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# Introduction

This document is to discuss results template for Rel-19 CSI compression studies.

# General remarks

Changes in the results template spreadsheets are marked in purple.

Additions in the results template spreadsheets are marked in red.

Please provide comments in the tables provided in this document. In addition, you may add comments directly in the template spreadsheet using the MS Excel commenting tool.

# CSI\_Table X1. CSI compression temporal Case-1-2-5 1-on-1 joint training

Adopting Table 1 used in Rel-18 as starting point, the following changes were made

1. Moved common description and dataset description to the top (this is only formatting changes; there is no change in contents)
2. Merged max rank 1, 2, and 4 into one tab, similar to what was done for some spreadsheets in Rel-18 SI.
	* Using the rank-dependant α scaling for X, Y, and Z ranges
	* Introducing the rank-dependant β scaling for A, B, and C
3. Added new rows based on the Agreements
4. Note that there are two benchmark schemes: non-AI/ML baseline (e.g., eType2) and Rel-18 AI/ML baseline.
5. Some rows are not relevant to Case 1/2/5 but were added so that the same template could be used for Case 1/2/3/4/5.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments* |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | One question for the eventual KPI: if we have multiple results separately for Rank 1, 2, 4, do we fill the entries for eventual KPI in the following manner, or fill the results for different ranks in separate columns?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Gain for Mean UPT (for a specific CSI feedback overhead)** | **A: <= β\*80 bitsRU<=39% / 40%-69% / >=70%** | Rank1: a1% / b1% / c1%Rank2: a2% / b2% / c2%Rank4: a3% / b3% / c3% |
| **B: β\*(100bits – 140 bits)RU<=39% / 40%-69% / >=70%** | Rank1: a1% / b1% / c1%Rank2: a2% / b2% / c2%Rank4: a3% / b3% / c3% |
| **C: >=β\*230 bitsRU<=39% / 40%-69% / >=70%** | Rank1: a1% / b1% / c1%Rank2: a2% / b2% / c2%Rank4: a3% / b3% / c3% |

 |
| Mod | Thanks Huawei/HiSilicon for raising the question. Combining rank1/2/4 into one cell will complicate it too much, so I separated rank 1/2/4 into different tabs.Please see the updated templates X1, X2, and X3. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# CSI\_Table X2. CSI compression temporal Case-3-4 1-on-1 joint training

CSI\_Table 9 is similar to CSI\_Table 8 except that it has two additional rows:

1. Prediction window
2. Prediction scheme

and there is only one benchmark scheme: non-AI/ML compression (e.g., Rel-18 Doppler eType2).

Some rows are not relevant to Case 3/4 but were added so that the same template could be used for Case 1/2/3/4/5.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments* |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# CSI\_Table X3. CSI compression localized model

CSI\_Table 10 is similar to CSI\_Table 8 and 9 except

1. Temporal aspects were removed
2. Global/local region assumptions were added as agreed
3. There are two gain numbers: one over non-AI/ML benchmark (within a column) and the other over the global model (across columns)
4. Added relative complexity of local model compared to global model

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments* |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# CSI compression temporal case with model generalization

TBD

Conclusion

For model generalization results table, adopt Rel-18 Table 2 and Generalization Case 1 / 2 / 3 as starting point with same additions above. For generalization aspects, adopt the following

* Various UE speed
* UE distribution
* Various CSI-RS periodicity

# CSI compression temporal case with model scalability

TBD

Conclusion

For model scalability results table, adopt Rel-18 Table 3 and Generalization Case 1 / 2 / 3 as starting point with same additions above. For generalization aspects, adopt the following

* Various numbers of antenna ports
* Various frequency granularity
* Various payload size

# CSI compression temporal case Multi-vendor joint training

TBD

Conclusion

For multi-vendor results table, adopt Rel-18 Table 4 for joint training and Rel-18 Table 5 for separate training as starting point, with the same additions of above 2 agreements.

# CSI compression temporal case Separate training

TBD

Conclusion

For multi-vendor results table, adopt Rel-18 Table 4 for joint training and Rel-18 Table 5 for separate training as starting point, with the same additions of above 2 agreements.