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# Introduction

This document summarizes remaining issues proposed in company contributions of AI 9.2.4 for the following objective in Rel-19 WI of NR MIMO Phase 5:

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Specify enhancement for asymmetric DL sTRP/UL mTRP deployment scenarios, assuming intra-band intra-DU non-co-located mTRP scenarios, without changing existing cell definition or defining a new cell (e.g. UL-only cell), assuming the Rel-17/18 unified TCI framework and fully reusing the legacy QCL/UL spatial relation rules, targeting FR1 and FR2
	1. Two closed-loop PC adjustment states for SRS, both separate from PUSCH; and pathloss offset configurations for pathloss calculation to UL TRP(s), when the pathloss RS is from DL sTRP.
 |

# Issues for Discussions

## Pathloss Offset

**Proposal 1.3:**

* When a joint/UL TCI state associated with a PL offset with value $G\_{b,f,c}$is applied on a PUSCH transmission, the UE determines the PUSCH transmit power as:

$P\_{PUSCH,b,f,c,k}\left(i,j,q\_{d},l\right)=min\left\{\begin{matrix}P\_{CMAX,f,c,k}\left(i\right)\\P\_{O\\_PUSCH,b,f,c}\left(j\right)+10log\_{10}\left(2^{μ}∙M\_{RB,b,f,c}^{PUSCH}\left(i\right)\right)+α\_{b,f,c}\left(j\right)∙(PL\_{b,f,c}\left(q\_{d}\right)-G\_{b,f,c})+∆\_{TF,b,f,c}\left(i\right)+f\_{b,f,c}\left(i,l\right) \end{matrix}\right\}$

* When a joint/UL TCI state associated with a PL offset with value $G\_{b,f,c}\left(i\right)$ is applied on a PUCCH transmission, the UE determines the PUCCH transmit power as:

$$P\_{PUCCH,b,f,c,k}\left(i,q\_{u},q\_{d},l\right)=min\left\{\begin{matrix}P\_{CMAX,f,c,k}\left(i\right)\\P\_{O\\_PUCCH,b,f,c}\left(j\right)+10log\_{10}\left(2^{μ}∙M\_{RB,b,f,c}^{PUCCH}\left(i\right)\right)+PL\_{b,f,c}\left(q\_{d}\right)-G\_{b,f,c}+∆\_{F\\_PUCCH}\left(F\right)+∆\_{TF,b,f,c}\left(i\right)+g\_{b,f,c}\left(i,l\right) \end{matrix}\right\}$$

* When power control parameters contained in one joint/UL TCI state associated with a PL offset with value $G\_{b,f,c}\left(i\right)$ are applied on a SRS transmission, the UE determines the SRS transmit power as:

$$P\_{SRS,b,f,c}\left(i,q,l\right)=\min\_{}\left\{\begin{matrix}P\_{CMAX,f,c}\left(i\right),\\P\_{O\_{SRS},b,f,c}\left(q\_{s}\right)+10log\_{10}\left(2^{μ}∙M\_{SRS,b,f,c}\left(i\right)\right)+α\_{SRS,b,f,c}\left(q\_{s}\right)∙(PL\_{b,f,c}\left(q\_{d}\right)-G\_{b,f,c})+h\_{b,f,c}\left(i,l\right)\end{matrix}\right.$$

Note: How to capture that is up to the editor.

FFS: the value range and candidate values of PL offset value

**Proposal 1.4a:** To calculate a Type 1 PHR based on an actual PUSCH transmission,if a joint/UL TCI state associated with a PL offset with value $G\_{b,f,c}$ is applied on this PUSCH transmission, the UE determines the Type 1 PHR as:

$$PH\_{type1,b,f,c}\left(i,j,q\_{d},l\right)= P\_{CMAX,f,c}\left(i\right)-\left\{P\_{O\\_PUSCH,b,f,c}\left(j\right)+10log\_{10}\left(2^{μ}⋅M\_{RB,b,f,c}^{PUSCH}(i)\right)+α\_{b,f,c}\left(j\right)⋅(PL\_{b,f,c}\left(q\_{d}\right)-G\_{b,f,c})+∆\_{TF,b,f,c}\left(i\right)+f\_{b,f,c}\left(i,l\right)\right\}$$

* Note: How to capture that is up to the editor.
* FFS Type 1 PHR calculation based on reference PUSCH when including PL offset.
* FFS: Whether or not PHR triggering conditions in 38.321 need to be modified to account for PL offset.

**Proposal 1.4b:** To calculate a Type 3 PHR based on an actual SRS transmission,if a joint/UL TCI state associated with a PL offset with value $G\_{b,f,c}$ is applied on this SRS transmission, the UE determines the Type 3 PHR as:

$$PH\_{type3,b,f,c}\left(i,q\_{s}\right)= P\_{CMAX,f,c}\left(i\right)-\left\{P\_{O\\_SRS,b,f,c}\left(j\right)+10log\_{10}\left(2^{μ}⋅M\_{SRS,b,f,c}^{}(i)\right)+α\_{SRS, b,f,c}\left(j\right)⋅(PL\_{b,f,c}\left(q\_{s}\right)-G\_{b,f,c})+f\_{b,f,c}\left(i\right)\right\}$$

* Note: How to capture that is up to the editor.
* FFS Type 3 PHR calculation based on reference SRS when including PL offset.
* FFS: Whether or not PHR triggering conditions in 38.321 need to be modified to account for PL offset.

**Proposal 1.5:**

Study whether/how to facilitate gNB’s determination of the value of PL offset from specification point of view

**Updated Conclusion 1.7a**: For the asymmetric DL sTRP/UL mTRP deployment scenario,

* When rel-17 unified TCI/ICBM is configured:
	+ For FR1: one joint TCI state or one DL TCI state + one UL TCI state can be applied to the system
	+ For FR2: one DL TCI state + one UL TCI state can be applied to the system.
* When rel-18 unified TCI is configured:
	+ For FR1: up to two joint TCI states or one DL TCI state + up to two UL TCI state can be applied to the system.
		- Note: When two joint TCI states are applied, the 1st joint TCI state is applied on DL transmission and both joint TCI states can be applied on UL transmissions
	+ For FR2: one DL TCI state + up to two UL TCI states can be applied to the system.

**Updated Proposal 1.7b**: To facilitate the asymmetric DL sTRP/UL mTRP deployment scenario, study whether/how to support a mixed TCI mode of joint TCI state + UL TCI state for FR1 and FR2 additionally:

* In the mixed TCI mode: a joint TCI state + a UL TCI state can be mapped to a TCI field codepoint, and the indicated UL TCI state is applied on UL transmission towards the UL TRP.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company**  | **Comments** |
| Mod00 | Please share your views/inputs on the issues 1.xRe 1.4b: Samsung commented that 1.4b needs more discussion because they think Type 3 PHR is reported for CC without PUSCH-config and the case of CC without PUSCH-Config is not valid for this UL TRP scenario. I would like to hear your views on this.Re 1.7a: slightly wording. I guess no one comments that the proposal 7.1a is wrong technically and the only concern is whether this conclusion is needed. Some companies did propose to make it clear.Re 1.7b: the views diverged. I think we can conclude to first study this mixed TCI mode for the current moment.  |
| ZTE | **Proposal 1.3 and Proposal 1.4a:** Generally, we think it is immature/unsafe to rush into the formula of PL offset application at the current stage, we suggest to postpone this discussion to Rel-19 maintenance phase. Nevertheless, we think it is worth to discuss all parts of FFS in Proposal 1.3 and Proposal 1.4a, we suggest to discuss them separately due to there is no explicit dependency among them.**Proposal 1.4b:** Not needed. As mentioned by companies in round-1, Type 3 PHR cannot be existed as per the following excerpt in TS 38.213.

|  |
| --- |
| **7.7.3 Type 3 PH report**If a UE determines that a Type 3 power headroom report for an activated serving cell is based on an actual SRS transmission then, for SRS transmission occasion C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps2.png on active UL BWP C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps3.png of carrier C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps4.png of serving cell C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps5.jpg and if the UE is not configured for PUSCH transmissions on carrier C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps6.png of serving cell C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps7.jpg and the resource for the SRS transmission is provided by *SRS-Resource*, the UE computes a Type 3 power headroom report as C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps8.png [dB]where C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps9.png, C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps10.png, C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps11.png, C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps12.png, C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps13.png and C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps14.png are defined in clause 7.3.1 with corresponding values provided by *SRS-ResourceSet*.If the UE determines that a Type 3 power headroom report for an activated serving cell is based on a reference SRS transmission then, for SRS transmission occasion C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps15.png on UL BWP C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps16.png of carrier C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps17.png of serving cell C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps18.jpg, and if the UE is not configured for PUSCH transmissions on UL BWP C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps19.png of carrier C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps20.png of serving cell C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps21.jpg and a resource for the reference SRS transmission is provided by *SRS-Resource*, the UE computes a Type 3 power headroom report as  C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps22.png [dB]where C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps23.png is an SRS resource set corresponding to *SRS-ResourceSetId = 0* for UL BWP C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps24.png and C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps25.png, C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps26.png, C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps27.png and C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps28.png are defined in clause 7.3.1 with corresponding values obtained from *SRS-ResourceSetId = 0* for UL BWP C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps29.png. C:\Users\10262958\AppData\Local\Temp\ksohtml19728\wps30.png is computed assuming MPR=0 dB, A-MPR=0 dB, P-MPR=0 dB and TC =0 dB. MPR, A-MPR, P-MPR and TC are defined in [8-1, TS 38.101-1], [8-2, TS 38.101-2] and [8-3, TS 38.101-3].  |

**Proposal 1.5:** Fine to further study even though we think it should be up to gNB implementation.**Proposal 1.7a:** Agree to FL’s assessment and the refinement for clarification.**Proposal 1.7b:** Not needed. It deviates from the statement in WID that “… assuming the Rel-17/18 unified TCI framework and fully reusing the legacy QCL/UL spatial relation rules…”. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Closed-loop PC for SRS

**Proposal 2.1**: Support to use DCI format 1\_1 and 0\_1 to indicate TPC command for SRS CLPC adjustment states of Rel19:

* FFS the detailed DCI field design, e.g., introduce 1-bit state indicator and 2-bit TPC command, DCI format 1\_1 without DL assignment.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company**  | **Comments** |
| Mod00 | Please share your views/inputs on the issues 2.1 |
| ZTE | Support. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Others

**Proposal 3.1**: To fulfil the asymmetric DL sTRP/UL mTRP deployment scenarios, support two TAs for single DCI based multi-TRP/panel and single TRP.

* Reuse Rel-18 specification of two TA for multi-DCI based multi-TRP/panel and remove the restriction that *coresetPoolIndex* needs to be configured.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company**  | **Comments** |
| Mod00 | Please share your views/inputs on the issues 3.1 |
| ZTE | Support. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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