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1st change

# I.1 General

The present document applies to both EPS and 5GS. This annex lists the aspects of MCData session control protocols which are different in 5GS from EPS. Certain aspects that are only applicable to EPS are described in clause I.2. A mapping of EPS-specific terms to their 5GS equivalents is provided in clause I.3.

2nd change

# I.3 Mapping of EPS-specific terms to 5GS

3rd change

## I.3.1 Session aspects

In 5GS, the PDU session is the equivalent of a PDN connection in EPS. The requirements and configurations for a PDN connection throughout this document apply also to 5GS.

4th change

## I.3.2 Bearer aspects

When using the 5GS, a bearer is provided by a 5GS QoS flow. The requirements, procedures, and configurations for a bearer throughout this document, including those stating EPS explicitly (e.g., EPS bearer priority), apply also to QoS flows.
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