

3G TR 25.921 V2.0.0 (1999-12)

Technical Report

3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Guidelines and Principles for protocol description and error handling (3G TR 25.921 version 2.0.0)



The present document has been developed within the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPPTM) and may be further elaborated for the purposes of 3GPP.

The present document has not been subject to any approval process by the 3GPP Organisational Partners and shall not be implemented. This Specification is provided for future development work within 3GPP only. The Organisational Partners accept no liability for any use of this Specification. Specifications and reports for implementation of the 3GPPTM system should be obtained via the 3GPP Organisational Partners' Publications Offices.

Reference

3TR/TSGR-0225921U

Keywords

<keyword[, keyword]>

3GPP

Postal address

3GPP support office address

650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis
Valbonne - FRANCE
Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Internet

<http://www.3gpp.org>

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission.
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© 1999, 3GPP Organizational Partners (ARIB, CWTS, ETSI, T1, TTA, TTC).
All rights reserved.

Contents

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Foreword..... | 5 |
| 1 Scope | 6 |
| 2 References..... | 6 |
| 3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations..... | 6 |
| 4 Principles to ensure compatibility..... | 6 |
| 4.1 Introduction..... | 6 |
| 4.2 Level 1 of principles : Protocol level | 7 |
| 4.3 Level 2 of principles : Message level..... | 7 |
| 4.3.1 New messages | 7 |
| 4.3.2 Partial decoding..... | 7 |
| 4.4 Level 3 of principles : Information element level | 7 |
| 4.4.1 New IE..... | 7 |
| 4.4.2 Optional IE..... | 7 |
| 4.4.3 Adding mandatory IE | 7 |
| 4.4.4 Missing optional IE | 7 |
| 4.4.5 Comprehension required | 8 |
| 4.4.6 Partial Decoding..... | 8 |
| 4.5 Level 4 of principles : Values level..... | 8 |
| 4.5.1 Reserved values and spare fields..... | 8 |
| 4.5.2 Unspecified values | 8 |
| 4.5.3 Missing optional value | 8 |
| 4.5.4 Extension of value set..... | 8 |
| 4.6 Decision of TSG RAN WG2 | 8 |
| 5 Message Sequence Charts..... | 8 |
| 6 Specification and Description Language | 9 |
| 7 Protocol procedure specification rules..... | 9 |
| 7.1 General..... | 9 |
| 7.2 RRC specific rules | 10 |
| 7.3 Handling of DS-41 | 10 |
| 8 Message specification..... | 10 |
| 8.1 Summary of what has been agreed..... | 10 |
| 8.2 Definitions | 11 |
| 8.3 Logical description..... | 11 |
| 8.4 Message contents description..... | 11 |
| 8.5 Compilability of the transfer syntax..... | 11 |
| 8.6 Efficiency/Compactness..... | 11 |
| 8.7 Evolvability/Extensibility | 11 |
| 8.8 Inter IE dependency | 11 |
| 8.9 Intra IE dependency | 12 |
| 8.10 Support of error handling..... | 12 |
| 9 Usage of tabular format | 12 |
| 9.1 Tabular description of messages and IEs..... | 12 |
| 9.1.1 Message description | 12 |
| 9.1.1.1 The general description..... | 12 |
| 9.1.1.2 The Information Element table | 12 |
| 9.1.1.2.1 Presence and multiplicity (Mult) columns | 13 |
| 9.1.1.2.1.1 Mandatory | 13 |
| 9.1.1.2.1.2 Optional | 13 |
| 9.1.1.2.1.3 Conditional | 13 |
| 9.1.1.2.1.4 Choice..... | 13 |
| 9.1.1.2.1.5 Sets | 14 |

| | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 9.1.1.2.2 | IE type and reference column..... | 14 |
| 9.1.1.2.3 | Semantics description..... | 15 |
| 9.1.1.2.4 | Expressing differences between FDD and TDD modes..... | 15 |
| 9.1.1.3 | Explanatory clauses | 15 |
| 9.1.2 | IE type description..... | 15 |
| 9.2 | Basic types | 15 |
| 9.2.1 | Enumerated..... | 15 |
| 9.2.2 | Boolean | 16 |
| 9.2.3 | Integer..... | 16 |
| 9.2.4 | Bit string..... | 16 |
| 10 | Usage of ASN.1 | 16 |
| 10.1 | Message level..... | 17 |
| 10.1.1 | Messages | 17 |
| 10.1.2 | Message definition | 17 |
| 10.1.3 | Messages and ASN.1 modules | 19 |
| 10.1.4 | Messages and SDL | 19 |
| 10.2 | Information element level | 20 |
| 10.2.1 | Message contents..... | 21 |
| 10.2.2 | Optional IEs and default values..... | 21 |
| 10.2.3 | New IEs..... | 21 |
| 10.2.4 | Comprehension required | 22 |
| 10.2.5 | Partial decoding..... | 22 |
| 10.2.6 | Error specification | 22 |
| 10.3 | Component level | 22 |
| 10.3.1 | Extensibility..... | 23 |
| 10.3.2 | Comprehension required | 23 |
| 10.3.3 | Partial decoding..... | 23 |
| 10.3.4 | Boolean | 23 |
| 10.3.5 | Integer..... | 23 |
| 10.3.6 | Enumerated..... | 24 |
| 10.3.7 | Bit string..... | 24 |
| 10.3.8 | Octet string | 25 |
| 10.3.9 | Null..... | 25 |
| 10.3.10 | Sequence..... | 25 |
| 10.3.11 | Sequence-of..... | 26 |
| 10.3.12 | Choice..... | 26 |
| 10.3.13 | Restricted character string types..... | 27 |
| 10.3.14 | IEs and ASN.1 modules | 27 |
| 11 | Message transfer syntax specification | 28 |
| 11.1 | Selection of transfer syntax specification method..... | 28 |
| 11.2 | Specialised encoding..... | 28 |
| 11.2.1 | General notation | 28 |
| 11.2.2 | Shorthand notation | 29 |
| | History..... | 31 |

Foreword

This Technical Report has been produced by the 3GPP.

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of this TS, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

- x the first digit:
 - 1 presented to TSG for information;
 - 2 presented to TSG for approval;
 - 3 Indicates TSG approved document under change control.
- y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.
- z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

1 Scope

The present document provides a guideline for using formal languages in protocol description of UMTS stage 2 and 3 and rules for error handling. This document covers all interfaces involved in radio access protocols such as Uu, Iu, Iur and Iub.

2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies.

- [1] ITU X.680: "Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Specification of the basic notation"
- [2] ITU X.681: "Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Information object specification"
- [3] ITU X.682: "Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Constraint specification"
- [4] ITU X.690: "ASN.1 Encoding Rules : Specification of Basic Encoding Rules (BER), Canonical Encoding Rules (CER) and Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER)"
- [5] ITU X.691: "ASN.1 Encoding Rules - Specification of Packed Encoding Rules (PER)"
- [6] CSN.1: "specification, version 2.0"
- [7] ITU Z.100: " Specification and description language (SDL)"
- [8] ITU Z.105: " SDL Combined with ASN.1 (SDL/ASN.1)"
- [9] ITU Z.120: "Message Sequence Chart (MSC)"
- [10] ISO/IEC 9646-3: "The Tree and Tabular Combined Notation"
- [11] TR 00.00 V1.0.0 (1998-11): 3GPP Drafting rules

3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations

4 Principles to ensure compatibility

4.1 Introduction

The rules edicted intends to prevent incompatibilities between several phases of UMTS evolution (analog to what happened from GSM phase 1to GSM phase 2).

4.2 Level 1 of principles : Protocol level

It shall be possible to discriminate different versions of any protocol.

An unknown protocol shall not cause problems to any entity that terminates the protocol. The messages using this protocol discriminator shall be discarded by the receiving entity.

As a consequence, introduction of new protocol shall not disturb any receiving entity

4.3 Level 2 of principles : Message level

4.3.1 New messages

New message types shall be able to be introduced without causing any damage. New messages not understood shall be discarded by the receiving entity.

As an exception to this principle it can be possible to define a mechanism that allows a different behaviour when a specific reaction is requested from the receiving entity. This mechanism has to be implemented from the beginning. A special care has to be taken into account when defining broadcast messages and the associated Error handling. Further refinement on this paragraph is needed.

Such a mechanism is not required inside the network part.

4.3.2 Partial decoding

Partial decoding means that a PDU can be decoded in parts. One part forms a complete value that can be separated from other parts. A decoding error in a part does not invalidate previously decoded parts. Subsequent parts are however invalidated because if an error has occurred one can not be sure whether the trailing values are really valid.

Example: A multipurpose PDU contains a list of four PDUs. The two first PDUs are valid but the third one is invalid. The two first are decoded but the third and fourth ones are ignored.

4.4 Level 3 of principles : Information element level

4.4.1 New IE

New elements shall generally be discarded when not understood.

In some cases new elements might be taken into account when specific behaviour is requested from the receiving side (e.g. a rejection of the message is expected when the element is not understood: «comprehension required»).

4.4.2 Optional IE

Optional IE should be located after mandatory ones.

4.4.3 Adding mandatory IE

For backward compatibility reasons, addition of mandatory IE shall be avoided. In the first stage of UMTS, a set of functionality is available for each class of UE. Mandatory IE may be added only if they are mandatory for further classes of UE.

4.4.4 Missing optional IE

Missing optional element may be understood as having a certain default value hence a defined meaning.

See also missing values in Values level.

4.4.5 Comprehension required

"Comprehension required" requirement can be associated with an IE. It means that after an IE value has been decoded then the value is validated according to some specified criteria. Failure in validation causes rejection of the message.

Example: A broadcast message contains a list of recipient addresses. If a recipient's address is not included in the list then a recipient ignores the whole message.

4.4.6 Partial Decoding

The notion of partial decoding shall also be applied at the IE level.

4.5 Level 4 of principles : Values level

4.5.1 Reserved values and spare fields

Reserved values shall be forbidden. Otherwise entity receiving such a value shall reject the message. This would create difficulties when provided on broadcast channel.

Spare field shall be forbidden. Otherwise entity receiving such a spare field shall not make any decoding on that field and shall not reject the message.

4.5.2 Unspecified values

As far as possible default understanding shall be provided for unspecified values.

4.5.3 Missing optional value

A default value may be specified for the receiver when the sender did not include a field containing this value.

4.5.4 Extension of value set

There are cases when a data field may originally contain only a definite set of values. In the future the set of values grows but the number new values can be anticipated. There are two alternative ways to specify extension of a value set:

- 1) Infinite extension of a value set. Example: The first version of a data field may contain only values 0-3. In the future the field may contain any positive integer value.
- 2) Finite extension of a value set. Example: The first version of a data field may contain only values 0-3. In the future values 4-15 shall also be used.

4.6 Decision of TSG RAN WG2

TSG RAN WG2 decided to use version number for MAC and RLC protocol layers.

TSG RAN WG2 is not able to decide yet what is the best to ensure compatibility when extending RRC in future releases.

5 Message Sequence Charts

It is agreed to recommend the use MSCs as one of the formal methods.

MSCs is adapted for description of normal behaviour of protocol layers between peer entities and/or through SAPs. So it may be used in stage 2 of protocol description.

6 Specification and Description Language

The groups are encouraged to use of SDL where appropriate. The SDL code included in the standards should follow the descriptive SDL guidelines from ETSI TC-MTS (DEG MTS-00050) as closely as possible.

The groups themselves should decide how SDL is used.

In some protocol parts, text is more adapted (eg : algorithm or multiplexing), in some other parts SDL is better.

SDL is adapted for describing the observable behaviour of a protocol layer.

In TSG RAN WG2, release 99 of the specifications shall not use SDL for the normative part of the specifications. This may be revisited in future releases.

7 Protocol procedure specification rules

7.1 General

- The procedure specification shall be made using text and verbal forms
- Words “shall”, “should” and “may” are used in conformance with [10] Annex E.
- All normal cases shall be covered. Normal cases are straightforward cases, branches of procedures and combinaisons of procedures
- The way to describe procedures is the following :
 - Protocol errors (global to the protocol layer)
 - Error handling (global to the protocol layer)
 - ...
 - 1. Procedure <Procedure Name>
 - list of normal cases
 - Protocol errors (specific to the procedure)
 - Error handling (specific to the procedure)
- Redundancy/duplication shall be avoided, in order to avoid problems with later CR, even if this makes the specification initially less readable
- Mutual crossreferencing shall be introduced: section X that is referred to in section Y should also *say* that it is referred to in section Y
- States and state variables should be used when it provides unambiguity, a way to describe nested procedures and colliding cases
- Timers, variables and constants and usage of them must be specified
- Explicit explanation when the action shall be performed is specified in the procedure itself
- The chapter “Default actions upon reception of an IE” is used to avoid duplication of text, this chapter is put at the beginning of the “Message contents to use” text
- When optional (or conditional) IEs are possible in a given message, the meaning of the presence (ie : which «function» are activated with the given IE) shall be specified in the procedure.
- The formal values of the IE, e.g., "TRUE" or "FALSE" rather than the coded value, e.g. "1" or "0" shall be used

- Requirements on the content of a message at the sending entity is put before analysis of the message at the receiving entity
- References to IEs that are parts of another IE is allowed. The notation shall be changed to the so-called "dot-notation" for references to IEs that are parts of another IE
- Names of IEs shall be put between "<IE Name>" quotes, where <IE Name> is the exact name from the tabular format
- Square brackets [] shall be used for addressing one element of a list
- When referring to a message, "<MESSAGE NAME>" *message* shall be used. Message names are always in upper cases and the word message follows the message name

7.2 RRC specific rules

- The specification shall focus on the UE behaviour
- Only UE timers are normative (when UTRAN timers are present, it is for information)
- The procedure specification text shall specify how the UE shall handle the IEs
- "UTRAN shall" shall be only used when UTRAN behaviour is normative
- It shall be specified whether timers shall be started when RRC sends the message to lower layers or when the message is effectively sent at the radio interface
- UE performance requirements are considered to be TSG RAN WG2 work. These must be specified only if they are testable

7.3 Handling of DS-41

- Modeling of RRC services is provided by means of primitives
- RRC CN dependent info :
- In broadcast message, neighbour cells are described the same way as for GSM neighbour cells (ie : in the same SystemInformationBlock but with a tag to indicate CN type or RTT)
- In dedicated messages
 - a transparent container as NAS info is used to carry ANSI-41
 - for PLMN Id and Identities used by the RRC, the CN Type info is used,
 - NAS binding info is used
 - Routing info is FFS
- In Paging messages, a tag to indicate CN type is used
- Extensions like handover message to Multicarrier is handled the same way as GSM
- Ciphering is FFS

8 Message specification

8.1 Summary of what has been agreed

- 1) use subset of ASN.1 (compatible with Z.105) for definition of message contents description of protocol messages

- 2) there is a need for a default encoding, which can be applied in most cases
- 3) there is a need for a special encoding e.g. by means of CSN.1.
- 4) how to link the message contents description to the different encoding rules needs to be specified.
- 5) ASN.1 definitions can be used within SDL and TTCN parts of the specifications.

8.2 Definitions

Message descriptions are divided into three levels:

- a logical description, which describes messages and relevant information elements in an easily understandable, semi-formal fashion;
- a message contents description, which describes the messages formally and completely in an abstract fashion; and
- a message encoding, which defines the encoded messages (i.e. what is carried as a bit string).

8.3 Logical description

The logical description of messages shall be done using tabular format specified in clause 8 of this document. Message contents description

8.4 Message contents description

The message contents descriptions shall be written using ASN.1. The message encoding shall be based on the ASN.1 description.

8.5 Compilability of the transfer syntax

The transfer syntax should allow as automatic as possible compilers which transform a sequence of received bits into a sequence of IEs which can be utilised by the protocol machine. CSN.1 may be used. A link between message contents description and transfer syntax needs to be specified.

8.6 Efficiency/Compactness

The transfer syntax should allow to minimise the size of messages if so necessary. It should allow protocol dependant optimisations.

8.7 Evolvability/Extensibility

The message contents description shall allow the evolution of the protocol.

The transfer syntax shall keep the same level of compactness as the initial design.

8.8 Inter IE dependency

The message contents description shall allow that presence of IEs depends on values in previous IEs.

The description of messages should avoid dependency between values in different IE. Indeed, it would mean that values are not independent and that there is a redundancy.

8.9 Intra IE dependency

The abstract and transfer syntaxes shall allow that, within an IE, some fields depend on previous ones.

8.10 Support of error handling

The syntax used should support optional IEs, default values, partial decoding, "comprehension required" and extensibility as defined above.

9 Usage of tabular format

A protocol specification should include a 'Tabular description' sub-clause, including

- A message description sub-clause;
- An IE description sub-clause

9.1 Tabular description of messages and IEs

9.1.1 Message description

A 'Message description' sub-clause includes one sub-clause per message.

A message is described with, in this order:

- A general description, including the flow the message belongs to (e.g., SAP, direction,...); this indirectly points to the message header description, which is not described again for each message;
- A table describing a list of information elements;
- Explanatory clauses, mainly for describing textually conditions for presence or absence of some IEs.

9.1.1.1 The general description

9.1.1.2 The Information Element table

The table is composed of 5 columns, labelled and presented as shown below.

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|---------------|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | |

NOTE: Indentations are used to visualise the embedding level of an "IE/Group" or "IE type and reference".

Indentations are explicitly written with the character ">", one per level of indentation. Indentations of lines can be found in IE/Group Name and IE Type and reference columns.

Each line corresponds either to an IE or to a group. A group includes all the IEs in following lines until, and not including, a line with the same indentation as the group line.

Dummy groups can be used for legibility: the following IE/Group has the same indentation. For such dummy groups, the Presence and Mult columns are meaningless and should be left empty.

The "IE type and reference" column is not filled in the case of a group line and must be filled for "IE/Group Name" column

This column gives the local name of the IE or of a group of IEs. This name is significant only within the scope of the described message, and must appear only once in the column at the same level of indentation. It is a free text, which

should be chosen to reflect the meaning of the IE or group of IEs. This text is to be used followed by the key word IE, the whole enclosed between quotes [or in italics] to refer to the IE or the group of IEs in the procedural description.

The first word 'choice' has a particular meaning, and must not be used otherwise.

9.1.1.2.1 Presence and multiplicity (Mult) columns

These columns provide most of the information about the presence, absence and number of copy of the IE (in the message or in the group) or group of IEs. The different possibilities for these columns are described one by one.

9.1.1.2.1.1 Mandatory

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|---------------|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Name | M | | | |

The multiplicity column must be left empty.

For an IE not belonging to a group this indicates that one and only one copy of 'Name IE' is necessary in the message.

For a group not belonging to another group, this means that one and only one copy of the 'Name group' is necessary in the message.

For an IE or a group belonging to another group, this means that if the parent group is present, then one and only one copy of the 'Name group' or 'Name IE' is necessary in the embedding group.

9.1.1.2.1.2 Optional

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|---------------|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Name | O | | | |

The multiplicity column is empty.

This indicates that the 'Name IE' or 'Name group' is not necessary in the message or the embedding group, and that the sender can choose not to include it.

9.1.1.2.1.3 Conditional

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|---------------|---------------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | <i>C cond</i> | | | |

The multiplicity column is empty.

This indicates that the presence of absence of the IE or group of IE depends on the value of some other IE or IEs, and/or on the message flow (e.g., channel, SAP). The condition is to be described in a textual form in an explanatory clause. *cond* stands for a free text that is used as a reference in the title of the explanatory clause.

When condition is met may means that IE is :

- Mandatorily present
- Mandatorily absent
- Optional
- Absent, but optional (this is meaningful only for extension)

9.1.1.2.1.4 Choice

This is particular group of at least two children.

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|--------------------|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Choice <i>name</i> | | | | |
| > <i>Name1</i> | | | | |
| > <i>Name2</i> | | | | |

A ‘choice’ group is distinguished from standard groups by the use of ‘choice’ as first word in the name.

The Presence and Mult columns are filled normally for the group line. They are not filled for the children lines: the implicit value is conditional, one condition being that one and only one of the children is present if the group is present.

If additional conditions (depending on the value of some other IE or IEs, and/or on the message flow) exist for the choice, they are explained in an explanatory clause.

9.1.1.2.1.5 Sets

In general, this indicates that more than one copy of an IE/Group might be necessary in the message.

The two lines below indicate different allowed alternatives.

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|---------------|----------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Name | | nn..pp | | |
| Name | | nn..indefinite | | |
| Name | | nn..sym2 | | |
| Name | | sym1..pp | | |
| Name | | sym1..sym2 | | |
| Name | C cond | nn ..pp | | |
| Name | C cond | nn..indefinite | | |
| Name | C cond | nn..sym2 | | |
| Name | C cond | sym1..pp | | |
| Name | C cond | sym1..sym2 | | |

Where *nn* and *pp* stand for positive integers, and *sym1* and *sym2* for symbolic names. The presence column can be empty or C.

The notation ‘..’ can be replaced with the same meaning by ‘to’.

This indicates that a number of copies of the IE/Group are necessary in the message/embedding group. The order is significant. The reference should use the bracket notation (e.g., ‘Name[1] IE’) to refer to a specific copy; numbering starts by 1.

The *nn..pp* case indicates that the number of copies is between *nn* and *pp*, inclusively. This means that *nn* copies are necessary in the message, that additional *pp-nn* copies are optional and meaningful, and that copies after the *pp*th are not necessary.

The number *nn* is positive or null. The number *pp* must be equal or greater than *nn*. The 1..1 case should be avoided, and a M indication used instead. Similarly, the 0..1 case should be avoided and replaced by an O indication.

The *nn..indefinite* case indicates that the number of copies is *nn* or greater. This means that *nn* copies are necessary in the message, and that additional copies are optional and meaningful. The number *nn* is positive or null. It is however allowed that the transfer syntax puts some practical limits on the maximum number of copies.

The use of a symbolic name for one or the other of the range bounds indicates that the value is given in a textual clause. This is necessary the case when the bound depends is conditional to the value of some other IE or IEs.

The presence column is set to C followed by a condition name to indicate that the number of necessary or optional copies is conditional to the value of some other IE or IEs, or on the flow. An explanatory clause describes the condition. Otherwise, the column is left empty.

9.1.1.2.2 IE type and reference column

This column is not filled for groups and must be filled for IEs.

This column includes the reference to a more detailed abstract description of the IE. This includes:

- a) A reference to a sub-clause in the Information Element Description clause in the same document; Typically the sub-clause number and titles are given, and if possible this should be a hypertext link;
- b) A reference to another document, and to a sub-clause in the Information Element Description clause in the indicated document; typically only the sub-clause title is indicated;
- c) A reference to a sub-clause of this document, with possibly additional information as described.

9.1.1.2.3 Semantics description

Filling this column is optional. It should be used to clarify the meaning of the IE or group of IE, as a summary of their use as described in the procedural part.

9.1.1.2.4 Expressing differences between FDD and TDD modes

If a PDU or a structured information element contain information elements whose presence value is different for FDD and TDD modes or if a certain structured information element is completely different for the two modes, a choice group should be used.

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|-------------------|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Choice systemtype | | | | |
| >FDD | | | | |
| >>element1 | M | | | |
| >>element2 | O | | | |
| >TDD | | | | |
| >>element3 | O | | | |
| >>element4 | M | | | |

9.1.1.3 Explanatory clauses

This includes the sub-clauses needed to elaborate conditions and symbolic names (e.g., range bounds). There must be one explanatory clause for each named condition, and for each symbolic name. The text must give the information sufficient to decide whether the IE/group is to be included or not, or the value of the symbolic name.

9.1.2 IE type description

This describes IE types referred elsewhere, either in the description of a message or in the description of another IE type. The description of an IE type must be as generic as possible, i.e., independent of any specific use.

An 'IE description' sub-clause includes one sub-clause per IE type.

The description of an IE type is done as a table similar to that used for the description of messages.

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|---------------|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|---------------|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|

The different columns are filled exactly as message description columns are filled.

9.2 Basic types

To reduce the text in tabular descriptions, some basic abstract types of IE are defined in this document.

9.2.1 Enumerated

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|---------------|----------|------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Enumerated (<i>c1, c2, c3</i>) | |
| | | | Enumerated (<i>1..n</i>) | |

In the first format, *c1*, *c2*, *c3* stands for a list of 2 or more symbolic names separated by commas.

In the second format, *n* is an integer, and indicates a list of *n* different values, with no particular property except for being distinct.

This indicates that the value of the IE when present takes one and only one of the values indicated in the list.

9.2.2 Boolean

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|---------------|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Boolean | |

This is shorthand for:

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------------|--|
| | | | Enumerated (<i>False</i> , <i>True</i>) | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------------|--|

9.2.3 Integer

The different lines below indicate different alternatives.

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|---------------|----------|------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Integer | |
| | | | Integer (<i>nn..pp</i>) | |
| | | | Integer (<i>nn..indefinite</i>) | |
| | | | Integer (<i>sym1..pp</i>) | |
| | | | Integer (<i>nn..sym2</i>) | |
| | | | Integer (<i>sym1..sym2</i>) | |

Where *nn* and *pp* stand for positive, negative or null integers, and *sym1* and *sym2* for symbolic names. The presence column must be left empty.

This corresponds to whole or a subset of the set of positive, negative or null integers, as defined by usual mathematics.

The range notation is self-explanatory. In the two unbounded cases, practical bounds may be imposed by the transfer syntax.

Some care should be applied not to present as Integer a field carrying a type of information which has nothing to do with integer, i.e., used in additions/subtractions, or as a discrete representation of a continuous data. If those conditions are not met, the bit string is to be preferred.

9.2.4 Bit string

| IE/Group Name | Presence | Mult | IE Type and reference | Semantics description |
|---------------|----------|------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Bit string (<i>nn</i>) | |

Where *nn* is a positive non null number indicating the number of bits in the string.

10 Usage of ASN.1

The following clauses contain guidelines for specification of protocol messages with ASN.1. The purpose of ASN.1 is to make it possible to specify message contents description of a message (i.e. what is the contents of a message) separately from its transfer syntax (i.e. how a message is encoded for transmission). The features that ASN.1 provides include specification of:

- Extensibility (both structural and extension of value set)
- Optional IEs and values (see the clauses 0 and 0)
- Default values (see the clauses 0 and 0)

- Comprehension required (see the clause 0)
- Inter/Intra IE dependency (see the clause 0)
- Specification of partial decoding (see the clause 0)

The clause 11 specifies how message transfer syntax is specified. It should be noted that importance of some transfer syntax properties must be determined early during specification because of their effect on message contents description specification possibilities. The properties are **compactness** and **extensibility**. If extreme compactness is required then extensibility must be restricted. If good extensibility is required then compromises must be done regarding compactness. The sections concerning these issues are marked in the following clauses as **COMPACTNESS** and **EXTENSIBILITY**.

Identifiers that could be keywords of another language (eg : SDL, C, ASN.1, JAVA, C++, ...) should be avoided.

10.1 Message level

10.1.1 Messages

It is presumed that messages share the same structure, namely that they contain an identification part and a contents part. An identification part contains an IE that identifies a message among all messages in some context.

A contents part contains message specific IEs.

IE is a list of components.

Example: A protocol layer XYZ contains three messages: A, B and C. The structure of the messages is as presented in the figure 10.1.1.1.




Figure 10.1.1.1: Three example messages

Messages are specified using ASN.1 [1]. There are three ASN.1 types, *MessageA*, *MessageB* and *MessageC*, which contain definitions for the contents of the above messages. The mapping between the message contents types and message identifiers is as follows:

| Message id | Type of message contents |
|------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | MessageA |
| 2 | MessageB |
| 3 | MessageC |

New message types will be introduced in the future.

In cases where different PDUs have different identification schemes it is possible to apply this categorisation for a set of PDUs that share the same identification scheme.

10.1.2 Message definition

In order to capture information in the previous clause the following three things must be defined:

1. A structure for the table
2. The table itself

3. A generic message structure which can contain both message identifier IE and message contents IEs (i.e. id 1 + *MessageA*, id 2 + *MessageB*, id 3 + *MessageC*)

The table structure is defined as follows using ASN.1 classes [2]:

```

XYZ-MESSAGE ::= CLASS {
    &id      MessageId UNIQUE,
    &Type
}
WITH SYNTAX {
    &id &Type
}

MessageId ::= INTEGER (0..63)

```

The table is defined as follows:

```

XYZ-Messages XYZ-MESSAGE ::= {
    { messageA-id MessageA } |
    { messageB-id MessageB } |
    { messageC-id MessageC } ,
    ...                -- Extension marker => additional messages
                      -- can be introduced.
}

messageA-id MessageId ::= 1
messageB-id MessageId ::= 2
messageC-id MessageId ::= 3

```

The following type represents the generic message structure that can carry values of the messages specified in the *XYZ-Messages* table.

```

XYZ-Message ::= SEQUENCE {
    id      XYZ-MESSAGE.&id    ({XYZ-Messages}),
           -- MessageId: 1, 2 or 3

    contents XYZ-MESSAGE.&Type ({XYZ-Messages}@id)
           -- id=1 => MessageA, id=2 => MessageB, id=3 => MessageC
}

```

The above definition means that if *id* is 1 then the *Message* type could be interpreted as the following type:

```

XYZ-Message ::= SEQUENCE {
    id      MessageId,          -- 1
    contents SEQUENCE {
        ie1      IE1,
        ie2      IE2
    }
}

```

If *id* is 2 then the type could be interpreted as the following type:

```

XYZ-Message ::= SEQUENCE {
    id      MessageId,          -- 2
    contents SEQUENCE {
        ie3      IE3,
        ie4      IE4
    }
}

```

10.1.3 Messages and ASN.1 modules

ASN.1 definitions shall be placed in ASN.1 modules such that definitions in a module form a logical unit. For example PDUs definitions for one protocol layer could be in one ASN.1 module and IE definitions in another.

The tagging mode for the modules shall be "AUTOMATIC TAGS". Note that "AUTOMATIC TAGS" is not relevant for PER.

Example: A message definition module for the XYZ protocol layer.

```

XYZ-Messages DEFINITIONS AUTOMATIC TAGS ::=
BEGIN

XYZ-Messages XYZ-MESSAGE ::= {
    { messageA-id MessageA } |
    { messageB-id MessageB } |
    { messageC-id MessageC } ,
    ...
    -- Additional messages can be introduced.
}

MessageA ::= SEQUENCE {
    -- Message contents
}

messageA-id MessageId ::= 1

MessageB ::= SEQUENCE {
    -- Message contents
}

messageB-id MessageId ::= 2

MessageC ::= SEQUENCE {
    -- Message contents
}

messageC-id MessageId ::= 3

END

```

10.1.4 Messages and SDL

The identifiers *messageA-id*, *MessageA*, *messageB-id*, etc. can be used in descriptive SDL when protocol behaviour is specified. Note that classes and objects can not be referenced in SDL96 but are allowed in SDL2000. Types and values however can be imported to SDL definitions. The figures below contain some examples about usage of ASN.1 in SDL specifications.

```

imports
  MessageA, messageA_id,
  MessageId
from SomeASN1Module;

signal XYZ_MessageA(
  MessageId, MessageA);

dcl aVariable MessageA;

```

Figure 10.1.4.1 : Import and use of ASN.1 definitions in SDL.

```

XYZ_MessageA(
  messageA_id,
  aVariable)

```

Figure 10.1.4.2 : Sending of a message id and contents.

10.2 Information element level

Messages consist of information elements.

The following ASN.1 message types are used in the following clauses.

```

MessageA ::= SEQUENCE {
  ie1 IE1,          -- A mandatory IE.

  ie2 IE2 OPTIONAL,      -- An optional IE.

  -- Extensions from there
  ExtensionMarker SEQUENCE {} OPTIONAL
}

MessageB ::= SEQUENCE {
  ie3 IE3
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- ComprehensionRequired(is for receiver) --}
    !comprehensionRequiredFailure) ,

  ie4 IE4 DEFAULT 0,      -- An optional IE with a default value.

  -- Extensions from there
  ExtensionMarker SEQUENCE {} OPTIONAL
}

```

```

MessageC ::= SEQUENCE {
  ie1 IE1
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- PartialDecoding(OnErrorIgnoreRest) --}
    !partialDecodingFailure)
    OPTIONAL,

  ie5 IE5
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- PartialDecoding(OnErrorIgnoreRest) --}
    !partialDecodingFailure)
    OPTIONAL,

  -- Extensions from there
  ExtensionMarker SEQUENCE {
    ie6 IE6
      (CONSTRAINED BY {-- PartialDecoding(OnErrorIgnoreRest) --}
      !partialDecodingFailure)
      OPTIONAL          -- A new IE
  } OPTIONAL
}

-- Error codes
comprehensionRequiredFailure INTEGER ::= 1
partialDecodingFailure      INTEGER ::= 2

```

10.2.1 Message contents

A message contents structure is defined using a sequence type (0).

Example: *MessageA*, *MessageB* and *MessageC* are message contents structures.

10.2.2 Optional IEs and default values

An IE can be marked as optional.

COMPACTNESS: Optional IEs shall be after mandatory ones. When using ASN.1 with PER, this requirement is not relevant.

When the extension “SEQUENCE {} OPTIONAL” is used, the sender shall never indicate that the field is present.

Example: *MessageA.ie2* is an optional IE.

| | | |
|-----|-----|-----------------|
| ie2 | IE2 | OPTIONAL |
|-----|-----|-----------------|

An IE can be marked as being optional and having a default value. In those cases a missing optional IE may be understood as having a certain value hence a defined meaning.

Example: *MessageB.ie4* is an optional IE with a default value.

| | | |
|-----|-----|------------------|
| ie4 | IE4 | DEFAULT 0 |
|-----|-----|------------------|

10.2.3 New IEs

EXTENSIBILITY: If new IEs will be added to a message then the message contents structure must be specified as extensible using the ellipsis notation (...). New IEs shall be added after the extension marker. New IEs shall be optional or shall have default values.

Example: *MessageC.ie6* is an additional optional IE.

```
... ,
    ie6 IE6 OPTIONAL
```

10.2.4 Comprehension required

"Comprehension required" requirement can be associated with an IE. It means that after an IE value has been decoded then the value is validated. Failure in validation causes rejection of the message.

The requirement is specified as an extension to ASN.1 by using user defined constraints [3]. The comment part of the constraint shall be of the form:

ComprehensionRequired(<additional constraint>)

where <additional constraint> specifies the rule that the IE must satisfy.

Example: The *MessageB* is a broadcast message. The *ie3* IE contains recipient addresses. It is not until the addresses have been decoded when a receiver can decide whether it should decode the rest of the message or not.

```
ie3 IE3
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- ComprehensionRequired(is for receiver) --}
     !comprehensionRequiredFailure) ,
```

10.2.5 Partial decoding

"Partial decoding" means that a PDU can be decoded in parts. One part forms a complete value that can be separated from other parts. A decoding error in a part does not invalidate previously decoded parts. Subsequent parts are however invalidated.

"Partial decoding" is specified as an extension to ASN.1 using user defined constraints. The comment of constraint shall be of the form:

PartialDecoding(<OnErrorClause>)

where <OnErrorClause> specifies action in case of a decoding error. The possible alternatives are:

- OnErrorIgnoreRest: End decoding, ignore rest of the message

Example: The *MessageC* is a multipurpose message. The IEs *ie1*, *ie5* and *ie6* are independent of each other.

```
ie1 IE1
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- PartialDecoding(OnErrorIgnoreRest) --}
     !partialDecodingFailure)
```

10.2.6 Error specification

An error specification can be associated with user defined constraints.

A simple integer value can be associated with an exception specification or as elaborate structured value as needed.

Example: If decoding of *ie1* fails then decoder returns the error code *partialDecodingFailure*.

```
ie1 IE1
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- PartialDecoding(OnErrorIgnoreRest) --}
     !partialDecodingFailure)
```

10.3 Component level

Information elements consist of components.

The following ASN.1 types shall be used at the component level:

- Boolean (0)
- Integer (0)
- Enumerated (0)
- Bit string (0)
- Octet string (0)
- Null (0)
- Sequence (0)
- Sequence-of (0)
- Choice (0)
- Character string types (0)

10.3.1 Extensibility

COMPACTNESS: In the component level use of ASN.1 extensibility is forbidden unless otherwise stated in the following clauses.

10.3.2 Comprehension required

"Comprehension required" can be applied to components of sequence types, alternatives of choice types and elements of sequence-of types. See 0

10.3.3 Partial decoding

"Partial decoding" can be applied to components of sequence types, alternatives of choice types and elements of sequence-of types. See 0

10.3.4 Boolean

Example: A simple boolean type.

```
Flag ::= BOOLEAN  
setFlag Flag ::= TRUE
```

10.3.5 Integer

An integer type should be constrained.

COMPACTNESS: An integer type shall be constrained to have a finite value set. The value set can be either continuous or non-continuous.

Named numbers can be associated with an integer type.

COMPACTNESS, EXTENSIBILITY: If an integer type needs to be extended in the future then two value sets must be defined:

- A value set that specifies the values that can be sent in the current protocol version.
- A value set that specifies all the possible values that can be received now and in the future.

The former value set is specified in a user-defined constraint. The comment part shall be of the form:

Send(<value set>)

The latter form is specified using a normal constraint, e.g. a value range constraint.

Examples: Integer types and values.

```

Counter          ::= INTEGER (0..255)   -- 0 <= Counter value <= 255
SparseValueSet ::= INTEGER (0|3|5|6|8|11)
SignedInteger  ::= INTEGER (-10..10)

-- idle stands for value 0.
Status         ::= INTEGER { idle(0), veryBusy(3) } (0..3)

-- Send values 0..3 but be prepared to receive values 0..15.
Extensible    ::= INTEGER (0..15)(CONSTRAINED BY {-- Send(0..3) --})

initialCounter Counter          ::= 0
zero           SparseValueSet ::= 0
initialStatus Status           ::= idle

```

10.3.6 Enumerated

The EnumerationItem shall not contain a named number (eg : foo (3)).

The list of enumerated values specifies the value set for an enumerated type.

COMPACTNESS, EXTENSIBILITY: If an enumerated type needs to be extended in the future then two value sets must be defined as in case of integer types.

Note: An integer type with named numbers can be used as an alternative to an enumerated type.

Example: Enumerated types and value.

```

Enum           ::= ENUMERATED { a, b, c, d }

-- Send values a, b, c or d but be prepared to receive values
-- a, b, c, d, spare4, spare5, spare6 and spare7.
ExtendedEnum ::= ENUMERATED { a, b, c, d, spare4, spare5, spare6, spare7 }
                (CONSTRAINED BY {-- Send(a/b/c/d) --})

aEnum Enum ::= a

```

10.3.7 Bit string

A size constraint shall be specified. It shall be finite.

Named bits can be associated with a bit string type.

Example: Bit string types and values.


```

FixedLengthBitStr ::= BIT STRING (SIZE (10))
VariableLengthBitStr ::= BIT STRING (SIZE (0..10))
BitFlags ::= BIT STRING { a(0), b(1), c(2), d(3)} (SIZE (4))
fix FixedLengthBitStr ::= '0001101100'B
var VariableLengthBitStr ::= '0'B
flg BitFlags ::= { a, c, d } -- '1011'B

```

10.3.8 Octet string

A size constraint shall be specified. It shall be finite.

Example: Octet string types and values.

```

FixedLengthOctetStr ::= OCTET STRING (SIZE (10))
VariableLengthOctetStr ::= OCTET STRING (SIZE (0..10))
UpperLayerPDUSegment ::= OCTET STRING (SIZE (1..512))
fix FixedLengthOctetStr ::= '0102030405060708090A'H
var VariableLengthOctetStr ::= 'FF'H

```

10.3.9 Null

A null type has only one value, NULL.

Example: Null type as an alternative type of a choice type.

```

IE ::= CHOICE {
    doThis ThisArg,
    doThat ThatArg,
    doNothing NULL
}

```

10.3.10 Sequence

A sequence type is a record. Components of a sequence type can be optional or they can have default values. Optional components and components with default values should be after mandatory components.

Inner subtyping can be used to force an optional component to be present or absent in a derived type.

If an optional component is conditionally present or absent then the condition shall be specified in a user defined constraint of the form:

Condition(<condition expression>)

<condition expression> shall be such that both sender and receiver are able to evaluate it before a conditional component is encoded or decoded.

"Comprehension required" can be associated with a component of a sequence type.

"Partial decoding" can be associated with a component of a sequence type.

EXTENSIBILITY: A sequence type can be marked as extensible. Example: Sequence types and values.

```

Record ::= SEQUENCE {
    flag      Flag,
    counter   Counter,
    bitFlags  BitFlags          OPTIONAL,
    extEnum   ExtendedEnum      DEFAULT a
}

DerivedRecord ::= Record (WITH COMPONENTS {
    ...,
    bitFlags    PRESENT
})

RecordWithConditionalComponent ::= SEQUENCE {
    mand      INTEGER (0..7),
    opt       BOOLEAN OPTIONAL,
    cond      BOOLEAN OPTIONAL
} ( WITH COMPONENT {mand(7), cond PRESENT} | WITH COMPONENT {cond ABSENT} )

aRecord Record ::= {
    flag      TRUE,
    counter   100
}

anotherRecord DerivedRecord ::= {
    flag      TRUE,
    counter   100,
    bitFlags  '0101'B          -- bitFlags must be present
}

```

10.3.11 Sequence-of

A sequence-of type is a list of some element type. A size constraint shall be specified. It shall be finite.

"Comprehension required" can be associated with an element of a sequence-of type.

"Partial decoding" can be associated with an element of a sequence-of type.

Example: Sequence-of types and values.

```

FixedLengthList      ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (10)) OF Record
VariableLengthList  ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (0..10)) OF Status
UpperLayerPDUSegments ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..10)) OF UpperLayerPDUsegment
aList VariableLengthList ::= { idle, 1, 2, veryBusy, 2, 1, idle }

```

10.3.12 Choice

A choice type is a variant record. Only one alternative component can be selected.

Inner subtyping can be used to force an alternative to be selected in a derived type.

"Comprehension required" can be associated with an alternative component of a choice type.

"Partial decoding" can be associated with an alternative component of a choice type.

EXTENSIBILITY: A choice type can be marked as extensible.

Example: Choice type and value.

```
VariantRecord ::= CHOICE {
    flag      Flag,
    counter   Counter,
    extEnum   ExtendedEnum
}

aVariantRecord VariantRecord ::= flag : FALSE
```

10.3.13 Restricted character string types

A size constraint shall be specified. It shall be finite.

It should specified the permitted alphabet for compactness reasons (see examples in PER [5])

Example: Character string types.

```
FixedStr ::= IA5String (SIZE (10))

VarStr ::= IA5String (SIZE (1..10))

FixedWStr ::= BMPString (SIZE (10))

VarWStr ::= BMPString (SIZE (1..10))
```

10.3.14 IEs and ASN.1 modules

If an IE or a component field within an IE is a parameter from another protocol layer then that type for such a field should be defined in another module. In this way there is a clear separation of definitions that are specific to different protocol layers.

Example: The XYZ protocol message *MessageC* contains an IE, which contains an OPQ protocol layer specific field *parameter1*. Type for the field is imported from that OPQ specific module.

```
XYZ-Messages DEFINITIONS AUTOMATIC TAGS ::=

BEGIN

IMPORTS
    OPQParameter -- OPQParameter is not defined within XYZ-Messages
                -- module.
FROM OPQ-DataTypes;

MessageC ::= SEQUENCE {
    -- Other IEs.
    ie6 IE6 OPTIONAL
}

-- Other definitions ...
```

```

IE6 ::= SEQUENCE {
    parameter1    OPQParameter, -- Imported definitions can be
                                -- referred to.
    parameter2    XYZParameter
}

XYZParameter ::= INTEGER (0..255)

END

```

Example: The OPQ protocol layer specific module exports *OPQParameter* type so that other modules can refer it.

```

OPQ-DataTypes DEFINITIONS AUTOMATIC TAGS ::=

BEGIN

OPQParameter ::= INTEGER (0..7)

END

```

11 Message transfer syntax specification

11.1 Selection of transfer syntax specification method

Basic unaligned PER and possible use of specialised encoding is chosen.

11.2 Specialised encoding

11.2.1 General notation

Definition is stand-alone and there is a reference to it within ASN.1 definition in a user-defined constraint:

Encoding(<identifier of specialised encoding>)

Example of specialised encoding, reference to specialisation within ASN.1 definition:

```

B ::= BOOLEAN
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- Encoding(SpecialBoolean) --})

```

Example of specialised encoding, reference to message contents description within CSN.1 definition:

```

-- SpecialBoolean
<SpecialBoolean> ::= 0|1;

```

The specialised encoding shall be such that all the values of a type can be represented with it, i.e. there shall be a mapping from each abstract value to an encoded value.

Example: An integer value set is not continuous but it is evenly distributed.

```
SparseEvenlyDistributedValueSet ::= INTEGER (0|2|4|6|8|10|12|14)
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- Encoding(SparseEvenlyDistributedValueSet) --})

-- SparseEvenlyDistributedValueSet, e = n/2
<SparseEvenlyDistributedValueSet> ::= bit(3);
```

Example: An integer value set is not continuous and evenly distributed.

```
SparseValueSet ::= INTEGER (0|3|5|6|8|11)
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- Encoding(SparseValueSet) --})

-- SparseValueSet, 0 => 000, 3 => 001 and so on
<SparseValueSet> ::= bit(3) exclude {110 | 111};
```

Example: A list type is encoded using more bits instead of explicit length.

```
VariableLengthList ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (0..10))
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- Encoding(VariableLengthList) --})
    OF Status

-- VariableLengthList
<VariableLengthList> ::= { 1 <Status> }(*) 0;
```

Example: Some alternatives of a choice type are used more frequently as others. Therefore the tags for the frequently used alternatives are specified to be shorter than others.

```
VariantRecord ::= CHOICE {
    flag      Flag,           -- The two first alternatives are mostly used
    counter   Counter,
    extEnum   ExtendedEnum,
    status    Status,
    list      VariableLengthList
}
(CONSTRAINED BY {-- Encoding(VariantRecord) --})

-- VariantRecord
<VariantRecord> ::= {
    00 <flag      : <Flag>> |
    01 <counter   : <Counter>> |
    100 <extEnum  : <ExtendedEnum>> |
    101 <status   : <Status>> |
    110 <List     : <VariableLengthList>> };
```

11.2.2 Shorthand notation

Some specialised encodings can be specified using a shorthand notation.

If an integer value set is not continuous then the encoding can be compressed by specifying the following shorthand:

Encoding(compressed)

Let there be m values in a value set. For each value n_i , $n_i < n_{i+1}$, $0 = i < m$. Value n_i is encoded as value i of type INTEGER (0.. $m-1$).

Example: A value set is not continuous but it is evenly distributed.

```
SparseEvenlyDistributedValueSet ::= INTEGER (0|2|4|6|8|10|12|14)
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- Encoding(compressed) --})

-- Value is encoded as INTEGER (0..7)
-- 0 => 000, 2 => 001, 4 => 010, 6 => 011, 8 => 100, 10 => 101,
-- 12 => 110, 14 => 111
```

Example: A value set is not continuous and evenly distributed.

```
SparseValueSet ::= INTEGER (0|3|5|6|8|11)
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- Encoding(compressed) --})

-- Value is encoded as INTEGER (0..5)
-- 0 => 000, 3 => 001, 5 => 010, 6 => 011, 8 => 100, 11 => 101
```

NOTE : the two previous cases, when there are so few values in the set, could be obtained with an ENUMERATED and PER]

A list type can be encoded using more bits instead of explicit length indicator by specifying the following shorthand:

Encoding(morebit)

Example: A list type is encoded using more bits instead of explicit length.

```
VariableLengthList ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (0..10))
    (CONSTRAINED BY {-- Encoding(morebit) --})
    OF Status

-- Value is encoded as:
-- <VariableLengthList> ::=
--   { 1 <Status> }(*)
--   0
-- ;
--
```

More shorthand notations are for FFS.

History

| Document history | | |
|------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| V.0.0.0 | January 1999 | Starting point based on UMTS YY.40 V0.1.0 |
| V.0.0.1 | January 1999 | version after TSG RAN WG2 #1 based on the presentation of Tdoc TSG RAN WG2 018/99. |
| V.0.0.2 | March 1999 | 3GPP template included Addition of all accepted in TSG RAN WG2#2, <i>TSGR2#2(99)087 (chapter 10 and 11)</i> Addition of a sentence in §6 about using descriptive SDL and removing the other sentence dealing with PEX group |
| V.0.1.0 | April 1999 | All modifications included in V.0.0.2 approved by TSG RAN WG2#3 |
| V1.0.0 | April 1999 | Version seen for information at TSG RAN#3 |
| V1.1.0 | July 1999 | Proposals contained in document TSGR2#5(99)669 included with some sentences removed as agreed in RAN2#5 Description of tabular format contained in TSGR25(99)524 included as a new chapter 8 named "Usage of tabular format" as agreed in RAN2#5 |
| V1.1.1 | September 1999 | Usage of SDL decided for R2 protocols added in §6 and editor's note removed as not relevant anymore. Discussion based on TSGR2#6(99)938 Extension mechanisms decided for R2 MAC and RLC protocols, no decision for RRC. Discussion based on TSGR2#6(99)831 |
| V1.1.2 | September 1999 | Removal of Editor's note in §4.6 |
| V1.2.1 | September 1999 | V1.2.0 after RAN2#7 agreement. Addition of §8.1.1.2.4 about differentiating FDD and TDD |
| V1.3.0 | October 1999 | Complement of the sentence of §8.1.1.2.4 after e-mail approval |
| V1.3.1 | October 1999 | RAN2#7Bis Bases on R2-99C95 : Replaces indentations by spaces or tabulations with ">" character (§8.1.1.2). Based on R2-99C96 : In general, replace range column by multiplicity column and Mult is used instead of Range in the table header. Removal of Editor's note about the naming of range that is no more relevant §8.1.1.2.1.5 : precisions on what means range bounds in Sets. §8.2.1 : addition of Enumerated(1..n) Addition of §8.2.4 on Bit String Insertion of §7 based on decisions of RAN2#7Bis and R2-99D72 |

| | | |
|--------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| V1.3.2 | October 1999 | RAN2#7Bis Rephrasing of the new §7 and split between procedure description rules that may be applied to several protocols and rules that are RRC specific Insertion of §7.3 about DS-41 handling in RRC messages |
| V1.3.3 | October 1999 | RAN2#7Bis 3GPP reference for drafting rules Insertion of conditional related material from R2-99D75 in §9.1.1.2.1.3 and §11.2.8 |
| V1.4.0 | November 1999 | RAN2#8 approval |
| V1.4.1 | November 1999 | Insertion of material from R2-99E68 after RAN2#8 meeting |
| V1.5.0 | November 1999 | RAN2#9 approval |
| V1.5.1 | December 1999 | Based on decisions written in R2-99j66 Decision of choosing basic unaligned PER and if needed specialised encodings as the transfer syntax and removal of 11.2 Definition of the way to make extensions : ‘...’ at the message level, with “SEQUENCE { } OPTIONAL” at IE and component level Comments added on the usage of ASN.1 First version of writing specialised encodings “(CONSTRAINED BY {-- Encoding() ...” is enhanced. |
| V1.6.0 | December 1999 | RAN2#9 approval |
| V2.0.0 | December 1999 | Alignment with TSG TR template for RAN#6 submission |
| | | |