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\* \* \* \* First change \* \* \* \*

#### 5.24.4.3 QoS Monitoring Reporting

If the UPF is requested to perform QoS Monitoring (i.e. it receives one or more QoS Monitoring per QoS flow Control Information IEs from the SMF), the UPF shall select one or more downlink payload packets pertaining to every requested QoS flow(s) whenever available or create one or more dummy downlink GTP-U packets (i.e. G-PDU messages without a T-PDU as specified in clause 5.2.2.7 of 3GPP TS 29.281 [3]) otherwise, and insert the time stamp, and set the QoS Monitoring Packet (QMP) flag to "1" and the corresponding QoS Flow Identifer (for the requested QoS flow) into the GTP-U PDU Session Container extension header (see 3GPP TS 38.415 [34]) of these downlink packets.

When receiving the uplink packet related to the requested QoS flow(s), the UPF shall measure the packet delay(s) based on the time stamp(s) and packet delay(s) included in the GTP-U PDU Session Container extension header (see 3GPP TS 38.415 [34]) of the uplink packet, and generate a QoS monitoring report towards the SMF, if the packet delay(s) exceeds the defined Packet Delay Thresholds and Event Triggered QoS monitoring reporting is required in the reporting frequency. The UPF may send a next report only after the minimum waiting time indicated by the SMF.

An Intermediate UPF between the PSA UPF and the NG-RAN forwards any received dummy GTP-U packets together with the GTP-U PDU Session Container extension header to the next GTP-U entity.

NOTE: The dummy GTP-U packet(s) are not forwarded to the UE neither to the Packet Data Network, thus they are not measured for usage reporting.

If the Periodic QoS monitoring reporting is required in the reporting frequency, the UPF shall generate QoS monitoring report based on the Measurement Period.

The UPF shall send QoS Monitoring Report IE to the SMF in PFCP Session Report Request; several QoS Monitoring Report IEs may be present to report the packet delay(s) for multiple QoS flows. The UPF shall include the delay value (Downlink, Uplink and/or Round trip) in the QoS Monitoring Measurement IE in the QoS Monitoring Report IE. See clause 5.33.5 for reporting the QoS monitoring events directly to the Local NEF or AF.

The UPF shall continue to apply all the provisioned SRR(s) and perform the related QoS monitoring measurement(s), until getting any further instruction from the CP function.

When receiving a new threshold (Packet Delay Thresholds, Minimum Wait Time and/or Measurement Period) from the SMF for a measurement that is already ongoing in the UPF, the UPF shall consider its ongoing measurements against the new threshold to determine when to send its next QoS monitoring report to the SMF or to the Local NEF or AF (if direct reporting of QoS monitoring event applies, see clause 5.33.5).

When receiving instruction from the SMF to stop the on-going QoS monitoring, the UPF shall generate a QoS monitoring report to the SMF or to the Local NEF or AF (if direct reporting of QoS monitoring event applies, see clause 5.33.5), to report the detected packet delay(s).

At the PFCP session termination, the UPF shall include a QoS Monitoring Report IE in the PFCP Session Deletion Response or the UPF shall send a QoS monitoring event directly to the Local NEF or AF (if direct reporting of QoS monitoring event applies, see clause 5.33.5), if the reporting frequency requests a report to be generated at the PFCP session termination.

If the Event Triggered QoS monitoring reporting is required in the reporting frequency, and no time stamp is received in uplink packet for a delay exceeding the Packet Delay Thresholds, the UPF shall generate a QoS monitoring report indicating a packet delay measurement failure to the SMF or to the Local NEF or AF (if direct reporting of QoS monitoring event applies, see clause 5.33.5).

If the Periodic QoS monitoring reporting is required in the reporting frequency, and no time stamp is received in uplink packet for a delay exceeding the Measurement Period, the UPF shall generate a QoS monitoring report indicating a packet delay measurement failure to the SMF or to the Local NEF or AF (if direct reporting of QoS monitoring event applies, see clause 5.33.5).
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