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**1. Overall Description:**

CT1 has been discussing whether NSSRG restriction should be applied taking into account requested NSSAI only or both requested NSSAI and pending NSSAI. An example scenario is described below.

**Assumption:**

* The UE has configured NSSAI {S-NSSAI 1, S-NSSAI 2, S-NSSAI 3}.
* S-NSSAI 1 belongs to NSSRG X and NSSRG Y and is not subject to NSSAA.
* S-NSSAI 2 belongs to NSSRG X and is subject to NSSAA.
* S-NSSAI 3 belongs to NSSRG Y and is not subject to NSSAA.

**Step-by-step scenario description:**

1. The UE requests S-NSSAI 1 and S-NSSAI 2.
2. The AMF responds with allowed NSSAI {S-NSSAI 1} and pending NSSAI {S-NSSAI 2}.
3. Before the NSSAA for S-NSSAI 2 is completed (or is started), the UE is now interested in exploiting S-NSSAI 3 and S-NSSAI 2 is not of interest anymore.

Question:

In Step 3, is the UE allowed to request S-NSSAI 3 even if the UE has pending NSSAI {S-NSSAI 2} and S-NSSAI 2 shares no common NSSRG with S-NSSAI 3?

**2. Actions:**

**To SA2**

**ACTION:** CT1 kindly request SA2 to provide an answer to the question above.

**3. Date of Next CT1 Meetings:**

CT1#137e 22nd - 26th August 2022 Goteborg , SE

CT1#138e 10th - 14th October 2022 e-meeting