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# Introduction

In this document, we will provide a summary on how to handle overlapped objectives in Rel-17 RAN1 items based on the following two contributions:

* RP-201658 Handling of overlapping discussion across WI/Sis vivo
* RP-201760 On overlapping focus of PUCCH repetition enhancements across Rel-17 SI/WIs Nokia, Nokia SB

# Proposals

In total, there are three proposals presented in RP-201658 and RP-201760, which are discussed below.

## HARQ-ACK on PUCCH

As proposed in RP-201658:

* ***Proposal 1:*** *To treat the following enhancements for HARQ-ACK on PUCCH in URLLC/IIOT\_enh WI and do not consider them further in Cov\_enh item.* 
  + *UCI size reduction for HARQ-ACK on PUCCH*
  + *Rel-16 PUSCH-repetition-Type-B like PUCCH repetition*
  + *Short/mini-slot/sub-slot based PUCCH repetition*
  + *Dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication*
  + *Power control enhancements for PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK*

In RP-201760, it was observed that:

* *Observation: Discussions & studies on PUCCH repetition enhancements are, besides in the Rel-17 IIoT & URLLC Enhancements WI, also taking place in the Rel-17 SI on Coverage Enhancements and in the M-TRP enhancements in the Rel-17 MIMO WI with the same proposed enhancements. Overlapping discussions and potentially the specification of competing solutions in more than one Rel-17 WI is clearly inefficient and should be prevented.*

Conseqeuently, it was proposed that:

* ***Proposal 2:*** *Studies on TDMed PUCCH (i.e. PUCCH repetition) to be continued for the multi-TRP case based on RAN1#102-e agreements in the Rel-17 feMIMO WI, with the aim that if specified to also support the special case of having only a single TRP.* 
  + *Related studies and discussions in the Rel-17 IIoT & URLLC WI including sub-slot or sub-slot type of PUCCH repetition, PUCCH TPC enhancements as well as support of dynamic repetition indication and repetition of all (incl. short) PUCCH formats are to be minimized.*
  + *Studies on the same techniques in the ongoing Rel-17 Coverage Enhancements SI are to be minimized for the rest of the SI phase or at least RAN should take this into account when defining the objectives of the follow-up Coverage Enhancements WI in one of the next RAN meetings.*

Questions:

* Do you agree with proposal 1 and proposal 2?
  + Please elaborate the detailed thoughts
* Any other thoughts?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| Nokia, NSB | We support proposal 2 for the reasons described in RP-201670 (Nokia). |
| FUTUREWEI | Between proposal 1 and 2, we prefer proposal 1. Furthermore, in FeMIMO WI, the related work should be on mTRP perspective and not on generic PUCCH repetition work. |
| Intel | <On Proposal 1>  We don’t support proposal 1 for the following reason.  There should be no issue with keeping the potential overlap between URLLC and CovEnh since the overlaps happen in the study phase and it may be important to look into the potential enhancements in the appropriate assumptions of a given WI/SI.  <On Proposal 2>  We are fine with main bullet of proposal 2 for the following reason, but think a single sub-bullet is sufficient stating “*Studies involving the same solutions in Rel-17 IIoT & URLLC WI and Coverage Enhancements SI should take this into account*”.  We tend to agree with the analyses in RP-201670. Basically, it is identified that the agreements on TDMed PUCCH (i.e. PUCCH repetitions) are already in normative state, and also that MIMO is better positioned to generalize single-TRP and multi-TRP operation. On the other hand, we think a single sub-bullet is sufficient stating “*Studies involving the same solutions in Rel-17 IIoT & URLLC WI and Coverage Enhancements SI should take this into account*”. In this way, it does not preclude having other solutions or enhanced solutions in the other SI/WIs while clarifying the discussions in MIMO WI on the solutions based on agreements in RAN1 #102e will continue without expanding scope in MIMO WID. |
| Panasonic | On proposal 1, we support it as to improve the reliability of short PUCCH and to increase the coverage of short PUCCH are quite same technique. Note that to increase the coverage/reliability of long PUCCH is not so related to URLLC/IIOT\_enh WI because of the latency and it should be covered by Coverage Enhancements SI.  On proposal 2, following discussion points would be more suitable in URLLC/IIOT\_enh WI.  - the interaction with PUSCH related to processing time and the processing order  - the priority handling  Therefore, single TRP handling should be handled in URLLC/IIOT\_enh WI and its extension to multpile TRP operation should be carried out in feMIMO WI. |
| ZTE | **On Proposal 1:**  Moving all these potential enhancements into URLLC/IIoT WI would significantly increase the workload there and may impact the overall progress of the normative work. It would be more appropriate to discuss this when NR CE SI moving to WI phase. At that time, a more prudent decision could be made based on further evaluations and a better workload balance.  **On Proposal 2:**  The focus in FeMIMO WI should be on enhancements for multiple TRP rather than single TRP. This should not only apply to PUCCH repetition but also other enhancements for PUCCH and also enhancements to PUSCH/PDCCH as in FeMIMO WI scope.  **Other:**  In case we plan to make some decisions on detailed work split in this RAN meeting, how to handling A-CSI on PUCCH should also be considered. It was discussed in both URLLC/IIoT WI and CE SI in RAN1#102-e meeting. |

Propoals:

* TBD

## Coverage enhancement features involving Rel-17 RedCap and CovEnh SIs

As proposed in RP-201658:

* ***Proposal 3****: we suggest the following work split between Cov\_enh and RedCap item for coverage enhancement features*
  + *During “coverage problem” identification process, to carry on coverage study for bottleneck channel identification for normal UE and RedCap UE individually together with the target for improvement*
  + *During the “technical enhancement” process*
    - *To discuss UL channel relate enhancement techniques (if any) in Cov\_enh for both normal UE and RedCap UEs*
    - *To discuss DL channel related enhancement techniques (if any) in RedCap for both normal UE (if applicable) and RedCap UEs.*

Questions:

* Do you agree with proposal 3?
  + Please elaborate the detailed thoughts
* Any other thoughts?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| Nokia, NSB | Given that those are both SIs with a similar timeline, we do not see significant concern on the related coverage studies, as to our understanding the underlying assumptions for those UEs are different enough to justify separate studies. Potential sinergies can be further considered when moving to a WID phase. |
| FUTUREWEI | The proposal is not needed at this point. We can discuss how to align the normative work when converting them to WIs. |
| Intel | Such work-split is not necessary at this stage. Evaluation methodology and assumptions in RedCap SI for studies on coverage performance for RedCap UEs are already being maximally aligned with CE SI approaches. Thus, we may not need to decide on any scoping now. We also agree with Nokia that potential overlaps on normative work can be avoided with proper scoping of the WIs. |
| Panasonic | Although work item split can be proposed direction depending on the outcome of study item, at this momemnt of SI, it is not required to agree proposal 3 for now. |
| ZTE | We agree that a proper handling on the scope can be considered further to avoid potential overlapping. While, it may be a bit premature as commented by other companies, and it could be discussed when moving to WIs. |

Propoals:

* TBD

## Other Aspects

Questions:

* Any other thoughts?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
|  |  |

Propoals:

* TBD

# Conclusion

Based on the email discussion, the following are proposed:

* TBD
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