead of considering all the scenarios supported in the specs but not used in the network.2) For CRS-ICFor CRS-IC, in order to obtain the CRS sequence, UE may need to perform inter-RAT neighbouring cell detection and PBCH reading. The feasibility can be discussed separately.In summary, we suggest:1) Discuss the network signalling for LLR weighting and CRS-IC separately2) Further align which parameters are needed to be known at UE for LLR weighting and CRS-IC respectively3) Then discuss whether these parameters can be obtained by any means at UE |
| Samsung | We support option 3. RAN shall respect WG decision on the recommendations including both baseline as well as further study on network assistance signalling as a package. RAN do not need to re-confirmed the baseline receiver and further discuss the network signalling necessity for baseline receiver and other receiver type which has been well/extensive discussed in RAN4. We do not need to repeat the discussions in RAN. We suggest to follow what RAN4 recommended in the next quarter discussions.  |
| Qualcomm | We support Option 1. Even though implementation of the feature without assistance data is feasible, there will be a clear increase in complexity(and consequently power consumption). The UE will have to do inter-RAT measurements and decoding of PBCH with the NR modem. Also, there will be a performance degradation because because of errors associated with detection and PBCH decoding of neighbor cells. Also, it is possible that PBCH decoding is not possible at certain levels (PBCH will always be colliding) even though interference mitigation could provide performance gains. Nokia’s proposal to consider assistance information in the future does not make much sense since Rel.17 UEs will carry the burden of additional complexity anyway.Option 3 implies that RAN4 will have further discussion which will likely take a long time. performance evaluation without assistance data will be difficult and cumbersome because it will imply making certain assumptions about whether a cell is detectable or not, after how much delay, etc.Irrespective of what the decision will be, there will be a loss in performance in the field if assistance data is not provided to UEs. |
| CMCC | Option 2 for LLR weighting and Option 3 for CRS-IC.Firstly, based on the simulation results from companies, when using CRS-IM without network assistance, the performance gain can be achieved.Secondly, only the power level of interference CRS needs to be estimated for LLR weighting, UE does not need to know the CRS location or sequence to do the mitigation. It is feasible for UE to do the blind detection without too much complexity. And the presence of interference can also be obtained from existing signalling, e.g. CRS-RM, 7.5KHz shift, inter-RAT MO configuration…Considering of the companies’ concerns about processing timeline and UE complexity for CRS-IC receiver, we are open to further discuss the necessity of network assistance signaling during requirements definition phase. |
| Ericsson | We support option 2. We are fine to base the requirements on LLR weighting to reduce the complexity burden on the UE. Lack of a need for network signalling will widen the scenarios in which there is a benefit form the feature. Considering that CRS-IM is useful when the UE is in connected mode and receiving data anyhow, we would like to understand just how much incremental UE complexity detecting the co-channel neighbour PBCH is in these circumstances. |
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# Annex: Contacts

Please provide a company contact that the email discussion moderator can contact if required.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contact name and email** |
| Huawei | Michal Szydelko, michal.szydelko@huawei.com |
| OPPO | Roy Hu, hurongyi@oppo.com |
| ZTE | Aijun CAO, cao.aijun@zte.com.cn |
| Apple | Manasa Raghavan, manasa.raghavan@apple.com |
| China Telecom | Jingzhou Wu, wujingzhou@chinatelecom.cn |
| Qualcomm | Valentin Gheorghiu, vgheorgh@qti.qualcomm.com |
| Ericsson | Thomas.chapman@ericsson.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |