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>>>>BEGINNING OF CHANGES<<<<

### 6.2X.Y.Z General training procedure for Vertical Federated Learning

Editor’s Note: Whether one general procedure or two separate procedures for NWDAF/AF as server will be discussed and decided in future meeting when the procedures are stable.

The figure 6.2X.Y.Z-1 below shows general training procedure for Vertical Federated Learning.



Figure 6.2X.Y.Z-1: General training procedure for VFL

This below procedure aims for NWDAF based Vertical Federated Learning. The VFL training process may be conducted after VFL preparation procedure.

Editor’s Note: It’s FFS to determine the VFL preparation procedure.

Editor’s Note: Further extensions are needed to show the interaction between consumer and VFL server. For example, how the consumer (i.e., NWDAF containing AnLF) sends a subscription request to VFL server.

Editor’s Note: How the VFL server and client register to the network, how to discover VFL server or VFL client is FFS.

Editor’s Note: Whether and how to maintain a Vertical Federation Learning process including dynamical reselection, addition, or removal of VFL Client NWDAF(s) is FFS.

Editor's Note: Further extensions are needed to show when any of the VFL participants are untrusted AF(s). In this case the procedure below will contain a NEF, and how the NEF assists the VFL training process as well as whether the service operations going via NEF is using the existing or new service operation are FFS.

Editor’s Note: The details of the services in the procedure and whether VFL Training Start Flag is needed are FFS.

Editor’s Note: A possible need for a process of sample exchange between VFL server and VFL client is FFS. The process may be determined after agreement on sample and/or feature alignment.

Editor’s Note: Whether and how to exchange feature between VFL server and VFL client is for FFS. The process may be determined after agreement on sample and/or feature alignment.

Editor’s Note: It is FFS whether sample/feature information is required to be provided in each training round or there is a session concept.

Editor’s Note: It is FFS whether and how to use ML Model ID or VFL Model Correlation ID or VFL correlation ID in the VFL training process.

Editor´s Note: Whether and how to include interoperability information in the VFL training procedure is FFS.

Editor´s Note: It is FFS whether and how VFL server collects trained ML model from VFL clients after the VFL training process.

0. The NWDAF acting as VFL Server and the respective NWDAFs or AFs acting as VFL Clients completed the VFL Training Preparation procedure.

1. The NWDAF acting as VFL server selects the VFL clients that participate in VFL as described in the clause X.

NOTE: VFL Server determines to perform VFL training based on the operator’s policy.

2. To start VFL training, the VFL server allocates an ML Model identifier, that may be the existing Model ID IE, or the VFL Model correlation ID, or VFL correlation ID, The VFL server sends a request containing that identifier to the selected VFL clients.

Editor´s Note: Parameters to be provided in the request need to be added

Editor´s Note: It is FFS whether and how the local ML model is obtained by VFL Client in VFL training process.

3. [Optional] Each VFL client collects its local data by using the current mechanism if the VFL client has no local data already available.

4. During VFL training procedure, each VFL client further trains the local ML model based on its own data, and reports intermediate training result of the local ML model to the VFL server.

Editor’s Note: Whether and how to involve local ML Model training information in the VFL training process is FFS; What parameter contains in local ML model training information is FFS.

Editor’s Note: The details on whether and how to support that VFL clients provide intermediate results to other VFL clients is FFS.

5. The VFL server may collect the local data and generate its own local the intermediate training result. The NWDAF acting as VFL Server computes the backward local ML model training information (e.g. gradient information or loss information) based on the intermediate training result received in step 4 and label. The backward local ML model training information is used for updating the models of VFL clients. Different backward local ML model training information may be computed for different VFL clients, respectively.

 The VFL server may also compute the global ML model metric (e.g. ML model accuracy) based on all the intermediate training result received from VFL clients and the label.

Editor’s Note: Whether weight of feature is computed by VFL server is FFS.

6. [Optional] The NWDAF acting as VFL server evaluates (e.g., based on the convergence of a loss function or loss value, the pre-set iteration number is reached) whether VFL Training process converged. If not, the NWDAF acting as a VFL Server determines another round of VFL training is required. If yes, it determines the VFL Training is completed. In this case, the VFL Server terminates the current VFL training process. The VFL server sends VFL training termination message to VFL Client if it decides to terminate the VFL training process.

Editor’s Note: Whether VFL Training termination Flag in the termination message is required is FFS.

Editor’s Note: The flow should be updated to show the message exchange for VFL training termination.

The VFL training termination decision may be also made as follows:

Based on the consumer request, the VFL server sends VFL status report to update the ML model metric to the consumer.

The consumer decides whether the current model can fulfil the requirement, e.g. ML model metric is satisfactory for the consumer and determines to stop or continue the training process. The consumer continues the training process or stops the training process.

Based on the subscription request sent from the consumer, the VFL server updates or terminates the current VFL training process.

 If the VFL server received a request from consumer or decide to stop the Federated Training process, steps 7 and 8 are skipped. If the VFL procedure continues, VFL server sends the backward local ML model training information (e.g. gradient information, loss information) to the VFL clients for next round of VFL training.

8. Each VFL client computes gradient of its local model and updates its local ML model based on backward local ML model training information distributed by the VFL server at step 7. The NWDAF acting as VFL Server and each NWDAF or AF acting as VFL Client, stores the latest information about their locally trained Models.

Editor’s Note: It is FFS whether model storage after each training round is required.

Editor’s Note: Possible procedures to be executed at the end of the VFL training (to terminate a training session and to store/handle trained models) are FFS.

The steps 4-8 should be repeated until the training termination condition is reached.

NOTE 1: If untrusted AF is involved in VFL Clients, the message between NWDAF acting as VFL Server and the untrusted AF is via NEF.

>>>>END OF CHANGES<<<<