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# Introduction

The documents intent to capture companies’ comments on the SID on extended 600 MHz NR band in[**RP-202515**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/TSG_RAN/TSGR_90e/Docs/RP-202515.zip)[1]. This is spectrum related SI.

# Comments on extended 600 MHz NR band

## Topics for discussion

* Sub-topic 1-1: SI objectives
* Sub-topic 1-2: Timeline e.g. number of meetings
* Sub-topic 1-3: Any other issue

## Companies’ views collected

Interested companies to provide comments on the following objectives:

The purpose of this study item is to:

Study a harmonised frequency variant approach within the frequency range of 612-652/663-703 MHz. The liaison statement from AWG to RAN4 has given two options B1 and B2 respectively. For each option it will be desirable to study the technical feasibility of the duplex filters needed, centre band gap, insertion loss.

For option B2 the duplex distance is 46 MHz as is the case with NR band n71. The bottom duplexer is the same as that of n71, with an additional upper duplexer that should have as large possible overlap as possible with the lower duplexer in n71 but at the same time being able to handle the duplex gap of 6 MHz. The size of this upper duplexer needs to be studied. The co-existence requirement with adjacent broadcast service below 617 MHz can be fulfilled with the same condition as in band n 71. It is assumed that there are no services in 657- 663 MHz.

For option B1 the duplex distance is 51 MHz , which may be considered in case of an additional broadcasting channel can be vacated such that the guard band to the adjacent broadcast service is still maintained similarly to band n 71. In addition, the protection of radio astronomy is required in certain countries in Region 3 ( WRC 15).

Both options B1 and B2 addressed here are just starting point for the feasibility study to enable the utilization on extended 600MHz band..

The AWG work plan forwarded to the 3GPP shows this work to be completed by September 2021.

Specifically, this study item includes the following objectives:

* Regulatory study of the frequency range around 600MHz
* Co-existence study for the frequency range of 612-652/663-703 MHz, (if needed)
* Study the two band plan (options B1 and B2 )for the frequency range of 612-652/663-703 MHz.
* Study the channel arrangement for the potential band, e.g. channel bandwidth, channel raster, center frequency, etc.
* Study of transmitter emissions and appropriate receiver characteristics for both BS and UE based on the band plans.
* Answer the request from AWG regarding the technical feasibility of option B1 and B2, respectively.
* Further extension of this study item may also involve a similar study for LTE

### Sub-topic 1-1: SI objectives

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Spark NZ Ltd | The objectives and the tasks listed in section 1.2 represent a fair and accurate representation of the study items needed. AWG has a meeting in March 2021. It will be desirable to send a response to their LS. |
| CBN | The B1 and B2 options are reasonable and suitable as the starting point of this study item, other potential extended 600MHz options are possible for further study as well depending on the actual regulatory in regions. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Support to have a SI for 600MHz. For the objectives, agree with CBN that option B1 and B2 should be starting point. The appropriate band plan depends on further study in RAN4. |
| DISH | Add the following note into the objectives for clarity “NOTE: The SI does not impact any requirements defined for US 600MHz band” |
| Skyworks | In low band, n71 requires the most difficult duplexer for full band support and some solution still have dual duplexer approach for n71 but unlike B2 that has 35MHz overlap, these have only 20MHz overlap. If achievable, extending by 5MHz like for B1 will potentially result in degraded performance for the n71 related spectrum. Regarding B2 the very small 6MHz gap will potentially result in limited band protection for the upper 5MHz DL, also if 35MHz bandwidth is currently discussed for n71, Recent RAN4 agreement are for DL only and UL stays limited to 20MHz. Finally its unclear how DTV coex can be achieved by the BS without any guard-band if the same DTV allocation than the one studied for n71 is assumed.  For all this the study cannot be limited to B1 and B2 only:  Default assumption should be the reuse of n71 full band duplexer and RAN4 to study how to potentially cover the additional 5MHz (DL and/or UL). Other solutions that B1 and B2 shall not be precluded and there may be constraint to support 35MHz in DL. |
| ZTE | Respect the APT’s request and we support to have a SI to study 600MHz. Option B1/B2 could be starting point for further front-end duplexer analysis. |
| Apple | Since the aim of the SI is to perform regulatory and co-existence study including potential channel arrangements and band plans, it would be premature to consider options B1 and B2 as the baseline or starting points for this discussion. As explained in comments from Skyworks, both B1 and B2 have certain challenges and thus RAN WG4 will need to take a broader look at how new spectrum can be supported accounting for existing bands and solutions.  Nevertheless, B1 and B2 are the options that RAN WG4 will have to analyze to answer the request from AWG. |
| Nokia | It is not clear why system parameters/requirements would need to be studied at this stage; we propose to focus on aspects related to the received LS (technical feasibility of option B1 and B2) and modify the objectives as follows. Due to extreme R4 workload, we propose to focus on NR only.  The proposed objectives are   * Regulatory study of the frequency range around 600MHz * Co-existence study for the frequency range of 612-652/663-703 MHz, (if needed) * Study the two frequency arrangements (options B1 and B2) and conclude the possible implications (such as insertion loss, transmitter and receiver characteristics, system limitations such as channel bandwidths, etc.) of different duplex filter implementations.   Answer the request from AWG regarding the technical feasibility of option B1 and B2, respectively. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 1-2: Timeline e.g. Number of meetings

The target completion date is RAN#92 (2 quarters)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| CBN | Okay with RAN#92 |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Okay with RAN#92 |
| Nokia | Propose to extend this SI to September as mentioned in the objectives |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 1-3: Any other issue

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Initial summary of discussion

*To be filled in by moderator*
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