|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Doc Seq | Doc name | NOTE | Max Time (mins) | Minutes for CC |
| 1 | 1/ review of the contribution plan to check if anything needs to be added/changed/removed |  | 10 | **No comments**  **Clarification: KI#1 functional description will be proceeded in this meeting** |
|  | 2/ review of the baseline papers from topic owners: |  |  |  |
|  | Papers for topics in the contribution plan: |  |  |  |
|  | **S&F:** |  |  |  |
| 2 | T#1\_DP\_draft-S2-240XXXX\_DiscussionPaper\_handling of request time in S&F-Nokia.docx |  | 20 | **No fundamental issue;**  **Offline merging papers from HW, CTC…**  **Wording enhancement is required** |
| 3 | T#1\_draft-S2-240XXXX was S2-2409403-23401-Introduction of Store and Forward feature in EPC-Nokia.docx |  |
| 4 | T#3\_Draft S2-240xxxx TS 23.401 Procedures for Store And Forward-HW.docx |  | 10 | **S&F Indication sent from MME to UE seems not needed, as it is already broadcasted in SIB;**  **Service request procedure shall focus on recovery of CP connection only, i.e. no UP establishment** |
| 5 | Rev#1\_S2-240xxxx-9411-9404-9110-8800-8064-23401-SplitMME\_CTC.docx | No discussion (i.e., Collecting comments only) | 5 | **Support of S&F for SMS is OK, but current text needs to be revised to consider UE impact and more.**  **The interaction between MME onboard and MME on the ground is implementation dependent, which shall not be described too specific in the spec.**  **More offline discussion is needed to consider discontinuous coverage info on UE side.** |
|  | > Re#3-S2-240xxxx-SplitMME-SAMSUNG.zip |  |  |
| 6 | Rev#2\_S2-240xxxx-9406-9111-8802-8661-MonEvents-lk-CTC.docx | No disc | 5 | **SAMSUNG will include the proposed updates** |
|  | **UE-SAT-UE communication:** |  |  |  |
| 7 | T#4&5\_S2-24XXXX\_23228\_Support of UE-Satellite-UE communication\_CTC.docx | Focusing on three controversial issues | 30 | **Three controversial issues are discussed. See NOTE at the bottom of the table for details.**  **Common understanding is that P-CSCF determines to activate UE-sat-UE communication, so TR conclusion will not be challenged.** |
| 8 | T#6\_draft of S2-240xxxx\_was8851\_was8074\_23501\_Mobility Support of UE-satellite-UE communications-Vivo.docx | No disc | 5 | **It is clarified that only both gNB and UPF onboard same satellite will be considered, AGW could be on another satellite.** |
| 9 | T#7\_23502\_S2-24yyyyy\_Procedure update for UE-Satellite-UE communication-CATT.docx | No disc | 5 | **Not open** |
| 10 | Rev#4\_23501\_S2-24xxxxx\_Support of UE-Satellite-UE communication-CATT.docx | No disc | 5 | **Not open** |
|  | **Regenerative based satellite access:** |  |  |  |
| 11 | T#8\_draft-S2-24xxxxx\_5GSAT\_Ph3\_23501\_regenerative\_access-Ericsson.docx |  | 20 | **Description on CN PDB needs to be re-considered;**  **Technical alignment between 401CR and 501CR shall be considered.**  **Offline merging is needed: OPPO will handle 501CR, Ericsson will handle 401 CR.** |
| 12 | T#8\_S2-240xxxx\_was 2408675 CR support of regenerative payload 23501 v1-OPPO.docx |  |
| 13 | T#8\_S2-24xxxxx-23501 CR-Support of Regenerative satellite access mode-CTC.docx |  |
| 14 | T#9\_Draft S2-240xxxx was 8381 TS 23.401 Support of Regenerative-based Satellite Access\_v2-HW.docx |  |
| 15 | T#9\_draft-S2-24xxxxx\_5GSAT\_Ph3\_23401\_regenerative\_access-Ericsson.docx |  |
|  | Resubmission of the endorsed papers: |  |  |  |
|  | > Re#2\_S2-240xxxx-MonEvents-SAMSUNG.zip |  |  |  |
|  | AoB: |  |  |  |
| 16 | X#1\_240yyyy\_Reply LS on FS\_5GSAT\_Ph3\_ARCH conclusions-vivo.docx | No disc | 5 | ViVO will provide offline comments to Ramon. |
| 17 | X#1\_Draft S2-24xxxxx-LS-reply-S3-243533\_Support of S&F-Satliot.doc |  |
|  | X#2\_S2-240xxxx\_was 2408676 CR support of regenerative payload 23502-OPPO.docx |  |  |  |
| NOTE for paper#7:  **Issue 1/ how to select IMS-AGW during the call setup:**  **Option1 will be supported as the baseline option, i.e., selecting an AGW on the ground first, then reselecting an AGW on the satellite;**  **Option 2 and/or 3 could be considered as optional solutions for optimization. However, Several companies (e.g., Nokia…) have concerns on option 2.**  **Issue 2/ whether is subscription needed for determination of UE-S-UE com:**  **Common understanding reached in CC is not considering subscription at least in rel-19.**  **Issue 3/ how to send re-INVITE after satellite change:**  **there are 2 categories solutions including 5 options:**  **Cat1: UE based solution:**  **Option1a. UE detects satellite change and report it to IMS client, the IMS client sends re-INVITE to P-CSCF;**  **Option1b. P-CSCF detects serving satellite change, sending a new trigger to UE, so that the UE will initiates a re-INVITE msg sent to P-CSCF.**  **Cat2: Network based solution:**  **Option2a: P-CSCF initiates re-INVITE msg after detecting serving satellite change**  **Option2b: IMS AS initiates re-INVITE msg after receiving a trigger info from the P-CSCF which detected serving satellite change**  **Option2c: S-CSCF initiates re-INVITE msg after receiving a trigger info from the P-CSCF which detected serving satellite change**  **No consensus on this issue. For network based solution, it seems option 2a/2b have more supporters than 2c.** | | | | |