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# Introduction

In this document, we will provide a summary for the email discussion on MBMS flexible bandwidth for Rel-16 LTE at RAN#90-e.

# Topic #1: MBMS flexible bandwidth

## Proposed objectives

Topic #1 will capture the outcome of the discussions on the following documents:

1) RP-202793 [1] containing a discussion paper on support of flexible bandwidth for MBMS

2) RP-202412 [2] containing a TS 36.213 Cat-F Rel-16 CR on Flexible bandwidth for MBMS

3) RP-202413 [3] containing a TS 36.331 Cat-F Rel-16 CR on Flexible bandwidth for MBMS.

## Initial round

### Open issues

The following summarizes the key proposal listed in [1].

**Proposal 1: Allow configuring PMCH bandwidth larger than the system bandwidth indicated by MIB. The following PMCH bandwidth values are supported for :**

* **8MHz:**
* **7MHz:**
* **6MHz:**

### Companies views’ collection

Issue 1: Is Proposal 1 from RP-202793 agreeable?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Issue 2: Is TS 36.213 Cat-F Rel-16 CR RP-202412 agreeable?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Issue 3: Is TS 36.331 Cat-F Rel-16 CR RP-202413 agreeable?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Summary and recommendation for further discussion

In this section, the summary of comments on Topic#1 and the corresponding recommendations are provided.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Summary and recommendation** |
|  |  |

## Intermediate round

### Open issues

### Companies views’ collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Summary and recommendation for further discussion

In this section, the summary of comments on Topic#1 and the corresponding recommendations are provided.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Summary and recommendation** |
|  |  |

## Fine-tuning round

### Open issues

### Companies views’ collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Summary and recommendation for further discussion

In this section, the summary of comments on Topic#1 and the corresponding recommendations are provided.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Summary and recommendation** |
|  |  |

## Final comments
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