

Source: ARIB/TTC

Title: Comments on TSG Reorganization

Agenda item: 5

Document for:

| | |
|-------------|---|
| Decision | X |
| Discussion | |
| Information | |

1. General Comments on Reorganization of TSG

- The basic philosophy of ARIB/TTC is to ensure that the reorganization of TSG will not result in causing harm to the missions for which 3GPP was established.
- As for TSG-T, missions that are carried out independently TSG-T shall be terminated, and the remaining missions should be re-assigned to other TSGs as appropriate.

2. Comments on Proposals from TSG Leaders

Q1: Should TSG-CN and TSG-T be merged to form TSG-CT

Q2: Should T1 be moved to TSG-RAN

Q3: Should T3 be moved to TSG-SA

- Most of the missions carried out by TSG-T on its own are nearly completed, thus we support the opinion that it is more efficient to move the remaining missions to other TSGs and continue the remaining work there. We therefore are in favor of the proposal to move TSG-T's missions to other TSGs.
- But the basic approach in this case should NOT be to merge TSG-CN and TSG-T, but to transfer each mission of TSG-T to other related TSGs.
- We are therefore against the idea of merging TSG-CN and TSG-T, and renaming it to TSG-CT.
- T1, T2, and T3 shall be moved as below:
- In view of the collaboration of work hitherto, we agree to move T1 to TSG-RAN.
- However, because it is more efficient to deal with all the test-related works in a single place, we are against the idea of transferring T1's work items to multiple RAN-WGs. (RAN5 shall be newly created and the entire T1 shall be transferred to RAN5).
- T2 shall be transferred to TSG-CN, because its missions are related to TSG-CN.

- We agree to the idea of moving T3 to TSG-SA, because we believe it is necessary to maintain T3 (which has a mission to study Smart Card specifications) within the scope of 3GPP going forward.

Q4: Should SA5 be moved to CT**Q5: Should the number of TSG vice-chairs be increased to 3**

- We are against the idea of increasing the number of vice chairs only for the sake of regional balance.
- TSG members should once again recognize the roles of the vice chairs when possible changes to the number of vice chairs are discussed.
- However, we can agree to increase the number of vice chairs in cases where the workload of each TSG becomes greater after the missions of TSG-T are reallocated to other TSGs.
- Nevertheless, the number of vice chairs should not be universally defined as “3”. We rather propose to limit the number of vice chairs at “3” as a maximum, and flexibly decide the number of vice chairs in each TSG as necessary.

3 Longer Term Evolution of 3GPP

- We support the comments from the TSG leaders that the merger of RAN and GERAN groups are difficult given the progress of work at this point, and that it offers little cost benefits.
- However, we recall that the application of a universal calculation method for the costs of every activity in the funding model used from 2004 onwards was based on the presumption that RAN and GERAN would be merged on in the long run. We hope to see an ongoing study on this matter among TSG leaders, so that RAN and GERAN groups can work together from 2006.

4 Timescale

- If the reorganization of TSG is agreed upon at the next PCG meeting, it would be possible to implement the timescale proposed by TSG leaders, but how to reflect the reorganization in the budget discussions for 2005 must also be taken into account.
- We also agree to maintain the current organizational structure up to the March meeting, because Rel-6 is scheduled to be out in March 2005.

5 TSG-CT Election Procedures

- Because this does not involve an establishment of a new TSG, we don't think it is necessary to perform studies on this matter.

6 Working Group Naming

- We agree to the idea of TSG leaders to include old names for the purpose of minimizing confusion in the transition process.

7 TSG Meeting Durations

- In view of the possible confusion in the transition to the new TSG organization, we believe it would be necessary to reconsider the meeting schedule including the meeting duration at each TSG (which should be decided by each TSG depending on their actual workload).