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# Introduction

This discussion includes RP-211300 [1], RP-211425 [2], RP-211310 [3], RP-211478 [4].

# Contacts

Please provide a company contact that the email discussion moderator can contact if required.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contact name and email** |
| Moderator | Johan.Johansson@mediatek.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# NR URLLC UE categories/profiles

RP-211300 [1] Discusses the necessity of NR URLLC UE categories/profiles. The goal of the discussion in RAN#92-e is to make an initial decision on whether or not 3GPP RAN takes care of the definition of UE categories/profiles for URLLC, e.g. defining latency and/or reliability target, given the situation where Rel-17 is going to provide a complete set of URLLC functionalities.

Proposal from [1]: RAN to discuss again the necessity of UE category/profile for URLLC.

Q: Moderator asks companies to Please feedback on whether 3GPP RAN should take care of the definition of UE categories/profiles for URLLC, see explanations in [1]. Can also comment in general on [1].

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment / Justification** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Handling of TR 38.822 for Rel-16 and related handling of R1 and R4 feature lists.

As reported in the R2 report to RP-92-e, RP-210931, the current RAN2 agreements is to treat TR38.822 the same way for Rel-16 Contents as for Rel-15 Contents, i.e. the **Approach 2** below.

RP-211425 [2] proposes the following:

RAN discuss which approach should be taken to handle TS38.822 and notify RAN WGs to have common understanding on the future update on Rel-16 feature lists.

**Approach 1**: update the TR 38.822

An update is allowed to include new feature groups but not for any small “corrections” etc. Instead, the small “corrections” should be made directly to TS 38.306 if applicable.

**Approach 2**: not update the TR 38.822

RAN1/4 can continue with updated feature lists but they reside only in RAN1/4 Tdocs.

Any correction/new features will be introduced only in TS 38.306 directly.

**Approach 2a**: not update the TR 38.822 and RAN1/4 does not update feature lists

Any correction/new features will be introduced only in TS 38.306 directly

Q: Moderator asks companies to feedback on the proposals above, which approach would be preferred and justification, and whether any of the approaches above would not be acceptable. In particular if to deviate from current RAN2 decisions (approach 2), explicit opinions with justifications should be provided.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference** | **Comment / Justification** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Capability for per FR gap

RP-211310 [3] discussed Capability of per-FR gap and proposes the below:

Q: Moderator asks companies to feedback on the following proposal: Introduce a new A new “per-BC based per-FR gap capability” in Rel.16. If the feature cannot be introduced from Rel.16 because it is “too late”, it should be introduced from Rel.17

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No/Rel** | **Comment / Justification** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# RAN2 CR Pack in RP-211478

Any other comments on [4] RAN2 CR Pack in RP-2114768 (assume this was flagged)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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