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# Introduction and plan

In this summary, the followings are provided based on the contributions from companies [1]-[22],

* Summary of companies’ views on each of maintenance issues raised by interested companies, where the maintenance issues are categorized as follow:
  + Issue 1 – Maintenance issue on unified TCI extension
  + Issue 2 – Maintenance issue on UL power control for UL MTRP operation
* Observations/assessments on maintenance issue(s) based on the summary of companies’ views. An assessment as follows is provided to each maintenance issue in this summary, and it can be revised based on further companies’ input to this summary:
  + Critical (C): this includes high-priority issue (essential, pending issues, broken spec components) or editorial change that either enhances the clarity of the specs or corrects mistakes in the specs
  + Non-essential (N): this includes all other purposes such as spec optimization and low-priority issues
  + Editorial (E): this includes editorial issues that will be handled as editorial CRs
* Text proposal(s) would be provided for maintenance issue(s) with critical (C) and editorial (E)

# Text proposal to be discussed online

Based on the summary of companies’ views in Table 1 and Table 2, the following text proposals are provided for those maintenance issues identified as “C” or “E”.

TBD

# Discussion on maintenance issues

**Issue 1 – Maintenance issue on unified TCI extension**

**Table 1 Summary for Issue 1**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | Issue | Assessment | Companies’ view (please provide your view on the assessment of each issue) |
| 1.1 | (M-DCI) BAT issue in M-DCI based MTRP operation. One contribution points out a potential issue of BAT for joint HARQ-ACK feedback in M-DCI based MTRP operation [11]   * Based on current Rel-18 specification, if multiple HARQ-ACK bits are transmitted on a PUCCH/PUSCH, the indicated TCI state associated with the latest DCI with positive HARQ-ACK value is applied. * However, in case of joint HARQ-ACK codebook for M-DCI based MTRP operation, one PUCCH/PUSCH may contain multiple HARQ-ACK bits associated with both TRPs. Based on the TS38.214 V18.1.0, the UE applies the indicated TCI state associated with the latest DCI with positive HARQ-ACK value regardless of coresetPoolIndex value. This makes cross-TRP TCI state indication which is not aligned with the previous RAN1 agreement for Rel-18 eUTCI.     **FL note:** **The issue has been brought up for the third meeting.** | C/N? | Critical (C): Docomo [15]  Non-essential (N): |
| 1.2 | In current TS 38.214, it is specified that when two SRS resource sets with higher layer parameter usage in SRS-ResourceSet set to 'codebook' or 'nonCodebook' are configured, the UE does not expect that the first indicated TCI-State or TCI-UL-State is applied to the second SRS resource set and that the second indicated TCI-State or TCI-UL-State is applied to the first SRS resource set. However, it should be clarified that the number of SRS resource sets should be counted within *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList* or s*rs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2*, instead of both lists. 6.2.1 UE sounding procedure -----------------------------------Unchanged parts are omitted-----------------------------------  - When two SRS resource sets are configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList* or *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2* with higher layer parameter *usage* in *SRS-ResourceSet* set to 'codebook' or 'nonCodebook' ~~are configured~~, the UE does not expect that the first indicated *TCI-State* or *TCI-UL-State* is applied to the second SRS resource set and that the second indicated *TCI-State* or *TCI-UL-State* is applied to the first SRS resource set.  -----------------------------------Unchanged parts are omitted-----------------------------------  **FL note: The issue has been brought up for the first meeting.** | C | Critical (C): CATT [11]  Non-essential (N): |
| 1.3 | (S-DCI/M-DCI) Clarify that a PDCCH reception should be prioritized if a PDSCH reception scheduled before a threshold overlaps (in at least one symbol) with the PDCCH reception having QCL assumptions different from that of the firs/second indicated TCI state, for both S-DCI and M-DCI cases [2]  **FL note: The issue has been brought up for the third meeting.** | C/N? | Critical (C): ZTE [7][8]  Non-essential (N): |
| 1.4 | Based on current specification, when an AP CSI-RS triggered before the threshold in the same symbols of other DL signal with an indicated TCI state, if the UE is in frequency range 1, or the UE reports its capability of [default beam per coresetPoolIndex for M-DCI based MTRP] in frequency range 2, and there are two other DL signals applying the first and the second indicated TCI states, respectively, in the same symbols as the aperiodic CSI-RS, the UE shall apply the first or the second indicated TCI state to the aperiodic CSI-RS according to the higher layer configuration(s) provided to the aperiodic CSI-RS resource or aperiodic CSI-RS resource set. But actually, UE can buffer the AP CSI-RS with two TCI states if any one of the three conditions satisfied. The combination of any two conditions is unnecessary. It means the UE shall apply the first or the second indicated TCI state to the aperiodic CSI-RS according to the higher layer configuration(s) provided to the aperiodic CSI-RS resource or aperiodic CSI-RS resource set when any one of the three conditions satisfied, i.e., 1) if the UE is in frequency range 1, 2) or if the UE reports its capability of [default beam per coresetPoolIndex for M-DCI based MTRP] in frequency range 2, 3) or if there are two other DL signals applying the first and the second indicated TCI states, respectively, in the same symbols as the aperiodic CSI-RS.  **FL note: The issue has been brought up for the second meeting.** | C/N? | Critical (C): Xiaomi [12]  Non-essential (N): | |
| 1.5 | 1. For cjtSchemeB, the second indicated TCI state is not needed to be restricted as only “joint TCI state”. Updating the second indicated TCI state for cjtSchemeB to remove the unnecessary restriction of joint TCI state.   **FL note: To my understanding, PDSCH-CJT must be supported in joint DL/UL TCI mode, as agreed in RAN1#110bis. However, to align the terminology in TS 38.214 and TS 38.331, we can still use “TCI-state” to represent a joint TCI state.**     1. In current TS 38.214, there are some places with wording “joint/DL TCI state” or with italic “*TCI-state*” to represent joint or downlink TCI state, while there are some places only mentioning not italic “TCI-state”, leading to non-uniform expression. Update with unified form of italic “*TCI-state*” to represent joint or downlink TCI state. | E | Editorial (E): CATT [11]  Non-essential (N): | |
| 1.6 | The following higher layer parameters in TS38.214 are not align with those in TS38.331/TS38.306/TS38.212:   * *applyIndicatedTCIState* * *tciSelection-PresentInDCI* * *two default beams for S-DCI based MTRP* * *support for two joint TCI states for PDSCH-CJT* * *[[followUnifiedTCI-StateSRS]]* * *cjtSchemePDSCH*   Update above parameters in TS 38.214 to:   * *applyIndicatedTCI-State-r18* * *applyIndicatedTCI-StateDCI-1-0* * *tci-SelectionPresentInDCI-r18* * *defaultQCL-TwoTCI-r16* * *twoTCI-StatePDSCH-CJT-TxScheme-r18* * *~~[[~~followUnifiedTCI-StateSRS~~]]~~* * *cjt-Scheme-PDSCH-r18* | E | Editorial (E): NEC [13]  Non-essential (N): | |

**Issue 2 – Maintenance issue on UL power control and beam failure recovery**

**Table 2 Summary for Issue 2**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | Issue | Assessment | Companies’ view (please provide your view on the assessment of each issue) |
| 2.1 | Enhancements to single PHR mode (i.e., if a UE is not provided *twoPHRMode*). UE behaviors of single PHR mode in current specification for may not be clear for STx2P in some cases, and corresponding enhancements proposed by companies including:   1. For single-DCI based STx2P, if an actual PUSCH transmission associated with both first and second indicated TCI states, the UE provides a PHR for the actual PUSCH transmission based on the first indicated TCI state 2. For multi-DCI based STx2P, if two PUSCH transmissions associated with two different *coresetPoolIndex* values overlapped to each other in time domain, the UE provides a PHR for the actual PUSCH transmission associated with *coresetPoolIndex* value 0   **FL note: It would be good if the UE behaviors of single PHR mode for STx2P can be clarified.** | C/N? | Critical (C): Samsung [4], vivo [5], Nokia [14], Docomo [16]  Non-essential (N): | |
| 2.2 | For cell-specific BFR, specify how the UE would implicitly determine the BFD-RS set according to the RS index(es) in the two indicated TCI states  **FL note: The issue has been brought up for the third meeting. To my understanding, the UE would implicitly determine the BFD-RS set from the TCI state used for CORESETs based on current spec, regardless of the TCI state provided by Rel-15 MAC-CE or Rel-17 unified TCI state.** | N | Critical (C): Samsung [2]  Non-essential (N): | |
| 2.3 | For cell-specific BFR, capture that the two indicated TCI states are specific to the first and second *coresetPoolIndex* values, respectively  **FL note: The issue has been brought up for the third meeting. To my understanding, current spec already includes both S-DCI and M-DCI cases for cell-specific BFR.** | N | Critical (C): Samsung [3]  Non-essential (N): | |
| 2.4 | For TRP-specific BFR, support implicit BFD-RS determination in S-DCI based MTRP operation  **FL note: This issue has been discussed over several meetings without reaching a consensus, and it will not be treated if the situation is not changed in this meeting.** | N | Critical (C): ZTE [9]  Non-essential (N): |
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