1. **Topic #8 (RAN2 LS)**:
   1. **Proposal 8-1 (II): Endorse the draft CR in R1-2405527** to support partial sensing operation over an unlicensed spectrum using interlace RB based transmission
      1. Approve the final CR in R1-2405528
   2. **Proposal 8-1 (II): Endorse the draft LS reply in R1-2405529**
      1. Approve the final LS in R1-2405530
2. **Topic #1 (CPE for PSSCH/PSCCH)**:
   1. **Proposal 1-1 (I):** **Endorsed the draft CR in R1-2405554 for TS 38.214 Clause 8.1.2.1**
      1. Approve the final LS in R1-2405555
3. **Topic #3 (contention window for explicit ACK/NACK)**:
   1. **Proposal 3 (II):** Endorse the draft CR in R1-240xxxx for TS 37.213 Clause 4.5.4
      1. Approve the final CR in R1-240xxxx
4. **Topic #2**:
   1. **Proposed conclusion 2-2 (II): In Clause 4.5.3 of TS 37.213, for the “applicable RB set(s) of the channel occupancy” provided in the channel occupancy sharing information, it is concluded it refers to the**RB set(s) associated with the **first SL resource** specified in 8.1.5 of TS 38.214**. No specification change is required for this conclusion.**
   2. **Proposal 2-3 (I):** **Adopt TP#11 in Section 4.11.2 of R1-2405354 for TS 37.213 Clause 4.5.3**
   3. **Proposal conclusion 2-1 (I)**: It is concluded that no spec change is needed for the issues on the COT sharing flag in R1-2404148, or **Proposal 2-1 (I):** Adopt TP#16 in Section 4.16.1 of R1-2405354 for TS 38.212 Clause 8.3.1.1 and 8.4.1.1
5. **Topic #4**:
   1. **Proposed conclusion 4-3 (I): It is concluded that** N consecutive resource(s) and M consecutive resource(s) in Option 1 of Type 1 inter-UE blocking are referring to single-slot resource(s). For MCSt, multi-slot resources fully or partially overlapped with the N consecutive single-slot resource(s) and M consecutive single-slot resource(s) are not selected.
   2. **Proposed conclusion 4-2 (I): It is concluded that no spec change is needed for the issue of** resource selection trigger vs. Type 1 LBT **in R1-2405026**
6. **Topic #5**:
   1. **Proposal 5-2 (I): Adopt TP#14 in Section 4.14.1 of R1-2405354 for TS 37.213 Clause 4.5**
   2. **Proposed conclusion 5-1 (I): It is concluded that no spec change is needed for the issue on** CAPC condition for COT resuming **in R1-2404599**